# MEMORANDUM PLACER COUNTY

## Community Development Resource Agency

TO:

Honorable Board of Supervisors

FROM:

John Marin Community Development Resource Agency Interim Director

SUBJECT:

Implementation - Phase III Organization and Process Improvements, Fee

Structure

DATE:

April 4, 2006

Action Requested: Approve implementation of Community Development Resource Agency (CDRA) Phase III, associated budget revisions, authorization to proceed with an updated allocation ordinance, closure of the CDRC counter each Wednesday from 8-9 a.m. and authorization to proceed with fee adjustments to various land use applications.

Background: On March 29, 2005, your Board approved the creation of the Placer County Community Development Resource Agency. The Agency was established to improve the processes and functions related to land development in Placer County. The Agency, as proposed, will provide a coordinated response to all projects from the newly implemented Pre-Development meetings, improved Environmental coordination and tracking, process improvement, and one stop permitting in the Planning, Building and Engineering and Surveying Division. The Board of Supervisors approved formation of the Agency with three implementation phases: Phase 1 (creation of the Agency) was completed in March 2005; Phase 2 (implementation of the Agency structure) was completed in July 2005; Phase 3 (final program implementation) will provide the action necessary to complete the amelioration of the Agency. In working with various stakeholder groups, the Agency has streamlined the environmental review process and increased efficiencies in several areas:

**Pre-Development meetings** have curtailed the number of re-submittals required for a project, from as many as five to an average of two, thereby significantly reducing the review times on projects. A definitive project submittal checklist has been distributed, reducing any guesswork by applicants, and reducing review time.

Tahoe Land Development process improvements include mandatory Tahoe area Pre-Development meetings with appropriate staff. The Agency received strong support from Supervisor Kranz and CEO staff, which allowed Tahoe allocations to move forward from March 2006 to January 2006. By July 2006, the Agency will implement outside contract reviews for quicker turnaround time during peak periods, and with the creation of the Tahoe Zoning Administrator, increasing the consistency of service and policy implementation between land use departments and environmental coordination of all projects. An additional support staff position jointly used by the CEO at Tahoe, and Redevelopment staff, will assist in the implementation of Tahoe office functions.

CDRA staff, working closely with the County Executive Office, has implemented an action plan (Phase I, II) that integrates **Geographic Information System** technology (G.I.S.) to the project review process. The **Placer Land Use System** (PLUS) is an on-line land use application which tracks and reviews all projects submitted to front counter CDRA technicians. PLUS enables the

County, public and stakeholder groups to monitor the timeliness of review, amount of applications, and tracking of staff for specific tasks to review the project.

Phase III represents the final actions necessary to complete the transition of the CDRA (the Agency) as a complete entity, incorporating all land use functions under an umbrella agency. Actions requested for Phase III include:

- 1. Elevation of the Survey/Engineering Division to a full service land use department under the CDRA.
- 2. The fee adjustments are comparable, or below other jurisdictions (Roseville, Rocklin, Sacramento County) in most areas. I will be reviewing the proposed adjustments with stakeholders, including Building Industry Association officials and Placer County Contractors Association members. Most fees have not been adjusted since 1993, and are out of step with other agencies fee schedules.
- Recognition of process improvements including mandatory Pre-Development meetings, mandatory Environmental Review Committee (ERC) meetings, processing milestones, standardized EIR format, stakeholder involvement, and ongoing review and input by stakeholder subgroups.
- 4. Allocation of 14 new positions in the CDRA, 1 reclassification, 1 new position in CEO, and the transfer of 2 Facility Services Department allocations, and 1 Department of Public Works allocation to the Community Development Resource Agency.

The increase in the number of positions within the Planning, Building and Engineering Services departments are the result of a series of stakeholder meetings that identified a desire to improve turnaround time in plan check, parcel map review, staff report availability, code enforcement actions and public access to reports before and after public hearing review. For example, subdivision improvement plan check turnaround is currently 6 weeks for the first plan check. With the appropriate staffing increase, the initial review would become 4 weeks. Parcel map review time and minor Boundary Resolutions are not reviewed initially for 8-12 weeks after submission. The CDRA standard shall be 4 weeks from submittal of a complete application. Similar standards are proposed for other applications in the Building and Planning departments.

The reallocation of two positions from Facility Services will provide a more complete engineering service function within CDRA. The two engineering positions currently provide support to the Utility Division and will continue to review the expansion of utilities and services for major/minor projects within the County.

