## REPORT OF CONVERSATION

The email below documents the action of setting up the October 8, 2010 conversation addressed the addition of the WY 2010 data and where or not the data results changed the conclusions for Impact HYDRO-1 for existing conditions (No Project - Alternative 2). Again the Bud worked with me while I actively addressed all agency comments on the Admin Draft. The DEIR analysis directly reflects the input gathered from this conversation.

From: Melanie Greene <mgreene@haugebrueck.com>

Date: October 7, 2010 9:00:04 AM PDT

To: "Bud Amorfini" <BAmorfini@waterboards.ca.gov>

Subject: Re: HMR MP ADEIR/EIS #2 Update

Sounds good. I'm here until 4 and then have to get to reno for a 5:30 appointment. If today does not work out, perhaps in the Friday am? I'm in the office all day if you are working.

## Melanie

On Oct 7, 2010, at 8:25 AM, Bud Amorfini wrote:

Melanie - I'm busy today until at least 3 PM or so. I'll call you as soon as I can late this afternoon.

>>> Melanie Greene <<u>mgreene@haugebrueck.com</u>> 10/6/2010 4:52 PM >>>

Great. I'll look over these tomorrow morning and will be available for your afternoon call.

## Melanie

On Oct 6, 2010, at 4:11 PM, Bud Amorfini wrote: Here's the files.

## Bud

Bud Amorfini, Engineering Geologist Lahontan Regional Board (6) Phone - 530/542-5463 Fax - 530/544-2271 Email - bamorfini@waterboards.ca.gov >>> Hi Bud,

The HMR EIR/EIS (2nd Internal Admin Draft) is available on our public server. The access directions are provided below. I will work to get you the latest draft of the CWE once available from IERS. Scott Frazier is no longer working for TRPA so Heather Beckman (soils/land coverage specialist) will be doing the final review.

The hydrology chapter is over a 100 pages. So, given your busy schedule, I'm hoping that you can review the regulatory and make suggestions for improvement (State of California) and point out any regulatory updates that I may have missed. I'm hoping that you can provide some standard language to reflect Lanontan's requirements for mitigation of impacts. The approach that we take for CEQA is that measures required for project approval and permitting are part of the project description. The standard requirements are brought into the analysis in discussions of how potential impacts are avoid, reduced, minimized through compliance with these requirements. The recommended mitigation measures are then outlined if the standard compliance measures are determined to not be sufficient to reduce impacts to a level of less than significant.

Feel free to call me with any questions.

Thanks, Melanie

<Mail Attachment.gif>Message reply will be sent to: mgreene@haugebrueck.com

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rob Brueck < <a href="mailto:rbrueck@haugebrueck.com">rbrueck@haugebrueck.com</a> Date: September 23, 2010 10:08:36 AM PDT

To: Allen Breuch <ABreuch@placer.ca.gov>, David Landry <dlandry@trpa.org>,

Maywan Krach < MKrach@placer.ca.gov>, David Tirman

<dtirman@jmaventuresllc.com>

Cc: Melanie Greene <mgreene@haugebrueck.com>, Trevor Burwell

<tburwell@haugebrueck.com>

Subject: HMR MP ADEIR/EIS #2 Update

All,

We are making final revisions to the Hydrology Chapter 15 to reflect changes to CWE and water studies submitted by HMR consultants. It will be uploaded to our idisk early this afternoon. Chapters 6 through 19 (minus 15) are currently available.

I am working to complete Chapters 2 and 4, which summarize content of the environmental analysis in Chapters 6 through 19.

We are also working to complete compile the MS Excel spreadsheets that document the changes made to the 2nd ADEIR/EIS.

Chapters 2 and 4 and the spreadsheets will be uploaded to the idisk tonight.

Thanks for your continued patience, Rob

To access our online idisk, paste the following address into your web browser.

http://public.me.com/hauge\_brueck

User: public

Password: HBA1234

<Mail Attachment.jpeg>

<State Hydro Section Review.doc><15\_Hydrology\_BA.doc>