

MEMORANDUM
OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE
COUNTY OF PLACER

TO: The Honorable Board of Supervisors

FROM: Thomas M. Miller, Placer County Executive Officer
By: James Importante, Management Analyst

DATE: March 22, 2011

SUBJECT: 2010-11 Placer County Grand Jury Report on the All American Speedway –
Board of Supervisors Response

Action Requested: Authorize the Chairman to sign letters in response to the Placer Grand Jury's 2010-2011 Report on the All American Speedway.

Background: On February 1, 2011, the Placer County Grand Jury issued its report titled *All American Speedway: Placer County Oversight Failure*. The report includes facts derived from the Grand Jury's investigations, and findings and recommendations based on those facts.

The Placer County Board of Supervisors was asked to provide a response to this Grand Jury report. In collaboration with various County departments, County Executive Office staff prepared a response on the behalf of the Board of Supervisors, which will be subject to your approval today. This memorandum provides a brief summary of the recommended Board of Supervisors' response to the findings and recommendations by Grand Jury report. Details of the Grand Jury's findings and recommendations are included within each response letter attached.

Upon approval by your Board, the signed responses will be provided to the Presiding Judge of the Placer County Superior Court and the Placer County Grand Jury.

Grand Jury Findings & Recommendations

Summarized in its report, the Placer County Grand Jury found that an expansion of the race track at the All American Speedway on the Placer County Fairgrounds in Roseville in 2007 resulted in numerous noise complaints and other concerns for the residents in the immediate vicinity of the fairgrounds. In investigating the complaint, the Grand Jury determined that in addition to the noise issues, no permits were obtained by the Fair Association for the expansion of the Speedway. Additionally, the Grand Jury determined that Placer County's contract with the Fair Association expired in 2007, and has not been renewed as of January 2011.

The Grand Jury provided two Recommendations based on their investigation:

1. The Board of Supervisors ensure the entire All American Speedway has been examined by county building inspectors, and/or engineers so that all portions of the Speedway are brought up to current county and state codes, regulations and noise ordinances. Also ensure the facility has obtained all county and state permits, including an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), as required.
2. The Board of Supervisors give the Fair Association 90 days upon the publication of this report to accept and sign a new operating agreement, which includes addressing resolution of the aforementioned Speedway issues.

Board of Supervisors Responses

Copies of the response letters are included in your agenda packet for your consideration today. To summarize, the Board of Supervisors is fully committed to resolving the issues stemming from increased noise and other impacts in the area related to improvements to the speedway. The Board has consistently communicated with and met with citizens who registered complaints. The Board initiated meetings with the City and with the Fair Association to discuss the racetrack specifically. Furthermore, the Board has consistently encouraged the Fair Association to address complaints, and continues to work to put a new agreement in place that will contractually address complaint resolution.

The Placer County Planning Department and Department of Facility Services have also been requested to respond the Findings and Recommendations and will provide additional information relative to the report by May 1, 2011.

Environmental: None.

Fiscal Impact: There is no fiscal impact related to the signing of the responses to the Grand Jury.

Attachments: Board of Supervisors 2010-11 Grand Jury Response to *All American Speedway: Placer County Oversight Failure*

County of Placer Board of Supervisors

175 FULWEILER AVENUE
AUBURN, CALIFORNIA 95603
530/889-4010 • FAX: 530/889-4009
PLACER CO. TOLL FREE # 800-488-4308

JACK DURAN
District 1

ROBERT M. WEYGANDT
District 2

JIM HOLMES
District 3

KIRK UHLER
District 4

JENNIFER MONTGOMERY
District 5



March 22, 2011

The Honorable Alan V. Pineschi
Presiding Judge of the Superior Court
County of Placer
P.O. Box 619072
Roseville, CA 95661

Re: 2010-11 Grand Jury Final Report – All American Speedway Noise: Placer County Oversight Failure

Dear Judge Pineschi,

The Placer County Board of Supervisors would like to thank the members of the 2010-11 Grand Jury for their efforts associated with the Placer County Fairground speedway and for providing your findings for our response. This letter is in response to the 2010-2011 Grand Jury's Findings & Recommendations from the report titled *All American Speedway Noise: Placer County Oversight Failure*.

