

COUNTY OF PLACER

Community Development Resource Agency

ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION SERVICES

E. J. Ivaldi, Coordinator

Michael J. Johnson, AICP Agency Director

NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The project listed below was reviewed for environmental impact by the Placer County Environmental Review Committee and was determined to have no significant effect upon the environment. A proposed Negative Declaration has been prepared for this project and has been filed with the County Clerk's office.

PROJECT: Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotel Zoning Text Amendment – Housing Element Implementation (PZTA 20110258)

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The project proposes a Zoning Text Amendment to revise the Placer County Code (Zoning Ordinance) to bring the Code into compliance with State housing law for single-room occupancy hotels.

PROJECT LOCATION: Unincorporated Placer County

APPLICANT: Placer County Community Development Resource Agency, 3091 County Center Drive, Auburn, CA 95603

The comment period for this document closes on **September 6, 2012**. A copy of the Negative Declaration is available for public review at the County's web site http://www.placer.ca.gov/Departments/CommunityDevelopment/EnvCoordSvcs/NegDec.aspx Community Development Resource Agency public counter, Tahoe Office, and at the Auburn and Tahoe City Public Libraries. Additional information may be obtained by contacting the Environmental Coordination Services, at (530)745-3132, between the hours of 8:00 am and 5:00 pm, at 3091 County Center Drive, Auburn, CA 95603.



COUNTY OF PLACER

Community Development Resource Agency

COORDINATION **SERVICES** Michael J. Johnson, AICP

Agency Director

E. J. Ivaldi, Coordinator

ENVIRONMENTAL

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

In accordance with Placer County ordinances regarding implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act, Placer County has conducted an Initial Study to determine whether the following project may have a significant adverse effect on the environment, and on the basis of that study hereby finds:

The proposed project will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment; therefore, it does not require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report and this Negative Declaration has been prepared.

Although the proposed project could have a significant adverse effect on the environment, there will not be a significant adverse effect in this case because the project has incorporated specific provisions to reduce impacts to a less than significant level and/or the mitigation measures described herein have been added to the project. A Mitigated Negative **Declaration** has thus been prepared.

The environmental documents, which constitute the Initial Study and provide the basis and reasons for this determination are attached and/or referenced herein and are hereby made a part of this document.

PROJECT INFORMATION

Title: Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotel Zoning Text Amendment–Housing Element Implementation	Plus# PZTA 20110258			
Description: The project proposes a Zoning Text Amendment to revise the Placer County Code (Zoning Ordinance) to bring the Code into compliance with State housing law for single-room occupancy hotels.				
Location: Unincorporated Placer County				
Project Applicant: Placer County Community Development Resource Agency, 3091 County Center Drive, Auburn, CA 95603				
County Contact Person: Christopher Schmidt	530-745-3076			

PUBLIC NOTICE

The comment period for this document closes on September 6, 2012. A copy of the Negative Declaration is available for public review at the County's web site http://www.placer.ca.gov/Departments/CommunityDevelopment/EnvCoordSvcs/NegDec.aspx, Community Development Resource Agency public counter, and at the Tahoe City and Auburn Public Libraries. Additional information may be obtained by contacting the Environmental Coordination Services, at (530)745-3132 between the hours of 8:00 am and 5:00 pm at 3091 County Center Drive, Auburn, CA 95603. For Tahoe projects, please visit our Tahoe Office, 775 North Lake Blvd., Tahoe City, CA 96146.

If you wish to appeal the appropriateness or adequacy of this document, address your written comments to our finding that the project will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment: (1) identify the environmental effect(s), why they would occur, and why they would be significant, and (2) suggest any mitigation measures which you believe would eliminate or reduce the effect to an acceptable level. Regarding item (1) above, explain the basis for your comments and submit any supporting data or references. Refer to Section 18.32 of the Placer County Code for important information regarding the timely filing of appeals.



COUNTY OF PLACER

Community Development Resource Agency

ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION SERVICES

Michael J. Johnson, AICP Agency Director

E. J. Ivaldi, Coordinator

3091 County Center Drive, Suite 190 • Auburn • California 95603 • 530-745-3132 • fax 530-745-3003 • www.placer.ca.gov/planning

INITIAL STUDY & CHECKLIST

This Initial Study has been prepared to identify and assess the anticipated environmental impacts of the following described project application. The document may rely on previous environmental documents (see Section C) and site-specific studies (see Section I) prepared to address in detail the effects or impacts associated with the project.

This document has been prepared to satisfy the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code, Section 21000 et seq.) and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 CCR 15000 et seq.) CEQA requires that all state and local government agencies consider the environmental consequences of projects over which they have discretionary authority before acting on those projects.

