

Shirlee Herrington

Subject: FW: Question about EIR

From: Crystal Jacobsen
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 9:21 AM
To: lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com
Cc: Shirlee Herrington; Leigh Chavez
Subject: RE: Question about EIR

Hi Loretta,

Thanks for your email. The Draft EIR is set up so that the PCCP is an option for mitigation; it was done this way because the PCCP is not yet adopted. So if PCCP adopted, then the project may mitigate thru the PCCP, and if the PCCP is not adopted, the Draft EIR also identifies traditional mitigation practices that would be implemented.

Thanks,
Crystal

Crystal Jacobsen | Principal Planner, Advanced Planning
Placer County Community Development Resource Agency
Planning Services Division
3091 County Center Drive Ste. 140, Auburn, CA 95603
530.745.3000 (main) | 530.745.3085 (direct) | 530.745.3080 (fax) | cjacobse@placer.ca.gov



From: lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com [<mailto:lorettakmoreno@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 8:22 AM
To: Crystal Jacobsen <CJacobse@placer.ca.gov>
Subject: Question about EIR

Dear Crystal,

I am writing regarding the Sunset Area Plan and Placer Ranch Specific Plan EIR. I called you yesterday but I thought it was better to write.

My question is, given that the PCCP is not yet approved and adopted, how can mitigation measures in the EIR suggest they will use the PCCP? As I know you are aware CEQA does not allow the promise of future action associated with mitigation measures that are currently not feasible because the PCCP does not officially exist. I ask you now because I'm sure there is some explanation for this.

Thank you for letting me know as soon as possible,

Loretta