Facts

1. California State law requires each county to have an annual agricultural fair (Government Code 25905). The Placer County Board of Supervisors has chosen the Placer County Fair Association to operate the fairgrounds. There is a written agreement signed by the Fair Association and the County. That Agreement covered the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2007, which allows the Fair Association to continue operations on a year-to-year basis until the contract is renewed.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially agrees with the Fact. Government Code 25905 identifies that the County may contract with a nonprofit association for conducting an agricultural fair as an agent of the County. The language does not speak to a requirement of an annual fair.

It is true that there is a written agreement signed by the Fair Association and the County.

2. Placer County Facilities Department has attempted to enter into a new written agreement with the Fair Association which would give the County more oversight of the fairgrounds/Speedway operations. County officials have testified the Fair Association has refused to sign a new agreement because of the increased oversight.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact.

E-mail: bos@placer.ca.gov — Web: www.placer.ca.gov/bos

3. There were complaints from nearby residents of excessive Speedway noise. The City of Roseville received written complaints from 26 residents and several homeowners associations about excessive noise coming from the public address system and racing vehicles, since the race track was enlarged in 2007. Complaints also encompassed traffic congestion, quality of life degradation and reduced property values. The majority of the complaints were submitted in 2007. The management at the Speedway has made some improvements with sound attenuation. There were repeated complaints recorded from 2008 through 2010. Additional telephone complaints were not documented.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially agrees and is aware that the City of Roseville received complaints but cannot verify the number of complaints or the specific content of the complaints.

4. County officials testified that no permits or environmental impact studies/reports were obtained by the Fair Association for the Speedway expansion project.

Board of Supervisors Response: The County agrees with this Fact. There were no permits obtained prior to the Speedway modifications.

5. A written contract between the County and fairgrounds officials has not been renewed since 2007.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact. The County initiated negotiations for a new agreement and has prepared and presented a written agreement, but the Fair Association has not agreed to sign the new agreement.

6. The Speedway exists on County property.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact.

7. The City of Roseville does not have jurisdiction to enforce city noise ordinances related to county-owned property.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact. The City of Roseville does not have land use jurisdiction over the fairground property.

8. The Fair Association did not follow the requirements of the Placer County Zoning Ordinances nor the contract terms as they pertain to the planning, approval, construction, or modification of facilities located within the fairgrounds. No permits were issued by the county.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with the Fact. The County zoning ordinance does not apply to this property, however by contract terms, the Fair Association did not obtain approval.

9. Per the All American Speedway website, as of December 5, 2006, the Fair Association announces that they recently received a NASCAR-sanctioned agreement for review and approval.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors cannot validate or invalidate this Fact.

10. The Board of Supervisors was notified by letter from the CEO of the Fair Association dated, December 13, 2006, that the Speedway improvement project was for only “safety and maintenance” and “would have minimal impact on the Speedway operations and the community.”

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially agrees with this Fact. A letter was provided to the Placer County Executive Officer on December 13, 2006 indicating the Fair Association Board of Directors approval of safety improvement work at the track. There was a statement in the letter that the “current safety improvement work will have minimal impact on the Speedway operation or the community.” It is noteworthy that the letter also suggests the track improvements and ramps had been completed at the time the letter was received by the County.

11. After lengthening the track by 70 feet on one end and widening it by 30 feet on the other end, as well as increasing banking on two turns, it qualified the Speedway to hold NASCAR-approved races. The Speedway modification allows vehicles to increase speeds which generate more noise, as well as air pollution from un-combusted fuel and worn brake linings. This pollution source is across the street from a primary school and residential area.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors is unable to validate or invalidate this Fact.

12. The Fair Association conducted improper grading operations during this project and contaminated drainage was allowed to enter the City of Roseville storm drainage system.