The Initial Study is a public document used by the decision-making lead agency to determine whether a project may have a significant effect on the environment. If the lead agency finds substantial evidence that any aspect of the project, either individually or cumulatively, may have a significant effect on the environment, regardless of whether the overall effect of the project is adverse or beneficial, the lead agency is required to prepare an EIR, use a previously-prepared EIR and supplement that EIR, or prepare a Subsequent EIR to analyze the project at hand. If the agency finds no substantial evidence that the project or any of its aspects may cause a significant effect on the environment, a Negative Declaration shall be prepared. If in the course of analysis, the agency recognizes that the project may have a significant impact on the environment, but that by incorporating specific mitigation measures the impact will be reduced to a less than significant effect, a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be prepared.

A. BACKGROUND:

Project Title: Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotel Zoning Text Amendment – Housing Element Implementation	Plus# PZTA 20110258
Entitlements: Zoning Text Amendment	
Site Area: Countywide	APN: Various
Location: Unincorporated Placer County	

Project Description:

The project proposes to revise the Placer County Code (Zoning Ordinance) to bring the Code into compliance with State housing law for single-room occupancy hotels. The proposed Zoning Ordinance amendments will implement Housing Element Program G-4. The amendments are summarized below and Attachment A provides specific language for the amendments.

The proposed amendments to the Zoning Ordinance would add a definition for Single-Room Occupancy (SRO) Residential Hotels and specify which zoning districts they would be permitted.

The proposed amendments to the Zoning Ordinance would allow SRO complexes with 30 or fewer units with an Administrative Review Permit (ARP) in the Residential Multi-Family (RM) district. A Minor Use Permit (MUP) would be required for complexes with 31 or more units in the RM district and for complexes of any size in the Highway Service (HS) and Resort (RES) districts.

The proposed amendments to the Zoning Ordinance would also add a new section for development standards. A summary of these standards is provided below.

- 1. Minimum size of 150 square feet, maximum of 400 square feet, and maximum of two persons.
- 2. Laundry facilities must be provided.
- 3. Bathroom. An SRO unit is not required to but may contain partial or full bathroom facilities. If a full bathroom facility is not provided, common bathroom facilities shall be provided in accordance with the California Building Code for congregate residences with at least one full bathroom per floor.
- 4. Kitchen. An SRO unit is not required to but may contain partial or full kitchen facilities. If a kitchen is not provided, at least one common full kitchen must be provided per floor.

No specific housing projects are approved as part of these zoning text amendments. In fact, these amendments, in themselves, would not directly result in changes to the physical environment (environmental effects). After the zoning text amendments are adopted, the County will evaluate specific housing development proposals based on their compliance with the General Plan, relevant Community Plans, Zoning Ordinance, and other ordinances. Additional environmental review of potential environmental effects in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act may be required prior to development of any specific SRO housing project.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING:

Placer County is a geographically diverse county. While the western portion of the County contains suburbs of the Sacramento Region, the eastern portion lies within the Lake Tahoe Region. Placer County is one of the fastest growing counties in the state. Between 2000 and 2010, the County's population grew from 248,399 to 348,432. The 2009 Housing Element discusses and provides Program G-4 to address SRO housing for the County.

C. PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:

The County has determined that an Initial Study shall be prepared in order to determine whether the potential exists for unmitigatable impacts resulting from the proposed project. Relevant analysis from the County-wide General Plan and Community Plan Certified EIRs, and other project-specific studies and reports that have been generated to date, were used as the database for the Initial Study. The decision to prepare the Initial Study utilizing the analysis contained in the General Plan and Specific Plan Certified EIRs, and project-specific analysis summarized herein, is sustained by Sections 15168 and 15183 of the CEQA Guidelines.

Section 15168 relating to Program EIRs indicates that where subsequent activities involve site-specific operations, the agency should use a written checklist or similar device to document the evaluation of the site and the activity, to determine whether the environmental effects of the operation were covered in the earlier Program EIR. A Program EIR is intended to provide the basis in an Initial Study for determining whether the later activity may have any significant effects. It will also be incorporated by reference to address regional influences, secondary effects, cumulative impacts, broad alternatives, and other factors that apply to the program as a whole.

The following documents serve as Program-level EIRs from which incorporation by reference will occur:

- → Placer County General Plan EIR
- → Community Plans

Section 15183 states that "projects which are consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified shall not require additional environmental review, except as may be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or site." Thus, if an impact is not peculiar to the project or site, and it has been addressed as a significant effect in the prior EIR, or will be substantially mitigated by the imposition of uniformly applied development policies or standards, then additional environmental documentation need not be prepared for the project solely on the basis of that impact.

The above stated documents are available for review Monday through Friday, 8am to 5pm, at the Placer County Community Development Resource Agency, 3091 County Center Drive, Auburn, CA 95603. For Tahoe projects, the document will also be available in our Tahoe Division Office, 775 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, CA 96145.

D. EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

The Initial Study checklist recommended by the State of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines is used to determine potential impacts of the proposed project on the physical environment. The checklist provides a list of questions concerning a comprehensive array of environmental issue areas potentially affected by the project (see CEQA Guidelines, Appendix G). Explanations to answers are provided in a discussion for each section of questions as follows:

a) A brief explanation is required for all answers including "No Impact" answers.

Initial Study & Checklist 2 of 16

- b) "Less Than Significant Impact" applies where the project's impacts are insubstantial and do not require any mitigation to reduce impacts.
- c) "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less than Significant Impact." The County, as lead agency, must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less-thansignificant level (mitigation measures from earlier analyses may be cross-referenced).
- d) "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- e) All answers must take account of the entire action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts [CEQA Guidelines, Section 15063(a)(1)].
- f) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, Program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration [CEQA Guidelines, Section 15063(c)(3)(D)]. A brief discussion should be attached addressing the following:
 - → Earlier analyses used Identify earlier analyses and state where they are available for review.
 - → Impacts adequately addressed Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of, and adequately analyzed in, an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards. Also, state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - → Mitigation measures For effects that are checked as "Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- g) References to information sources for potential impacts (i.e. General Plans/Community Plans, zoning ordinances) should be incorporated into the checklist. Reference to a previously-prepared or outside document should include a reference to the pages or chapters where the statement is substantiated. A source list should be attached and other sources used, or individuals contacted, should be cited in the discussion.

Initial Study & Checklist 3 of 16

I. AESTHETICS – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista? (PLN)				х
2. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings, within a state scenic highway? (PLN)				х
3. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? (PLN)				х
4. Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? (PLN)				Х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition for single room occupancy residential hotel but does not identify the location and type of new development. Therefore, it is not possible to anticipate how development of SRO housing will potentially impact the existing visual character of unincorporated areas of the County. To ensure that significant impacts to aesthetic resources do not occur, future development of special needs housing uses will be in accordance with applicable County standards and guidelines, as well as the requirements mandated during the environmental review of individual projects.

II. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCE – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide or Local Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? (PLN)				х
Conflict with General Plan or other policies regarding land use buffers for agricultural operations? (PLN)				х
Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract? (PLN)				х
4. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland (including livestock grazing) to non-agricultural use? (PLN)				X

Discussion- All Items:

Adopting the zoning text amendment will not by itself convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract. A land inventory analysis undertaken in Section II of the Housing Element showed the County has sufficient properly zoned land capacity to accommodate SRO housing on non-agricultural land.

III. AIR QUALITY – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? (APCD)				x
2. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? (APCD)				х
3. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? (APCD)				х
Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations? (APCD)				х
5. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? (APCD)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed zoning text amendments does not revise, replace or attempt to supersede existing standards and procedures to ensure compliance with State and County codes and policies that pertain to Air Quality. Individual future SRO housing projects will be subject to supplemental environmental review as required by State law and County policy.

IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish & Game or U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service? (PLN)				х
2. Substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number of restrict the range of an endangered, rare, or threatened species? (PLN)				х
3. Have a substantial adverse effect on the environment by converting oak woodlands? (PLN)				х
4. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies or regulations or by the California Department of Fish & Game or U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service? (PLN)				х
5. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? (PLN)				x
6. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use				х

of native wildlife nursery sites? (PLN)		
7. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? (PLN)		х
8. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan? (PLN)		х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition for single room occupancy residential hotels but does not identify the location and type of new development and therefore will not affect biological resources. Potential biological impacts associated with construction of SRO housing would vary on a project-by-project basis. Each development project would be subject to separate environment review at the time a specific development proposal is made, and project-specific biological constraints (e.g., presence of rare/endangered species, locally designated species or habitats) would be further assessed at that time in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

V. CULTURAL RESOURCES – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Substantially cause adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines, Section 15064.5? (PLN)				х
2. Substantially cause adverse change in the significance of a unique archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines, Section 15064.5? (PLN)				X
3. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? (PLN)				X
4. Have the potential to cause a physical change, which would affect unique ethnic cultural values? (PLN)				х
5. Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area? (PLN)				x
6. Disturb any human remains, including these interred outside of formal cemeteries? (PLN)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition for single room occupancy residential hotels but does not identify the location and type of new development and therefore it is not possible to determine potential impacts to cultural (historic and archeological) resources.

Review of new special needs housing development(s) will permit an analysis of how such development may potentially conflict with cultural resources. Adherence to applicable County, State, and Federal standards and guidelines related to the protection/preservation of cultural resources, as well as the requirements mandated during the environmental review of individual projects will be implemented when a future project is proposed.

