## Report of Conversation

**Conversation Type:** Phone conversation  
Lindsay Christensen contacted Charles Emmett

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Hauge Brueck Associates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job</td>
<td>Homewood Ski Resort EIR/EIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Task Number</td>
<td>[Click and type project and task number]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>March 30, 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>[Click and type time]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Contact    | Charles Emmett  
Air Quality and Noise Principal Planner  
Tahoe Regional Planning Agency |
| Phone      | 775-589-5288   
Ext: [Click and type extension] |
| ICF Employee | Lindsay Christensen  
Air Quality and Noise Specialist  
Sacramento, CA |
| Phone      | 916-737-3000   
Ext: 231-7614 |

**Subject:** Discussion of noise impacts in Plan Areas where ambient noise currently exceeds TRPA thresholds

**Question:** What constitutes a significant impact in a Plan Area where the ambient noise levels are already in exceedance of TRPA thresholds?

- In a Plan Area where existing ambient noise exceeds TRPA thresholds, an impact would be considered significant if the project would result in *any* increase in noise.
- Noise should be mitigated to existing noise levels, but does not need to be mitigated to 1982 levels.