Board of Supervisors Response: This Fact as stated is the Board of Supervisors’ understanding. County staff was notified of these issues after the grading occurred, and assisted the Fair Association in complying with storm water regulations after the work was completed.

Findings of the Grand Jury

1. The Fair Association violated their written agreement by enlarging and modifying the All American Speedway without permission from Placer County. The changes at the Speedway have caused increased noise, air, and storm water run-off pollution, as well as parking and traffic congestion.

The nearby residents complain that the value of their homes has decreased because of the Speedway noise, and their quality of life suffers during racing season.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County cannot confirm that nearby residents have lost value of their homes or that their quality of life suffers from these improvements or that these improvements significantly increased these conditions over historical patterns.

2. By not addressing the noise and air pollution created by the Speedway, Placer County has failed to protect the health and safety of the citizens living near the All American Speedway.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees partially with this Finding. The County has done its due diligence in addressing environmental impacts of the citizens living near the All American Speedway. The County consistently communicated with and met with citizens who registered complaints. The County initiated meetings with the City and with the Fair Association to discuss the racetrack specifically. The County has consistently encouraged the Fair Association to address complaints, and continues to work to put a new agreement in place that will contractually address complaint resolution.

3. When the Board of Supervisors was notified that construction was planned at the location, they failed to initiate an investigation which would have revealed that the Fair Association did not obtain county and state permits as required by law and as stipulated in the terms of the contract. The Board of Supervisors should have ensured that all permits had been filed and approved, and environmental and engineering studies were conducted by the appropriate agencies.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees with this Finding. The County was not made aware of the Fair Association's plans to improve the racetrack prior to track improvements being completed, as referenced in the December 13, 2006 letter. The letter references the improvements as having been completed, "The relocation of on/off ramps required widening of the back straightaway approximately 30 feet. Also, during the safety improvements, the track was extended north approximately 70 feet and the banking increased in turns three and four to provide additional racing surface for competitors to avoid disabled-wrecked vehicles, increasing competitor safety and reducing potential injury." After being informed, the County initiated meetings with the Fair Association and the City of Roseville to discuss the improvements. For example, the County initiated a meeting that was held on April 16, 2007 with the City of Roseville and the Fair Association.

4. The Placer County Facilities Department has failed to demonstrate fiduciary responsibility by not executing a new operating agreement with sufficient oversight language to ensure the Fair Association adheres to their written agreements.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees wholly with this Finding. The Department of Facility Services initiated negotiations and drafted a new operating agreement which included provisions intended to address the noise-related issues associated with the speedway. Ultimately, the Placer County Fair Association did not sign the new operating agreement and the current agreement is still in effect. Facility Services maintained communication with the Fair Association throughout this timeframe attempting to complete negotiations for a new agreement. The County cannot unilaterally impose a new agreement.

5. It is clear that the Fair Association's intent was to qualify for NASCAR sanctioned racing events under the guise of "safety and maintenance improvements."

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County cannot speculate on the Fair Associations intentions.

6. Modern race vehicles (NASCAR) capable of higher engine speeds, combined with the extended length of the Speedway, continue to generate more noise than existed before the track was enlarged.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County cannot verify or deny that these Findings are factual. The County has recommended that the Fair Association conduct follow-up sound studies to quantify conditions and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

7. When residents complained to the City of Roseville, they were told that the fairground was County property and that they were unable to enforce Roseville's noise ordinances at the Speedway. The residents were referred to the County. The County directed the complaints to the Fair Association.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County has consistently communicated with and met with citizens who registered complaints. The County initiated meetings with the City of Roseville and with the Fair Association to discuss the racetrack specifically. For example, the County initiated a meeting with the City of Roseville and the Fair Association on April 16, 2007 where there was consensus reached for the Fair Association to follow up to address complaints. The County has consistently encouraged the Fair Association to address complaints, and continues to work to put a new agreement in place that will contractually address complaint resolution.