VI. GEOLOGY & SOILS – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Expose people or structures to unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures? (ESD)				x
2. Result in significant disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcrowding of the soil? (ESD)				x
3. Result in substantial change in topography or ground surface relief features? (ESD)				x
4. Result in the destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? (ESD)				x
5. Result in any significant increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? (ESD)				х
6. Result in changes in deposition or erosion or changes in siltation which may modify the channel of a river, stream, or lake? (ESD)				х
7. Result in exposure of people or property to geologic and geomorphological (i.e. Avalanches) hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? (ESD)				x
8. Be located on a geological unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction, or collapse? (ESD)				х
9. Be located on expansive soils, as defined in Section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property? (ESD)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition for single room occupancy residential hotels but does not identify the location and type of new development and therefore it is not possible to determine the impact to geologic and soil conditions. Potential geologic impacts associated with the construction of new SRO housing would vary on a project-by-project basis. Each development project would be subject to separate environmental review at the time a specific development proposal is made, and project-specific geologic constraints (e.g., potential for fault rupture, ground shaking, ground failure, subsidence, expansive soils, etc.) would be evaluated at that time.

VII. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine handling, transport, use, or disposal of hazardous or acutely hazardous materials? (EHS)				Х
2. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment? (EHS)				х

3. Emit hazardous emissions, substances, or waste within one- quarter mile of an existing or proposed school? (APCD)	x
4. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment? (EHS)	х
5. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? (PLN)	x
6. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing in the project area? (PLN)	х
7. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands? (PLN)	х
8. Create any health hazard or potential health hazard? (EHS)	х
Expose people to existing sources of potential health hazards? (EHS)	х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance will not create concerns regarding hazards or hazardous materials. Future SRO housing development in the county will be subject to hazardous materials regulations and would be required to meet fire safe guidelines. Project-specific health hazards will be evaluated at the time a specific development proposal is made.

VIII. HYDROLOGY & WATER QUALITY – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Violate any potable water quality standards? (EHS)				x
2. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lessening of local groundwater supplies (i.e. the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? (EHS)				х
3. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area? (ESD)				х
4. Increase the rate or amount of surface runoff? (ESD)				x
5. Create or contribute runoff water which would include substantial additional sources of polluted water? (ESD)				х
6. Otherwise substantially degrade surface water quality?(ESD)				х
7. Otherwise substantially degrade ground water quality? (EHS)				х

8. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map? (ESD)		х
Place within a 100-year flood hazard area improvements which would impede or redirect flood flows? (ESD)		x
10. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam? (ESD)		х
11. Alter the direction or rate of flow of groundwater? (EHS)		x
12. Impact the watershed of important surface water resources, including but not limited to Lake Tahoe, Folsom Lake, Hell Hole Reservoir, Rock Creek Reservoir, Sugar Pine Reservoir, French Meadows Reservoir, Combie Lake, and Rollins Lake? (EHS, ESD)		х

Discussion- All Items:

All future development will be subject to site-specific environmental studies as determined appropriate by the County and will comply with all applicable County policies related to hydrology and water quality. Any new SRO housing development project would be subject to separate environmental review at the time a specific development proposal is made, and project-specific hydrologic impacts (e.g. changes in drainage patterns, increased surface runoff, flood hazards, water quality degradation, etc.) would be evaluated as part of this review.

IX. LAND USE & PLANNING - Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Physically divide an established community? (PLN)				х
2. Conflict with General Plan/Community Plan/Specific Plan designations or zoning, or Plan policies adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? (EHS, ESD, PLN)				Х
3. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan or other County policies, plans, or regulations adopted for purposes of avoiding or mitigating environmental effects? (PLN)				Х
4. Result in the development of incompatible uses and/or the creation of land use conflicts? (PLN)				х
5. Affect agricultural and timber resources or operations (i.e. impacts to soils or farmlands and timber harvest plans, or impacts from incompatible land uses)? (PLN)				Х
6. Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community)? (PLN)				х
7. Result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? (PLN)				х
8. Cause economic or social changes that would result in significant adverse physical changes to the environment such as urban decay or deterioration? (PLN)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition for single room occupancy residential hotels but does not identify the location and size of new development. Amending the Zoning Ordinance does not grant

entitlements for any projects. The proposed zoning ordinance amendments are required to implement the County General Plan Housing Element Program G-4 and to comply with State housing law.

X. MINERAL RESOURCES – Would the project result in:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. The loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? (PLN)				X
2. The loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? (PLN)				х

Discussion- All Items:

Adopting the proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance will not by itself substantially result in the loss of the availability of mineral resources, particularly mineral (minerals include several different types of aggregate that are used for purposes other than petroleum) resources. All future SRO housing development proposals will be analyzed for specific project impacts to mineral resources.

