









# **Sheridan Community Plan Update**

# Sheridan Subcommittee Working Group Meeting Four

April 17, 2013; 7:00 PM - 9:00 PM

# Meeting at a Glance

The fourth meeting of the Sheridan Community Plan Update working group was held on Wednesday, April 17, 2013 at the Stewart Hall in Sheridan. There were 24 individuals in attendance, including County and Caltrans staff.

The meeting was led by Jennifer Byous of the Planning Services Division. The meeting began with presentation from Samuel Jordan of Caltrans, and then followed with discussion of circulation and roads led by Andrew Gaber from the Placer County Department of Public Works and finally discussion of parks and trails by Andy Fisher from Facility Services, Parks Division.

#### What Was Presented?

**I-80/State Route 65 Interchange improvement past, present and future – Samuel Jordan, Caltrans** Samuel Jordan, a Transportation Engineer and Project Manager for Caltrans, provided an update on the Highway 65 bypass project and other plans for the corridor.

Phase One of the Lincoln Bypass opened to the public on October 7, 2012 at a cost of \$151 million. The project is 98 percent complete with punch list items remaining to be completed. They are hoping to complete the punch list by June or July of this year, and after completion, Caltrans will relinquish old SR 65 to Placer County. Phase 2-A is under construction. This will add two additional travel lanes between Nelson and N. Farm. There is no funding in place to expand the stretch from N. Farm to Sheridan from two to four lanes.

Other upgrades on Highway 65 are planned due to growth and increasing traffic congestion between Rocklin, Roseville and Lincoln. Four alternatives are being considered to redesign the 65/I-80 interchange. There are also plans to add a carpool lane from I-80 to the City of Lincoln. Both are long-term projects requiring funding.

Based on questions, Caltrans to look into: moving dead end signs on old 65 closer to Riosa Road intersection; remove warning light for Rail Road crossing tracts that only pertains to one resident now; look into concern and monitor what's going on with south lane and people turning left and driving wrong way; concern of standing water on corner going toward Wheatland, and possible bike connection on dead end portion of old 65.

#### Circulation/Roads - Andrew Gaber, Public Works Department

Public Works deals with the circulation of the local streets in the Plan area. Discussed transportation of new development and what would be required and how will the community be affected. Sheridan area has multiple agencies to control different roads with various funding sources. The County handles the local roads, Caltrans the highway, SACOG coordinates projects on a regional level, and SPARTA collects funds from developers to pay for highway projects in western Placer County.

Public Works is responsible for the Circulation Element of the Community Plan and will look at the adequacy of roads along with bike routes. Sheridan's level of service for roads is classified as Service Level A which is low volume and low speed traffic and is projected to stay at that level due to limited development because of lack of water and sewer services. Andrew spoke of when new development or subdivision comes in how to best handle the roads. Should we ask for sidewalks curb and gutter or would that money be better spent for drainage problems? Development standards of new subdivision should meet the rural roads standards. They would look at frontage improvements and what the internal road would be. It is likely the County would request drainage improvements but not curb and gutters because they would not connect anywhere.

An interesting challenge for Sheridan would be to meet the "Complete Streets Act" which was put in place by the state that requires new or improved roads to meet the needs of motorists, pedestrians and cyclists. Due to the limited population of Sheridan, there is no current public transit service.

DPW will contact a community member that raised concerns about the Safe Route to School project that was recently completed. The member commented that the project was poorly executed, cars park on the paths, kids still walk down the middle of the street, and the paths are not ADA compliant.

#### Parks/Trails – Andy Fisher, Department of Facility Services

Parks and trails are provided by standards contained in the Placer County General Plan. The Community Plan is more detailed and specific to an area but needs to be consistent with the overall General Plan. He gave history of Sheridan Community Plan which was written in 1976 and what was being requested in the Community Plan back then. The County obtains a park fee when a building permit is issued: today \$4,105 for single family dwelling, \$2,990 for multi-family dwelling, \$2,710 for an age-restricted unit such as a granny-flat. Fees are spent to improve or expand parks in the area in which they are collected. He mentioned the different classes of bikeways Class 1, 2 and 3 and the standards associated with each. The community will be considering adding a trails map to the new Community Plan.

# "Homework"

1) Think about the current trails in the area and where they may be connected and made official public trail on a map to go into the community plan, also think about what areas, if any, be designated a scenic corridor. Q. What would be the benefit? A. To keep view shed, limit height of structures, preserve right of ways.

### **Next Meeting**