

MEMORANDUM
COUNTY OF PLACER
ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DEPARTMENT
PROCUREMENT SERVICES DIVISION

TO: Honorable Board of Supervisors
FROM: David Seward, Purchasing Manager *DS*
DATE: June 13, 2006
SUBJECT: RFQ No. 9532 – Architectural Consulting Services
Recommendation to Adopt a List of Qualified Firms

ACTION REQUESTED

Adopt a qualified list of architectural consulting firms to provide design assistance and related services for various projects in Placer County, effective for a three-year period.

BACKGROUND

The Procurement Services Division has developed a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for architectural services at the request of the Capital Improvements Division of Facility Services. The intent of the solicitation is to create a list of qualified firms from which Capital Improvements can use for design assistance and related services on an as-needed basis. The Request for Qualifications (RFQ) was announced to 151 firms, and published on Procurement's website. Statements of qualifications were received from 14 firms.

An evaluation panel was established to rate the submittals in accordance with the evaluation criteria contained in the RFQ. Attachment A shows their individual and collective scores. The RFQ stated an intent to name six (6) firms to the qualified list. The scores support this recommendation. The list will be effective for 3 years.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no immediate fiscal impact resulting from this action. Individual contracts will be negotiated with one or more firms as needed, and the resulting expenditures will be funded from existing budgets or project funds, as appropriate. Contracts of less than \$50,000.00 may be executed by the Purchasing Manager. Contracts of \$50,000.00 or more will require your Board's approval.

Attachment A: Evaluation Spreadsheet

cc: Rob Unholz, Capital Improvements Manager

Attachment A

COUNTY OF PLACER, AUBURN, CA
EVALUATION OF RFQ NO. 9532 - ARCHITECTURAL CONSULTING SERVICES

CONSULTANTS	Location	Rater 1		Rater 2		Rater 3		FINAL SCORE	FINAL RANK
		Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank		
WLC Architects Inc.	Folsom	79.00	1	87.00	8	97.00	1	263.00	1
MFDB Architects	Sacramento	76.50	6	93.00	2 (tie)	87.00	9 (tie)	256.50	2
Lionakis Beaumont Design Group	Sacramento	69.00	8	96.00	1	87.00	9 (tie)	252.00	3
Rhetta Associates Inc.	Sacramento	77.50	2	83.00	10 (tie)	90.00	4	250.50	4
Stantec Architecture	Sacramento	60.00	10	93.00	2 (tie)	95.50	2	248.50	5 (tie)
Williams + Paddon	Roseville	71.50	6 (tie)	88.00	7	89.00	5	248.50	5 (tie)
HMR Architects	Sacramento	72.00	5	86.00	9	87.50	8	245.50	7
Stafford King Wiese Architects	Sacramento	68.00	9	92.00	4 (tie)	83.00	12 (tie)	243.00	8
Comstock Johnson Architects	Sacramento	75.00	4	83.00	10 (tie)	83.00	12 (tie)	241.00	9
ATI Architects & Engineers	Roseville	58.00	13	91.00	6	88.00	6 (tie)	237.00	10 (tie)
Arthur Tam & Associates	Davis	59.00	11 (tie)	92.00	4 (tie)	86.00	11	237.00	10 (tie)
Ward-Young Architects	Truckee	71.50	6 (tie)	73.00	13	81.50	14	226.00	12
Wiss, Janney, Elstner (WJE)	Auburn	54.00	14	81.00	12	88.00	6 (tie)	223.00	13
Kitchell	Sacramento	59.00	11 (tie)	72.00	14	90.50	3	221.50	14

* The Public Contract Code prohibits consideration of Local Vendor Preference for these services, as they are considered "pre-construction activities". Please also note that Cost has not been considered in this evaluation.