XI. NOISE – Would the project result in:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan, Community Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies? (PLN)				х
2. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? (PLN)				х
3. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project? (PLN)				х
4. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? (PLN)				х
5. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? (PLN)				x

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition for single room occupancy residential hotels but does not identify the location and size of new development and therefore will not affect noise conditions. Potential noise impacts associated with construction and occupation of SRO housing would vary on a project-by-project basis. The County's existing Noise Ordinance (Article 9.36 of the County Code) would apply to proposed SRO housing developments and each development project would be subject to separate environmental review at the time a specific development proposal is made; project-specific noise impacts or constraints would be evaluated at that time.

XII. POPULATION & HOUSING – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (i.e. by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (i.e. through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? (PLN)				X
2. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? (PLN)				Х

Discussion- All Items:

Adopting amendments to the zoning ordinance will not by itself induce substantial population growth in unincorporated Placer County. Implementation of the Housing Element Programs is designed to address the special needs housing forecasted for unincorporated Placer County for the 2006-2013 planning period. Without specific details regarding future developments, it is impossible to evaluate inducement of population growth. Through the County's environmental review process, future development projects would be evaluated for potential growth inducing impacts. No aspect of the project involves the displacement of existing housing.

XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES – Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental services and/or facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services?

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Fire protection? (EHS, ESD, PLN)				х
2. Sheriff protection? (EHS, ESD, PLN)				Х
3. Schools? (EHS, ESD, PLN)				х
4. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? (EHS, ESD, PLN)				х
5. Other governmental services? (EHS, ESD, PLN)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance will not change residential land use designations within the Land Use Element of the Placer County General Plan and / or Community Plan and, therefore, would not cause an increase in demand for public services. All future SRO housing developments will be subject to site-specific environmental studies as determined appropriate by the County, and will comply with all applicable County policies and regulation related to public services.

XIV. RECREATION – Would the project result in:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated? (PLN)				х
2. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment? (PLN)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance do not grant entitlements for any projects. It will not change residential land use designations in the Land Use Element of the Placer County General Plan and, therefore, would not cause an increase in demand for recreational facilities. All future development will be subject to site-specific environmental studies as determined appropriate by the County, and will comply with all applicable County policies and regulation related to recreational services.

XV. TRANSPORTATION & TRAFFIC – Would the project result in:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. An increase in traffic which may be substantial in relation to the existing and/or planned future year traffic load and capacity of the roadway system (i.e. result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)? (ESD)				х
2. Exceeding, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the County General Plan and/or Community Plan for roads affected by project traffic? (ESD)				х
3. Increased impacts to vehicle safety due to roadway design features (i.e. sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)? (ESD)				х
4. Inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses? (ESD)				х
5. Insufficient parking capacity on-site or off-site? (ESD, PLN)				х
6. Hazards or barriers for pedestrians or bicyclists? (ESD)				х
7. Conflicts with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation (i.e. bus turnouts, bicycle racks)? (ESD)				х
8. Change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? (ESD)				Х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance will not directly affect transportation facilities or traffic conditions. The nature and extent of local traffic impacts would vary on a project-by-project basis. Project-specific traffic impacts (e.g., level of service operation, access, traffic or pedestrian safety hazards, etc.) would be evaluated when such

proposed project plans are submitted to the County. Project-specific traffic impacts will be evaluated at the time a specific development proposal is made.

XVI. UTILITIES & SERVICE SYSTEMS – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board? (ESD)				х
2. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater delivery, collection or treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? (EHS, ESD)				х
3. Require or result in the construction of new on-site sewage systems? (EHS)				x
4. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects? (ESD)				х
5. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed? (EHS)				х
6. Require sewer service that may not be available by the area's waste water treatment provider? (EHS, ESD)				х
7. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs in compliance with all applicable laws? (EHS)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition to single-room occupancy residential hotels but does not identify the location and type of new development and therefore would not increase the demands on existing utilities and services systems. It is impossible to determine utility and service system requirements of future development without identified site locations and specific project details. Future utility and service system needs will be evaluated on an ongoing basis as each new SRO facility is proposed.

XVII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS – Would the project:

Environmental Issue	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Measures	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant and/or cumulative impact on the environment? (PLN AQ)				X
2. Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases? (PLN AQ)				х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance do not grant entitlements for any projects. Since no development is anticipated at this time, the specific effects to greenhouse gas emissions would be speculative at this time.

E. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:

Environmental Issue	Yes	No
Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially impact biological resources, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?		х
2. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)		х
3. Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?		х

Discussion- All Items:

The proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance would add a definition for single room occupancy residential hotels but does not identify the location and size of new development. Accordingly, these changes do not authorize specific SRO housing development projects for specific sites. Housing projects undertaken in the course of implementing the revised ordinance will be subject to project-specific environmental review in accordance with Section 10562 et seq. of the CEQA Guidelines. Any indirect impacts associated with future special needs housing construction have already been addressed in the Placer County General Plan EIR and various community plan EIRs.