Recommendations of the Grand Jury

1. The Board of Supervisors ensure the entire All American Speedway has been examined by county building inspectors, and/or engineers so that all portions of the Speedway are brought up to current county and state codes, regulations and noise ordinances. Also ensure the facility has obtained all county and state permits, including an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), as required.

Board of Supervisors Response: The recommendation will not be implemented. The County believes that the appropriate mechanism to address the issues related to the speedway is through a new operating agreement. The County will work diligently with the Fair Association to closely review the concerns of the Grand Jury and implement appropriate remedy as legally required.

2. The Board of Supervisors give the Fair Association 90 days upon the publication of this report to accept and sign a new operating agreement, which includes addressing resolution of the aforementioned Speedway issues.

Board of Supervisors Response: The recommendation will not be implemented within the timeframes specified. The County is committed to completing a new operating agreement with the Placer County Fair Association, but any such agreement must be developed bi-laterally; the County cannot simply demand that the Fair Association sign an agreement. The County is committed to completing a new agreement as quickly as possible.

The Board of Supervisors appreciates the work of the 2010-11 Placer County Grand Jury in their report regarding the All American Speedway. The County is fully committed to resolving the issues stemming from increased noise and other impacts in the area related to improvements to the speedway. The Placer County Planning Department and Department of Facility Services have been requested to respond the Findings and Recommendations and will provide a more detailed response to this report in the coming weeks.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Weygandt, Chairman
Placer County Board of Supervisors

cc: Tom Miller, CEO, County of Placer
Roseville City Council
Mike Blair, Chief of Police, Roseville Police Department
Joan Bartosik, CEO, Placer County Fair Association

County of Placer Board of Supervisors

175 FULWEILER AVENUE
AUBURN, CALIFORNIA 95603
530/889-4010 • FAX: 530/889-4009
PLACER CO. TOLL FREE # 800-488-4308

JACK DURAN
District 1

ROBERT M. WEYGANDT
District 2

JIM HOLMES
District 3

KIRK UHLER
District 4

JENNIFER MONTGOMERY
District 5



March 22, 2011

John Monaco, Foreperson
Placer County Grand Jury
11490 C Avenue
Auburn, CA 95603

Re: 2010-11 Grand Jury Final Report – All American Speedway Noise: Placer County Oversight Failure

Dear Mr. Monaco,

The Placer County Board of Supervisors would like to thank the members of the 2010-11 Grand Jury for their efforts associated with the Placer County Fairground speedway and for providing your findings for our response. This letter is in response to the 2010-2011 Grand Jury's Findings & Recommendations from the report titled *All American Speedway Noise: Placer County Oversight Failure*.

Facts

1. California State law requires each county to have an annual agricultural fair (Government Code 25905). The Placer County Board of Supervisors has chosen the Placer County Fair Association to operate the fairgrounds. There is a written agreement signed by the Fair Association and the County. That Agreement covered the period from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2007, which allows the Fair Association to continue operations on a year-to-year basis until the contract is renewed.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially agrees with the Fact. Government Code 25905 identifies that the County may contract with a nonprofit association for conducting an agricultural fair as an agent of the County. The language does not speak to a requirement of an annual fair.

It is true that there is a written agreement signed by the Fair Association and the County.

2. Placer County Facilities Department has attempted to enter into a new written agreement with the Fair Association which would give the County more oversight of the fairgrounds/Speedway operations. County officials have testified the Fair Association has refused to sign a new agreement because of the increased oversight.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact.

3. There were complaints from nearby residents of excessive Speedway noise. The City of Roseville received written complaints from 26 residents and several homeowners associations about excessive noise coming from the public address system and racing vehicles, since the race track was enlarged in 2007. Complaints also encompassed traffic congestion, quality of life degradation and reduced property values. The majority of the complaints were submitted in 2007. The management at the Speedway has made some improvements with sound attenuation. There were repeated complaints recorded from 2008 through 2010. Additional telephone complaints were not documented.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially agrees and is aware that the City of Roseville received complaints but cannot verify the number of complaints or the specific content of the complaints.