#### CDRA ADMINISTRATION

ŀ	Account Clerk	Transfer from DPW
1	Accountant Auditor	Agency Accounting Support
1	Secretary Journey	Environmental coordination

#### ENGINEERING/SURVEYING

1 Assistant Director (unfunded) 1 Associate Surveyor Survey and mapping/meet State mandate 1 Assistant Engineer Tahoe support 1 Senior Engineer Transfer from Facility Services/Plan check utilities Engineering Tech I/II Transfer from Facility Services/Plan check 1 utilities 1 To support Engineering Services Director Executive Secretary

#### BULLDING

1 Executive Secretary To support Chief Building Official
2 Admin Clerks E/J CDRA counter support
1 Code Enforcement To support Tahoe code enforcement effort Officer I/II Official and P-7 implementation
1 Building Inspector I/II To support TRPA building inspector service

#### **PLANNING**

3 Senior Planners To address current workload issues, support succession planning effort, initiate Tahoe

Zoning Administration hearings. One position is funded 1/3 by the Redevelopment Agency to support P-7 activities.

#### COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE

1 Admin Secretary CEO support staff (Tahoe) funded 1/4 by CDRA, 1/4 by CEO,

1/4 by the Redevelopment Agency to support Tahoe Land

development and Administration

Reclassification

Building Re-class 1 – CDRA Technician I/II to Senior CDRA

Technician

- 6. Authorize staff to continue review of land use processing improvements with various stakeholder groups:
  - a. Housing Stakeholder Group
  - b. Tahoe Stakeholder Group
  - c. Environmental Review Stakeholder Group
  - d. Processing Stakeholder Group
  - e. Improvement Plan Stakeholder Group
- 7. Fee Adjustments CDRA staff, after consultation with various stakeholder groups, is proposing to modify fees for the following applications:

<u>Type</u>	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
a. Major EIAQ - Initial St	udy with 985	6,000
Negative Dec		
b. Minor EIAQ	405	3,000
c. Tentative Parcel Map	585/tot	1,200
d. Pre-Development meeti	ings NONE	* 1,000
e. Design Review - Type	A 3,375	3,500
f. Design Review-Comme	ercial 170	1,000
g. Design Review -Single	Family 55	200
h. Minor Boundary Adjust	tment 735	750
i. Variance	710/875	1,200
j. MUP Type C	545	1,750
k. CUP – Type A	3,170	3,500
<ol> <li>CUP – Type B</li> </ol>	2,505	2,600
m. CUP – Type C	1,480	2,500
n. Record of Survey	100	200
o. Final Parcel Map	600/25 parcel	1,250/50 parcel
p. Improvement Plans-MA	J .75% - 1% of eng est	1.05% - 2.1%
q. Improvement Plans-Mir	or .75% - 1% eng est	1.05% - 2.1%
r. Improvement Plans-Cor	nm .75% - 1% eng est	1.05% - 2.1%
s. Final Map	1,700 + 20/lot	2,500 + 20/lot

<sup>\*</sup> Mandatory Pre-Development Meeting fee will apply to future planning entitlements if submitted within 1 year of meeting.

#### Fiscal Analysis

The implementation of the Phase III process improvements, fee revisions and position allocations will increase the 2006-07 CDRA budget by \$1.47 million. The increase is offset by an estimated fee increase of \$1.05 million. The fee increase, in conjunction with Tahoc Land Development Processing Improvements and coordinated program efficiencies countywide (Environmental Review, Mandatory Pre-development Review), provide a complete land use / Development / Resource Agency. The creation of the Agency has resulted in a County cost of just over \$500,000 annually. The total number of positions in the Community Development Resource Agency will be 169, with a total budget of \$22 million.

## Conclusion and Recommendation

Approve the following actions relative to the development, implementation and refinement of the Community Development Resource Agency:

- Approve creation of the Engineering and Surveying Department under the Community Development Resource Agency.
- Direct CDRA staff to return to your Board with an ordinance adopting these
  additional allocations proposing; Attachment D, 14 additional positions in CDRA, 1
  position in the County Executive Office, transfer 2 positions from Facility
  Services/Special Districts and 1 position from the Department of Public
  Works/Administration, and reclassify 1 position.
- Approving closing counter services each Wednesday from 8-9 a.m. at the Community Development Resource Center to allow for training and staff development.
- 4. Approve a budget revision in the amount of \$291,501.84 to fund new positions for the remainder of fiscal year 2005-2006.
- 5. Direct the Community Development Resource Agency to return your Board with and updated fee ordinance.