F. OTHER RESPONSIBLE AND TRUSTEE AGENCIES whose approval is required:

☐ California Department of Fish and Game	Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)
☐ California Department of Forestry	☐ National Marine Fisheries Service
☐ California Department of Health Services	☐ Tahoe Regional Planning Agency
☐ California Department of Toxic Substances	U.S. Army Corp of Engineers
☐ California Department of Transportation	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
☐ California Integrated Waste Management Board	
☐ California Regional Water Quality Control Board	

G. DETERMINATION - The Environmental Review Committee finds that:

Although the proposed project **COULD** have a significant effect on the environment, there **WILL NOT** be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described herein have been added to the project. A **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

H. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (Persons/Departments consulted):

Planning Services Division, Christopher Schmidt, Chairperson Engineering and Surveying Department, Rebecca Taber Engineering and Surveying Department, Wastewater, Janelle Heinzler Department of Public Works, Transportation, Andrew Gaber Environmental Health Services, Laura Rath Air Pollution Control District, Angel Rinker Flood Control Districts, Andrew Darrow Facility Services, Parks, Andy Fisher Placer County Fire/CDF, Bob Eicholtz/Brad Albertazzi

	Co. Such.			
Signature	\bigvee	Date	August 6, 2012	
_	F.J. Ivaldi, Environmental Coordinator		-	

I. SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES:

The following public documents were utilized and site-specific studies prepared to evaluate in detail the effects or impacts associated with the project. This information is available for public review, Monday through Friday, 8 am to 5 pm, at the Placer County Community Development Resource Agency, Environmental Coordination Services, 3091 County Center Drive, Suite 190, Auburn, CA 95603. For Tahoe projects, the document will also be available in our Tahoe Division Office, 775 North Lake Boulevard, Tahoe City, CA 96145.

	□ Community F	Plan(s)							
County Documents	☐ General Plan								
	Grading Ordi	nance							
	Land Development Manual								
	☐ Land Division Ordinance								
	Stormwater Management Manual								
	☐ Tree Ordinance								
	☐ Department of Toxic Substances Control								
Trustee Agency Documents									
Documents									
		Acoustical Analysis							
		☐ Biological Study							
		☐ Cultural Resources Pedestrian Survey							
	Planning Department	☐ Cultural Resources Records Search							
		Lighting and Photometric Plan							
		☐ Paleontological Survey							
		☐ Tree Survey and Arborist Report							
		☐ Visual Impact Analysis							
		Wetland Delineation							
		The state of the s							
Site-Specific									
Studies		☐ Phasing Plan							
		☐ Preliminary Grading Plan							
		☐ Preliminary Geotechnical Report							
	Engineering & Surveying Department, Flood Control								
		Stormwater and Surface Water Quality BMP Plan							
		☐ Traffic Study							
	District	Sewer Pipeline Capacity Analysis							
		☐ Placer County Commercial/Industrial Waste Survey (where public sewer							
		is available)							
		Sewer Master Plan							

Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotel ZTA Initial Study & Checklist continued Utility Plan ☐ Groundwater Contamination Report ☐ Hydro-Geological Study ☐ Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Environmental Health ☐ Soils Screening Services Preliminary Endangerment Assessment ☐ CALINE4 Carbon Monoxide Analysis ☐ Construction Emission and Dust Control Plan ☐ Geotechnical Report (for naturally occurring asbestos) Air Pollution Health Risk Assessment **Control District** ☐ URBEMIS Model Output ☐ Emergency Response and/or Evacuation Plan Fire Traffic and Circulation Plan Department ☐ Guidelines and Standards for Vector Prevention in Proposed Mosquito Developments Abatement District

ATTACHMENT A

SINGLE ROOM OCCUPANCY HOTEL ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT

Exhibit A

17.04.030 Definitions of land uses, specialized terms and phrases

"Single-Room Occupancy (SRO) Residential Hotels" means any building containing five or more guestrooms or units intended or designed to be used, or which are used, rented, or hired out, to be occupied, or which are occupied, for sleeping purposes by residents, which is also the primary residence of those residents. The individual units shall lack either cooking facilities or individual sanitary facilities, or both. However, for purposes of this definition, a Single Room Occupancy Residential Hotel does not include residential care homes, senior housing projects, rooming and boarding houses, hotels and motels, bed and breakfast lodging, extended care facilities or hospitals.

