

# Before the Board of Supervisors County of Placer, State of California

**In the matter of:** A Resolution modifying the Capital Improvement Program and Fee Schedule to adjust for changes in construction costs for the Placer County– City of Roseville Joint Fee Program

Resolution No: 2016-139


The following Resolution was duly passed by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Placer at a regular meeting held July 12, 2016, by the following vote on roll call:

Ayes: DURAN, HOLMES, UHLER, MONTGOMERY, WEYGANDT

Noes: NONE

Absent: NONE

Signed and approved by me after its passage.

  
Chair, Board of Supervisors

Attest:

  
Clerk of said Board

---

WHEREAS, the Placer County Board of Supervisors continues to support a joint jurisdictional approach to transportation improvements and financing.

WHEREAS, periodic adjustments should be applied to the Placer County – City of Roseville Joint Fee Program and associated Capital Improvement Program to ensure sufficient funding of CIP projects.

WHEREAS, the current agreement between Placer County and the City of Roseville provides a mechanism to adjust the cost estimates within the Capital Improvement Program and associated fee schedule used to collect fees through the Joint Fee program.

WHEREAS, the average of the 20-Cities and San Francisco Construction Cost Indexes (CCIs) as published by the Engineering News Record, is a 3.11 percent increase for the period of May 2015 to May 2016.

WHEREAS, the industry standard used to estimate changes in construction costs is reported in the publication, Engineering News Record. This publication is circulated nationwide to the engineering profession and regularly reports indices for changes in construction costs.

WHEREAS, the purpose of the fee adjustment shall be to continue appropriate funding for transportation projects identified in the Capital Improvement Program by keeping pace with increased costs of construction. All collected fees will continue to be used to finance the public facilities identified in the fee program.

WHEREAS, there still exists a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

WHEREAS, there still exists a reasonable relationship between the need for the Capital Improvement Program and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed.

WHEREAS, there still exists a reasonable relationship between the unexpended funds in the current fee programs and the improvements for which they were collected.

WHEREAS, funds collected and held for 5 years have been reviewed and are still needed for the purpose that they were collected.

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors, County of Placer, State of California, that this Board adopt the Placer County – City of Roseville Joint Traffic Fees as shown in Attachment 1.

Attachment 1

Traffic Mitigation Fees  
Fee Adjustment Comparison by Countywide Benefit District

Benefit District	Current Placer County/City of Roseville Joint Fee	Proposed Placer County/City of Roseville Joint Fee
	Fee per DUE	Fee per DUE
Auburn/Bowman	\$0	\$0
Dry Creek	\$756	\$780
Foresthill (Residential)	\$0	\$0
Foresthill (Non-Residential)	\$0	\$0
Granite Bay	\$0	\$0
Meadow Vista	\$0	\$0
Newcastle/Horseshoe Bar/Penryn	\$0	\$0
Placer Central	\$0	\$0
Placer East	\$0	\$0
Placer West	\$165	\$170
Sunset	\$246	\$254
Tahoe	\$0	\$0

**Note:**

1. This change reflects an increase of 3.11 percent for the period from May 2015 to May 2016 for the Placer County – City of Roseville Fees. The rate is based on the average of the 20-Cities and San Francisco Construction Cost Indexes (CCIs) as published by the Engineering News Record publication.
2. The change becomes effective September 12, 2016
3. Sunset Countywide fee is 'per 1000 SF' for new square footages