Attachments: A) Phase III Position Requests; B) Fee Study Comparison; C) CDRA Budget Revision

cc: Tom Miller, County Executive Officer Nancy Nittler, Personnel Director



# Community Development Resource Agency Phase III Position Requests

Allocation Status

Position

Current New Transler Recipss Proposed

CORA	Arton	Iniai	tratic	'n

استريات	,		_ <del></del> -		Accountant Auditor	To support Accounting Services to the Agency	<u> </u>
<u> </u>		1			Account Clerk	Allocation transfer of Acct Clark position from DPW to CDRA	Filled by DPW Acct Tech
<u> </u>			** 1		Admin Secretary to Admin Tech	Personnel support to eli Agency statt.	Comparable with other county departments
	,				Secretary-Journey	Environmental Coordination administrative support.	
.17	2	1	. 0	20	Total CDRA Administration		

#### Engineering and Surveying

		_ <del></del>		]	Director Engineering and Surveying		
	_ 1 ]			ĺ	Assistant Director (unfunded)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	 	1	•		Senior Engineer	Transfer from Facility Services - Special Districts	Provides plan check services
į	1		<u> </u>		Associate Surveyor	Provides support to Surveying and Mapping to meet State mandates	
i	ļ		<u> </u>		Assistant Engineer	Construction Inspections and Soils testing and Tahoe	
		1		1	Engineering Tech VII	Transfer from Facility Services - Special Districts	Provides sewer construction inspection services
			1		CDRA Technician I/II	Reclass to Sr. CDRA Technician	Provides additional staffing flexible
	1		!	i <del></del>	Executive Secretary	Support to Director	
45	4_	2	Q	.51	Total Engineering and Surveying		

#### **Building and Code Enforcement**

	1	1			Building Inspector I/II	Provide Tahoe TRPA, plan check and building inspection services	
	1				Cade Enforcement Othcer Wil	Provide general code enforcement support to Tahoe due to reassignment of supervising plenner.	
L			••1		CDRA Technician VII	Reclass to Code Enforcement Officer	
	1	<u> </u>	<u>.                                    </u>		Executive Secretary	Support to Chief Building Official	
	2		1 <del>-</del>		Admin Clark E/J	Community Development Resource Center - Greaters	
Ĺ <u></u> _		<u> </u>	1		CDRA Technician IJh	Rectass to Senior (CDRA) Building Technician I/II	Provides additional staffing flexibility as move will separate front and back office tech support
48	5_	Ţ <u></u>	1	53	Total Building and Code Enforceme	nt	

#### Planning

ļ	7	I	Γ΄ –	ل. ـــــ. با	ſ·	Zoning Administrator functions to Aubum and Tahoa (current services are	<del> </del>
	3	<b></b>			Senior Planners	provided by extre-help employee) and additional project support.	Some funding provided by Redevelopment
[ "-	-1	Τ—		Ϊ Τ		Position will provide direction supervision to 2-Sr. Board Clk and 2-Board	T
l	<u>i                                    </u>		:	نہ۔،۔۔ا	*Supervising Board Commission Clerk	Člks.	;
	ا	<u> </u>	٠٠,		Executive Secretary	Reclass to Staff Services Analyst I/II	
	: a	<u> </u>		46	Total Dispulse		· <del> </del>

Total Community Development Resource Agency

<sup>\*\*</sup> Raclasses with incumbants require Personnel / Civil Service Commission process



<sup>\*</sup> Personnel to include in allocation ordinance to BOS April 4, 2006

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RESOURCE AGENCY Fee Study Companison As of Wards 2006