Chapter 17, Section 17.06.050, Allowable Uses and Permit Requirements

	RE	SIDI	ENTI	AL		(СОМ	MER	CIAI	-		INDUSTRIAL			AGRICULTURAL, RESOURCE, OPEN SPACE						
LAND USE TYPES	RS	RM	RA	RF	C1	C2	С3	CPD	нѕ	ОР	RES	AP	ВР	IN	INP	ΑE	F	FOR	0	TPZ	w
Residential Uses		•										•				•	•				
Caretaker and employee housing (Section 17.56.090)					С	С	MUP	С	С	С	MUP	С	MUP	С	С	MUP	MUP	MUP		MUP	
Emergency Shelter, 30 or less (Section 17.56.295)		С			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										
Emergency Shelter, 31 or more (Section 17.56.295)		MUP			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										
Farm labor housing (Section 17.56.090)			MUP													MUP	MUP				
Home occupations (Section 17.56.120)	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С			С	
Mobile home parks (Section 17.56.140)		CUP			CUP	CUP															
Mobile homes (Section 17.56.150)	С	С	С	С							С					С	С				
Multifamily dwellings, 20 or fewer units		С			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										
Multifamily dwellings, 21 or more units		MUP			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										
Residential accessory uses (Section 17.56.180)	С	С	С	С	С	С		CUP			С	С	MUP			С	С				
Residential care homes, 6 or fewer clients	С	С	С	С							С						С				
Residential care homes, 7 or more clients		MUP	MUP														MUP				
Secondary dwellings (Section 17.56.200) (Ord. 5126-B required ARP)	С	С	С	С							С					С	С				
Senior housing projects (Section 17.56.210)		CUP			CUP	CUP		CUP	CUP												
Single-family dwellings (Section 17.56.230)	С	С	С	С							С					С	С				
Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotels (30 or fewer units)		<u>C</u>							MUP		MUP										
Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotels (30 or more units)		MUP							MUP		MUP										
Storage, Accessory (Section 17.56.250)	*	*	*	*		*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*			*	*	*
Supportive Housing 30 or fewer units		С			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										
Supportive Housing 31 or more units		MUP			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										
Temporary dwelling (Section 17.56.280)			С	С							С			С		С	С			С	
Temporary dwelling - hardship/disaster (Section 17.56.290)	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Transitional Housing 30 or fewer units		С			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										
Transitional Housing 31 or more units		MUP			MUP	CUP		CUP	MUP		MUP										

Key To Permit Requirements				
Allowed use, zoning compliance required (Section 17.06.050)	Α			
Zoning Clearance required (Section 17.06.050)	С			
Administrative Review Permit required (Section 17.06.050)	ARP			
Minor Use Permit required (Section 17.06.050)	MUP			
Conditional Use Permit required (Section 17.06.050)	CUP			
Permit requirements set by Article 17.56	*			
Use not allowed				

Chapter 17, Section 17.56.235, Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotels

When allowed by Sections 17.06.030 et seq., (Allowable land uses and permit requirements) in the zone applicable to a site, a Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotel (SRO) at a fixed location is subject to the requirements of this section. The provisions of this Chapter are intended to provide opportunities for the development of permanent, affordable housing for small households and for people with special needs in proximity to transit and services, and to establish standards for these small units.

A. <u>Location</u>. A single-room occupancy residential hotel shall not be located within three hundred (300) feet of any other single-room occupancy residential hotel, emergency shelter, or other similar program, unless such program is located within the same building or on the same lot.

B. <u>Development Standards</u>.

- 1. <u>Units shall have a minimum size of 150 square feet and a maximum of 400 square feet.</u>
- 2. Each unit shall accommodate a maximum of two persons.
- 3. Adequate exterior security lighting.
- 4. <u>Laundry facilities must be provided in a separate room at the ratio of one washer and one dryer for every twenty units of fractional number thereof, with at least one washer and dryer per floor.</u>
- 5. <u>Cleaning Supply Room</u>. A cleaning supply room or utility closet with a wash tub with hot and cold running water shall be provided on each floor of the SRO facility.
- 6. Bathroom. An SRO unit is not required to but may contain partial or full bathroom facilities. A partial bathroom facility shall have at least a toilet and sink; a full facility shall have a toilet, sink and bathtub, shower or bathtub/shower combination. If a full bathroom facility is not provided, common bathroom facilities shall be provided in accordance with the California Building Code for congregate residences with at least one full bathroom per floor.
- 7. <u>Kitchen. An SRO unit is not required to but may contain partial or full kitchen facilities. A full kitchen includes a sink, a refrigerator and stove, range top or oven. A partial kitchen is missing at least one of these appliances. If a full kitchen is not provided, common kitchen facilities shall be provided with at least one full kitchen per floor.</u>
- 8. Closet. Each SRO unit shall have a separate closet.
- 9. <u>Code Compliance</u>. <u>SRO units shall comply with all requirements of the California Building Code</u>. <u>All units shall comply with all applicable</u>

<u>accessibility and adaptability requirements.</u> All common areas shall be fully accessible.

