

Project Name: Placer County Government Center Master Plan Update
Project Number: 153700
Meeting Number: CW002 Community Workshop #2
Date/Time: Wednesday, August 24, 2016 5:30pm-7:30pm
Location: Community Development Resource Center
 Planning Commission Room
 3091 County Center Drive, Auburn, CA

Item #	Notes
CW002.01	Project Update
	<p>The County and planning team presented an update to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possible locations of a Multi-generational Center in North Auburn • Process and Schedule Update • Community Workshop#1 Report-Out • Master Plan Vision and Guiding Principles • Context Diagrams • Master Plan Options
CW002.02	General Comments on Presentation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern on how master plan will respond to surplus land act • Concern on traffic impacts at Atwood and Highway 49 intersection including Drive-In Way • Concern on monetizing surplus assets and reserving land for future County services • Would like to see innovative mixed use zoning concepts with affordable housing • Possible effects of the runway modification at Auburn Airport • Concern on equal opportunities of adaptive reuse of DeWitt buildings in all options • Concern on campus safety. Crime rate in Auburn has tripled. • Commercial development in Auburn should fit the environment. No more big box stores and should not go to a direction similar to commercial developments in Roseville. • Create a beautiful space for the community and improve quality of life – town square, incubator space due to proximity to airport, higher education satellite campus, high wage jobs, etc. • Considerations for affordable housing locations on campus
CW001.03	Comments on Master Plan Options
	<p>Option 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bike/Pedestrian access through Atwood 20/Atwood 80 Subdivisions • The best option!! Commercial is at a lower grade and can be separate from government uses. • Social services here. • More senior affordable housing and walkways to multi-recreation center • Be creative, more cutting edge, more artistic housing. • Need more walkways. • Consolidate social services to less land. • It looks like this option has the most potential to retain historic structures. Please identify how the historic character of the site will be retained. • WORK FORCE HOUSING NEEDED. • What criteria will be used to determine what historic buildings will be saved? • Need more housing. • Too many roads.

- Best option of the 4 presented. Preserve existing buildings.
- Existing commercial areas are good for commercial use.
- Need more mixed use/mixed zoning with residential. This will lead to more activity, SAFER areas.
- Realistic amount of commercial which is more of what people asked for. Best option.
- Residential must be within half mile of transit and commercial to be competitive for government funding.
- Preserve DeWitt Buildings.
- Not enough residential, need more affordable plus workforce housing. Market rate, too. Consider residential above commercial.
- Need Trumps ALL; 1) more retail? NO. 2) Low income housing? Yes. 3) Mental health facility - a whole complete campus? Yes. 5) Surplus land? How dare you! Need no development for the sake of development! 6) Generate tax dollars? Housing is more important. Overdevelopment, especially of totally, unneeded retail, especially, Big Box is ruining our community!

Option 2:

- Need more housing
- Too much commercial! Public land for public uses.
- Young people need affordable, exciting, lively places to live.
- Communities are healthier with more affordable diverse housing options.
- More workforce housing - creative infill housing. 2nd floor housing with 1st floor commercial.
- Too much commercial.
- Expand Historical Buildings.
- Preserve DeWitt Museum.
- Reduce commercial, more access for seniors.
- Museums need more storage space.
- Preserve DeWitt Buildings.
- Homeless situation is sad. House the homeless (mentally ill, unemployed, young families, veterans) and the homeless will be no longer a problem! Become families with the existing projects in Fremont ABODE.
- Mixed use across all areas - not so segregated.
- Increase residential mixed use.
- Not even close to enough affordable workforce residential. Barely pays lip service to the need.
- This street pattern seems to make the most sense. Providing a “main street” type commercialism is an interesting idea- may allow more mixed residential use.
- This should not be commercial it should be open space. (Area north of the intersection of Professional Drive and 1st Street)
- 2% is not enough residential!
- Too much commercial – but if commercial is of this size, I like removing the roadway to Atwood.
- Museum archive storage space.
- I like “central square” idea with walkways connecting and the 1st St, B Avenue and Willow Creek and Richardson roundabout, only concern is about enough parking.
- Too much commercial!

Option 3:

- County has an affordable housing problem- there needs to be more housing.
- Gold Country Wild Life Center needed. At old Animal Service Buildings?
- It appears you are trying are trying to cut housing to avoid affordable housing.
- Need more mixed zoning use!
- Not enough mixed use.
- Museum space for storage and an archival research center open to the public, maybe include a genealogical research center as part of archives research center.
- Too much commercial.
- Too much commercial, not enough housing.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Less residential the better! 2% is a good number. • Too much commercial. Public land for public uses. • Too much commercial use. • Like the idea of residential over commercial. • Not enough residential. This allocation is inadequate. • Too much commercial. I like the use integration but the “Fountains” are terrible. • Seems too “cut up” compared to option 2. • Too much commercial! • There is already too much parking lot/asphalt. • Way too much commercial. • Too much commercial.
	<p>Option 4:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More housing and less commercial. • Prefer option 4 to the others. • Blend affordable housing into future commercial and future community areas. • Walking trails are great. • Preserve Dewitt Museum. • More museum space storage and archival research center (open to the public) • I like the large open space in the center and the use of County Center Drive. I like the central square idea with the roads. • Good open space. • Preserve DeWitt Hospital Building. • Multi-Generational Community Center (MGCC) would benefit from all of the planned features of DeWitt growth. The participants in the MGCC could focus their activities in one place. Putting the MGCC in a remote location might limit its success. If Parkside location can't open a pre-school why couldn't it be a MGCC? • Love central Active Open Space. • Not enough residential. Severe crisis of lack of affordable housing. Surplus of land should be used for this purpose. • Is commercial retail or other jobs? • Prefer reducing roadways Atwood such as this. Limit commercial use. • May be best choice overall. • More residential than this. • Good overall with green space in center but not enough housing. Too much commercial. • Could we have more residential and less commercial? • Too much commercial. • Good open space concept.

THE ABOVE IS OUR UNDERSTANDING OF DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS ON THIS DATE. IT WILL BE ASSUMED CORRECT, UNLESS WE ARE NOTIFIED TO THE CONTRARY WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS OF RECEIPT.