Fub Cost

6 Assa	wiprocess improvenients	Current Fee	New Recommended Fee	Note on Placer Co <u>urnly leg :</u>	Sanramento County	Rosewie	Rocklin	Lincaln (	Olhe:
Service Arga					!		Initial Study(Neg Dec. \$3,408; Migitaled Neg Dec. \$4,554	Mit Neg Dec \$1,780,Initial Study \$1,215	
Magor GIAO	<u>  5   11,123.67  </u>	\$ 405.00			A. C. (3)	1890	\$3,406	<del></del>	
Mine: EIAQ	\$ 4,903,54				\$6,389	<del></del>	\$5,030	<del></del>	<del>-</del>
Teolairee Parcet Map	1,282.52	3 7773.56	<del></del>	\$595/resulting tot	20.363	4 (08.27.100	No Charge	{	
Mandaiory Predictelysten Migs	\$ 2,345 01		*\$1,000			<del></del>	No Charge	<del>                                     </del>	
Design Review Type ASB	<u>5 4,242.91</u>	<u> 3,375.00</u>	3,500.00			 		\$1,000 deposit/ at cost	
Design Review Commercial Remodel	\$ 1,229.38	\$ 170.00	\$ 1,000.00		\$5,597	\$3,16	\$4.075	\$1,000 deposit/ at cost	
Design Review SFD	\$ 559.52	3	<u> </u>		l—	\$9.10		\$1,000 depose flat cost	
<u> </u>	\$ 920.05	S735.01	750.00	\$735 per anjustment			\$3,015	s	
Vaganore	\$1,996,02	<u> </u>	\$ 1,200.00		ZA \$4,318; 80\$ \$5,911	Develop Standauts-Pubic Hearing \$1,390 Parking Standards \$1,660 interpretation Hearing Required \$1,050, Zorving Interpretation No Hearing \$50	Planning Commission \$2,543; Staff Review \$637	\$1,330 (no heanng), \$3.65\$	
: 		\$ 675.0	\$ 1,200.00			<u> </u>	\ !	]	
MURIC	\$ 2,210.56	\$ 545.0	a 5 1,750.00			\$2.29	\$7,60	1	
CVP Att	.   5. <u>- 5.970.58</u>	3 3,170.0	0 S 3,500.00		7.19	12.78	0 \$2,52	7 \$3,210	
ÇU <u>P</u> B	.1	\$ 2,505 0	2,600,00			1	]		
CUP C.	\$ 4,176,21	.51,48 <u>9.</u> 0	3 2,500.00			\$2.79	\$7,60	\$1.605	
Record of Survey	\$ 1,205,24	5 100.0	0 \$200		At Cost - \$500/estimate	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	EiDorado \$135 for two sheets + \$25 each additional Nevada County \$150
Final Parket Map	5 2,038.72	\$55	0   \$1,250 + \$50/parcel	\$600 + \$25/parce	<u> </u>		el \$3,843/depos	် <u>ရ</u>	
Interevienent Plans-Major Sab	\$ 25,071,56	\$ 10,500 0	0 1.05%102.1%	0.75% to 1% of englestimate	<del></del>	A1 Cost   deposit = 2 5% of estimal	At Cost (+/- 0 5% to 2%	At Cost [deposit = 1% of est	t
Improvement Plaus Minor Sub	5 7,32 <u>2</u> 3 <u>4</u>	\$ 850.0	0 1.65% to 2.1%	0.75% to 1% of englest-mail			e) At Cost (+/- 0.5% to 29	A1 Cast (deposit = 1% of est	
Imployeened Plans Comments	_ \2_599_44	\$ 5,000,0	1.95% 10 2.1%	D 75% to 1% of englestimas	Al Cost (* i- 1 % - 2% c of est)	Al Cost (deposit = 2.5% of estimat	e) At Cost (+/- 0.5% to 23	Al Cost (depus): = 1% e1 es	ļ
Grading Permis	\$ 538,12	1904		<u> </u>	\$750 degrasiVal cost	(\$300 < 500 cy) (\$1,000 deposit plus costs > 500)	[\$1,238 plancheck+\$55 y)		
Finar ksap	\$ 15,450 44	S 650.1	10   12,500 + 320 (o)	\$1,700 + \$20%	At Cost-\$2,500 designate	\$2,500 + \$10	105 \$3,843/depo	51,995 • \$25n:	.q

1 149,876

\$



<sup>\*</sup>Mandatory predevelopment meeting fee to be chedited lowards any future apolication fees if submitted within 1 year of incetting