C. Business Practices.

- 1. Facility Management. An SRO Facility with 10 or more units shall provide on-site management. An SRO Facility with less than 10 units may provide a management office on-site.
- D. Parking. Off-street parking shall be provided based upon a demonstrated need; however, the parking standard shall not require more parking than for other residential or commercial uses within the same zone. As a guideline, an SRO building shall provide at a minimum one space designated exclusively for the manager and one space for each employee on the site. All parking is required to be off-street and on-site.
- E. Tenancy. Tenancy of SRO units shall not be for less than 30 days.
- F. Existing Structure. An existing structure may be converted to an SRO facility, consistent with the provisions of this Section. Any such conversion must bring the entire structure up to current building code standards, including accessibility and adaptability standards, unless otherwise exempted by the Chief Building Official.

Chapter 17, Section 17.26.010, Highway Service (HS)

B. Allowable Land Uses and Permit Requirements. The following land uses are allowed in the HS zone district as provided by Sections 17.06.050 et seq., (Allowable land uses and permit requirements), subject to the land use permit shown for each use, any applicable specific standards, and all other applicable provisions of this chapter.

ALLOWABLE LAND USES	LAND USE PERMIT	SPECIFIC STANDARDS IN SECTION			
Residential Uses					
Caretaker and employee housing	С	17.56.090			
Emergency Shelter, 30 or less	MUP	17.56.295			
Emergency Shelter, 31 or more	MUP	17.56.295			
Home occupations	С	17.56.120			
Multifamily dwellings, any size	MUP	17.20.010			
Senior housing projects	CUP	17.56.210			
Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotels, 30 or less units	<u>MUP</u>	<u>17.56.235</u>			
Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotels, 31 or more units	<u>MUP</u>	<u>17.56.235</u>			
Supportive Housing 30 or fewer units	MUP				
Supportive housing, 31 or more units	MUP				
Transitional Housing 30 or fewer units	MUP				
Transitional Housing 31 or more units	MUP				

Chapter 17, Section 17.34.010, Resort (RES)

B. Allowable Land Uses and Permit Requirements. The following land uses are allowed in the RES zone district as provided by Sections 17.06.050 et seq., (Allowable land uses and permit requirements), subject to the land use permit shown for each use, any applicable specific standards, and all other applicable provisions of this chapter.

ALLOWABLE LAND USES	LAND USE PERMIT	SPECIFIC STANDARDS IN SECTION		
Residential Uses				
Caretaker and employee housing	MUP	17.56.090		
Emergency Shelter, 30 or less	MUP	17.56.295		
Emergency Shelter, 31 or more	MUP	17.56.295		
Home occupations	С	17.56.120		
Mobile homes	С	17.56.150		
Multifamily dwellings	MUP			
Residential accessory uses		17.56.180		
Residential care homes, 6 or fewer clients	С			
Secondary dwellings	С	17.56.200		
Single-family dwellings	С	17.56.230		
Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotels, 30 or less units	<u>MUP</u>	<u>17.56.235</u>		
Single-Room Occupancy Residential Hotels, 31 or more units	<u>MUP</u>	<u>17.56.235</u>		
Temporary dwelling	С	17.56.280		
Supportive Housing 30 or fewer units	MUP			
Supportive housing, 31 or more units	MUP			
Transitional Housing 30 or fewer units	MUP			
Transitional Housing 31 or more units	MUP			

Chapter 17, Section 17.48.010, Residential Multi-Family (RM)

B. Allowable Land Uses and Permit Requirements. The following land uses are allowed in the RM zone district as provided by Section 17.06.050 et seq., (Allowable land uses and permit requirements), subject to the land use permit shown for each use, any applicable specific standards, and all other applicable provisions of this chapter.

ALLOWABLE LAND USES	LAND USE PERMIT	SPECIFIC STANDARDS IN SECTION			
Residential Uses					
Home occupations	С	17.56.120			
Emergency Shelter, 30 or less	С	17.56.295			
Emergency Shelter, 31 or more	MUP	17.56.295			
Mobile home parks	CUP	17.56.140			
Mobile homes	С	17.56.150			
Multifamily dwellings, 20 or fewer units	С				
Multifamily dwellings, 21 or more units	MUP				
Residential accessory uses	С	17.56.180			
Residential care homes, 6 or fewer clients	С				
Residential care homes, 7 or more clients	MUP				
Secondary dwellings	С	17.56.200			
Senior housing projects	CUP	17.56.210			
Single-family dwellings	С	17.56.230			
Single-Room Occupancy Residential	<u>C</u>	<u>17.56.235</u>			
Hotels, 30 or less units	≅	17.50.255			
Single-Room Occupancy Residential	MUP	<u>17.56.235</u>			
Hotels, 31 or more units	-	17.50.255			
Supportive Housing 30 or fewer units	С				
Supportive housing, 31 or more units	MUP				
Transitional Housing 30 or fewer units	С				
Transitional Housing 31 or more units	MUP				