4. County officials testified that no permits or environmental impact studies/reports were obtained by the Fair Association for the Speedway expansion project.

Board of Supervisors Response: The County agrees with this Fact. There were no permits obtained prior to the Speedway modifications.

5. A written contract between the County and fairgrounds officials has not been renewed since 2007.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact. The County initiated negotiations for a new agreement and has prepared and presented a written agreement, but the Fair Association has not agreed to sign the new agreement.

6. The Speedway exists on County property.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact.

7. The City of Roseville does not have jurisdiction to enforce city noise ordinances related to county-owned property.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors agrees with the Fact. The City of Roseville does not have land use jurisdiction over the fairground property.

8. The Fair Association did not follow the requirements of the Placer County Zoning Ordinances nor the contract terms as they pertain to the planning, approval, construction, or modification of facilities located within the fairgrounds. No permits were issued by the county.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with the Fact. The County zoning ordinance does not apply to this property, however by contract terms, the Fair Association did not obtain approval.

9. Per the All American Speedway website, as of December 5, 2006, the Fair Association announces that they recently received a NASCAR-sanctioned agreement for review and approval.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors cannot validate or invalidate this Fact.

10. The Board of Supervisors was notified by letter from the CEO of the Fair Association dated, December 13, 2006, that the Speedway improvement project was for only “safety and maintenance” and “would have minimal impact on the Speedway operations and the community.”

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially agrees with this Fact. A letter was provided to the Placer County Executive Officer on December 13, 2006 indicating the Fair Association Board of Directors approval of safety improvement work at the track. There was a statement in the letter that the “current safety improvement work will have minimal impact on the Speedway operation or the community.” It is noteworthy that the letter also suggests the track improvements and ramps had been completed at the time the letter was received by the County.

11. After lengthening the track by 70 feet on one end and widening it by 30 feet on the other end, as well as increasing banking on two turns, it qualified the Speedway to hold NASCAR-approved races. The Speedway modification allows vehicles to increase speeds which generate more noise, as well as air pollution from un-combusted fuel and worn brake linings. This pollution source is across the street from a primary school and residential area.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors is unable to validate or invalidate this Fact.

12. The Fair Association conducted improper grading operations during this project and contaminated drainage was allowed to enter the City of Roseville storm drainage system.

Board of Supervisors Response: This Fact as stated is the Board of Supervisors’ understanding. County staff was notified of these issues after the grading occurred, and assisted the Fair Association in complying with storm water regulations after the work was completed.

Findings of the Grand Jury

1. The Fair Association violated their written agreement by enlarging and modifying the All American Speedway without permission from Placer County. The changes at the Speedway have caused increased noise, air, and storm water run-off pollution, as well as parking and traffic congestion.

The nearby residents complain that the value of their homes has decreased because of the Speedway noise, and their quality of life suffers during racing season.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County cannot confirm that nearby residents have lost value of their homes or that their quality of life suffers from these improvements or that these improvements significantly increased these conditions over historical patterns.

2. By not addressing the noise and air pollution created by the Speedway, Placer County has failed to protect the health and safety of the citizens living near the All American Speedway.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees partially with this Finding. The County has done its due diligence in addressing environmental impacts of the citizens living near the All American Speedway. The County consistently communicated with and met with citizens who registered complaints. The County initiated meetings with the City and with the Fair Association to discuss the racetrack specifically. The County has consistently encouraged the Fair Association to address complaints, and continues to work to put a new agreement in place that will contractually address complaint resolution.