CDRA Phase III

	Budget Revision														
Posițion	Mos Funded	Mon!h		05/06 \$'s		misc expenses PC,tele,cubbles		Total Budgel Revision	Budget Revision Transfers	06/07 Full Year S&B Cost			006/2007 Full Year Costs	Funding	Source
Accountant Auditor (Acct Clerk from															
1 DPW)	3 9	4,711.00	\$	19,220 88	\$	5,000.00	3	24,220.88		\$	92,025 00	\$	122,393.25	n/a	
2 Account Clerk	10 5	3,108.00	\$	42,268 89	\$	5,000.00	\$	47,268.80		\$	50,868.00	\$	57,654.44	U\a	
3 Secretary-Journey Associate	6 ;	2,818,00	\$	22,994 88	\$	5 000,00	\$	27,994 88		\$	49,600.00	\$	65,968.00	n/a	
4 Surveyor Assistant	2 9	6,313.00	\$	17,171 36	\$	5,000.00	\$	22,171 36		\$	87,941.00	\$	115,764,53	50%	Fees
5 Engineering Executive	2 1	5,454.00	5	14,834 88	\$	6,000 00	\$	19,834 88		\$	94,015 00	s	125 039.95	50%	Fees
6 Secretary	2	3,108.00	\$ 0	8,453.76	5	5,000.00	\$	13,453,76		. \$	60,411.00	\$	80,346.63	n/a	
7 81 MI	2	5,062.00	) <b>S</b>	13,768 64	\$	5,000.00	\$	18,768.64		\$	84,961 00	\$	112,998 13	100%	Fees
Code Enforcement	t														
8 Officer	2	\$ 4.71C O	0 \$	12,811.20	\$	5,000.00	\$	17,811.20		\$	76,442.00	S	101,667.86	n/a	
<b>6 6 6 6 7 6 1 6 1</b>							_					_		charged out to	
9 Admin Clerk	2	\$ 3,108.0	0 3	8,453 76	\$	5,000.00	\$	13,453.76		\$	53,742.00	\$	71,476.86	building occupants	
														charged out to	
10 Admin Clerk	5	,				5,000.00				3	53,742 00			building occupants	
11 Senior Planner	2	. ,				5,000,00				3	103,661.00		137,869.13	50%	Fees
12 Senior Planner	2	\$ 5.062.0	0 \$	13,768.64	\$	5,000 00	\$	18,768 64		\$	103,661.00	- \$	137,869.13	\$0%	Fees
															1/3 funding from ROA at Supervising
13 Senior Planner Supervising Board	2	\$ 5,512.0	0 5	14.992.64	\$	5,000.00	\$	19,992.64	\$26,923.60 from RDA	\$	110,625.00	\$	147,131.25	\$ 40,385.40	Planner level (10/05)
14 Clark	2	\$ 3.875 0	0 \$	10,540.00	\$	5,000.00	\$	15,540.00		\$	88,157.00	\$	117,248.81	n/a	
Totals			•	221,501.84	5	70,000.00	\$	291,501.84	\$ 55,427.60	\$	1,108,951.00	\$	1,474,904.83		



#### PLACER COUNTY

PAS DOCUMENT NO.

Page:

Budget Revision # \_\_\_\_\_\_FOR INDIVIDUAL DEPT USE

## **BUDGET REVISION**

	PO	<u>\$T  </u>	DAT	E:						Cosh Transfer Re		Auditor-Controller												
DEPT NO.	DOC TYPE			total	\$ Amount		TOTAL LINES		Reserve Cancellation Required											County Executive				
_	BR		58	<b>33,</b> (	003.68	}	13			Establish Reserv	e R <del>e</del> q	pired						Board of Supervisors						
		_		E:	TIMATED	REVEN	UE ADJ	USTMEN	<u></u>  T		APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENT									NT.				
DEPT NO.	t/C	Rev	Fund	Sub Fund	OCA	PCA	0113	PROJ.	PROJ. DTL	AMOUNT	DEPT NO.	t/C	Rev	fund	Sub fund	OCA	PCA	OBJ3	PROJ.	PROJ. DYL	AMOUNT			
06	300	_			992233		8 <u>7</u> 64			26,923.60	06	014				992224		1002			84,484.56			
06	906	_			992222		6 <u>7</u> 55			18,768.64	06_	<u>0</u> 14				992224		2840			15,000.00			
06	006	_			992233		8 <u>26</u> 1			28,764.96	06	014	i			991140		1002			40,460.00			
06	006	<u> </u>			991140	L	8272			21,003.12	06	014	_			991140		2840			15,000.00			
	ļ								<u> </u>		06_	014				992222	_	1002			43,487.36			
	<u> </u>								<u></u>		06	014	<u> </u>			992222	_	2840	<u>-</u>		20,000.00			
										·	06	014				992233		1002			53,069.92			
	ļ <u>-</u>										06	014	_			992233		2840	_ <del>.</del>		20,000.00			
											10	015	_			199900		5600	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	196,041.52			
	ļ		-										_											
	ļ <u> </u>								<u> </u>		<u> </u>							<u> </u>						
							<u> </u>		707.11	05.440.00										7074	107 510 01			
		RFA:	SON E	OR RE	EVISION:	Partial v	ear fund	ina for ne	LATOT nmo we	95,460.32 nunity Developm	<b>j</b> ent R	espur	ce A	laena	ev. Fr	naineerina	and Su	ırvevina		TOTAL	487,543.36			
					•		•	•		ff-set by partial f										evenue.				
						11 \	gred by	00			Ext					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>	_					
6			Dep	oartm	ent Head	del		`			•			•				Date:	3/2	9/06				