

Date: October 14, 2015
Proj. Name: Multigenerational Community Center
JKA #: 15-240

Location: Placer County Justice Center

1. PROJECT GOALS

- A. Provide a feasibility study for a Multigenerational Community Center to support programs, events and activities for a wide range of ages, abilities and community needs.
- B. To define a sustainable solution that seeks a balance between the Social + Environmental + Economic needs for a Multigenerational Community Center/Services enriching the greater Auburn region.
- C. The following is the overall process and approach to assisting Placer County in preparing a feasibility study.

- IMAGINE | Step 1. Capture the Big Picture “Vision” | June
Step 2. Identify Community Goals & Needs | July – September
- DESIGN | Step 3. Assess Existing Assets & Partnerships | July – September
Step 4. Develop Economics Analysis | August – September
- CREATE | Step 5. Document Feasibility Study | October
Step 6. Review & Approve | November

2. WORKSHOP ACTIVITY: FEASIBILITY CONCEPTS | THE FOLLOWING COMMENTS ARE FROM THE COMMUNITY PARTICIPANTS

A. Concept 1 – Dewitt Center

- Likes
 - Best Site, take time to do it right
 - County already owns the land
 - Near major senior population centers
 - Max flexibility to do the right thing
 - More space
 - Plenty of room and best location for retirement homes
 - Like the amount of land available
 - Dewitt client base
 - Best opportunity for true multigenerational interaction for current and future generations
 - Flexible programming, all inclusive
 - Do the right thing, drop the coin
 - We can build what is needed
 - Dewitt buildings still viable
 - Its public land that should be used by the public
 - Consistent with mixed use designation of master plan
 - Ability to accommodate long term growth and demonstrates change in community
 - Already has a swimming pool, needs work, but already there
 - \$40 Million is reasonable considering PC spent \$23 million on animal center
 - Already own the land so we are in charge
 - Meets the mixed use of the community plan

- Centrally located, we all know how to get there, including bus
- Should be in the hub of activity, love the idea of sharing space with younger people
- 86,000 – room to expand, one location – perfect, open transportation
- Address all needs, is a community center and will be under control of CC
- Dislikes
 - Cost
 - Time

B. Concept 2 – North Auburn Campus / Regional Park & Parkside

- Likes
 - Near major senior population center
 - Economical. Best location
 - Ability to get something going quickly
 - Could be interim use
 - Like Parkside Church at least temporarily
 - Like proximity of indoor and outside space
 - Best option for use of existing buildings
 - Best option for generating income
 - Exists
 - Structure already there, would prefer not leaving church in charge of organizing functions
 - Symphony interested, \$options available
 - Time and money
 - Large space
 - Multi-venue
- Dislikes
 - Public transportation poor, too far from central Auburn
 - Airport overflight conflict
 - No aquatics
 - Needs good transit
 - Piecemeal, fragmented
 - Not as much space available
 - Not a viable long term option
 - Don't like sharing space with another entity, it would be endless problems and there is less space so no expansion

C. Concept 3 – Bowman Campus / Supplemental Option

- Likes
 - More centrally located for greater Auburn
 - Access to freeway
 - Okay with program here
 - Plan already stamped
 - Like access
- Dislikes
 - Poor location for seniors
 - Too far from population centers
 - Don't this this option is right for seniors
 - Have you driven there when school lets out?
 - Restricted use due to school classes
 - Bad traffic during school hours
 - Have small children around during the day

- Too close to elementary school
- Too much shared space
- Not excited about joint use
- Limited parking
- Sharing space, no expansion, off the beaten path
- Shared space with school activities

3. NEXT STEPS

- ❖ Economic Analysis: Capitol costs and operating costs

4. ATTACHMENTS: MCC Community Workshop 3 101415 sm

END OF DOCUMENT

Note: This record is intended to summarize the comments made and agreements reached. Participants wishing to modify or clarify this record shall submit a written copy of such interpretation to the author in a timely manner. Upon receipt, the interpretation shall be added as an amendment and distributed at the next available meeting.