3. When the Board of Supervisors was notified that construction was planned at the location, they failed to initiate an investigation which would have revealed that the Fair Association did not obtain county and state permits as required by law and as stipulated in the terms of the contract. The Board of Supervisors should have ensured that all permits had been filed and approved, and environmental and engineering studies were conducted by the appropriate agencies.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees with this Finding. The County was not made aware of the Fair Association's plans to improve the racetrack prior to track improvements being completed, as referenced in the December 13, 2006 letter. The letter references the improvements as having been completed, "The relocation of on/off ramps required widening of the back straightaway approximately 30 feet. Also, during the safety improvements, the track was extended north approximately 70 feet and the banking increased in turns three and four to provide additional racing surface for competitors to avoid disabled-wrecked vehicles, increasing competitor safety and reducing potential injury." After being informed, the County initiated meetings with the Fair Association and the City of Roseville to discuss the improvements. For example, the County initiated a meeting that was held on April 16, 2007 with the City of Roseville and the Fair Association.

4. The Placer County Facilities Department has failed to demonstrate fiduciary responsibility by not executing a new operating agreement with sufficient oversight language to ensure the Fair Association adheres to their written agreements.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors disagrees wholly with this Finding. The Department of Facility Services initiated negotiations and drafted a new operating agreement which included provisions intended to address the noise-related issues associated with the speedway. Ultimately, the Placer County Fair Association did not sign the new operating agreement and the current agreement is still in effect. Facility Services maintained communication with the Fair Association throughout this timeframe attempting to complete negotiations for a new agreement. The County cannot unilaterally impose a new agreement.

5. It is clear that the Fair Association's intent was to qualify for NASCAR sanctioned racing events under the guise of "safety and maintenance improvements."

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County cannot speculate on the Fair Associations intentions.

6. Modern race vehicles (NASCAR) capable of higher engine speeds, combined with the extended length of the Speedway, continue to generate more noise than existed before the track was enlarged.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County cannot verify or deny that these Findings are factual. The County has recommended that the Fair Association conduct follow-up sound studies to quantify conditions and evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation measures.

7. When residents complained to the City of Roseville, they were told that the fairground was County property and that they were unable to enforce Roseville's noise ordinances at the Speedway. The residents were referred to the County. The County directed the complaints to the Fair Association.

Board of Supervisors Response: The Board of Supervisors partially disagrees with this Finding. The County has consistently communicated with and met with citizens who registered complaints. The County initiated meetings with the City of Roseville and with the Fair Association to discuss the racetrack specifically. For example, the County initiated a meeting with the City of Roseville and the Fair Association on April 16, 2007 where there was consensus reached for the Fair Association to follow up to address complaints. The County has consistently encouraged the Fair Association to address complaints, and continues to work to put a new agreement in place that will contractually address complaint resolution.

Recommendations of the Grand Jury

1. The Board of Supervisors ensure the entire All American Speedway has been examined by county building inspectors, and/or engineers so that all portions of the Speedway are brought up to current county and state codes, regulations and noise ordinances. Also ensure the facility has obtained all county and state permits, including an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), as required.

Board of Supervisors Response: The recommendation will not be implemented. The County believes that the appropriate mechanism to address the issues related to the speedway is through a new operating agreement. The County will work diligently with the Fair Association to closely review the concerns of the Grand Jury and implement appropriate remedy as legally required.

2. The Board of Supervisors give the Fair Association 90 days upon the publication of this report to accept and sign a new operating agreement, which includes addressing resolution of the aforementioned Speedway issues.

Board of Supervisors Response: The recommendation will not be implemented within the timeframes specified. The County is committed to completing a new operating agreement with the Placer County Fair Association, but any such agreement must be developed bi-laterally; the County cannot simply demand that the Fair Association sign an agreement. The County is committed to completing a new agreement as quickly as possible.

The Board of Supervisors appreciates the work of the 2010-11 Placer County Grand Jury in their report regarding the All American Speedway. The County is fully committed to resolving the issues stemming from increased noise and other impacts in the area related to improvements to the speedway. The Placer County Planning Department and Department of Facility Services have been requested to respond the Findings and Recommendations and will provide a more detailed response to this report in the coming weeks.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Weygandt, Chairman
Placer County Board of Supervisors

cc: Tom Miller, CEO, County of Placer
Roseville City Council
Mike Blair, Chief of Police, Roseville Police Department
Joan Bartosik, CEO, Placer County Fair Association