

4 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the State CEQA Guidelines (Public Resources Code [PRC] Section 21081.6 and State CEQA Guidelines Sections 15091[d] and 15097) require public agencies “to adopt a reporting and monitoring program for changes to the project which it has adopted or made a condition of project approval to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment.” A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) is required for the project because the EIR identifies potential significant adverse impacts related to the project implementation, and mitigation measures have been identified to reduce those impacts. Adoption of the MMRP would occur along with approval of the project and certification of the EIR.

4.2 STANDARD MITIGATION MONITORING PROGRAM

Placer County has adopted a standard mitigation monitoring program (Section 18.28.030 of the Placer County Environmental Review Ordinance) in order to implement PRC Section 21081.6. This program requires that mitigation measures recommended for discretionary projects, such as the SAP/PRSP Project, be included in the conditions of approval monitored by the County through a variety of permit processes, including (but not limited to) those listed below.

- ▲ Improvements Plan Approval,
- ▲ Improvements Construction Inspection,
- ▲ Encroachment Permit,
- ▲ Final Map Recordation,
- ▲ Acceptance of Project as Complete,
- ▲ Grading Permit Issuance, and
- ▲ Building Permit Issuance.

Placer County includes all mitigation measures from a project’s environmental review document in the project’s Conditions of Approval (COAs). The County’s Environmental Review Committee (ERC) collaborates with, and is typically the same review staff for, the County’s Design Review Committee (DRC) to ensure the COAs are enforced throughout project improvement plan review, construction, final map recordation, and operation, as appropriate. Satisfaction of the COAs is monitored and tracked via the improvement plan review process electronic filing system, as well as the County’s electronic permit tracking system. Improvement plans are sent by the Engineering and Surveying Division (ESD) to all reviewers, including but not limited to Planning Services, Environmental Health, Air Quality, Fire, and the Department of Public Works divisions of Parks, Environmental Engineering, and Transportation, with review and signoff from each reviewer required prior to improvement plan approval. Progression through the improvement plan approval and construction process is dependent on satisfaction of the project’s COAs. Planning Services staff attend ESD’s mandatory pre-construction meetings with the project owner, engineer, and contractor to ensure COAs/mitigation measures protections are in place prior to any on-site disturbance. In addition, County inspectors have the authority to shut down construction activities if COAs/mitigation measures are not being properly satisfied. The County’s monitoring and tracking of compliance with the COAs/mitigation measures are funded by the developer as part of the improvement plan review and construction inspection process. Project construction is not accepted as complete by the County until all DRC reviewers and outside agencies have signed off on the improvements.

4.3 REQUIRED APPROVALS AND PERMITS

The issuance of any of the listed permits or County actions must be preceded by verification by County staff that COAs/mitigation measures have been met. This verification shall serve as the required monitoring for those COAs/mitigation measures. All of the mitigation measures for the SAP/PRSP Project included in the EIR would be monitored through the County's Standard Mitigation Monitoring Program. As indicated in the text of each mitigation measure, compliance with each would be verified by County staff before issuance of required approvals and permits. Table 4-1 identifies each mitigation measure that would be monitored through the County's Standard Mitigation Monitoring Program. In addition, some mitigation measures require ongoing implementation and would require monitoring after the point at which a Final Map is recorded, a Certificate of Occupancy is issued, or other discretionary permit is vested or ministerial permit is accepted as complete. Table 4-1 also identifies the mitigation measures that require ongoing implementation, the party(ies) responsible for implementation, the necessary timing of implementation that would occur outside the scope of the County's Standard Mitigation Monitoring Program, and the mechanisms for monitoring compliance with each mitigation measure.

4.4 TABLE FORMAT

Table 4-1 includes four columns. If a Draft EIR topic, such as biological resources, includes mitigation measures, it is included in the first column of the MMRP table. The first column identifies the mitigation measure and includes the mitigation measure number, title, and text, and reflects changes made to the Draft EIR (See Chapter 2, "Revisions to the Draft EIR"). The same numbering system for mitigation measures (4.1-1a, 4.1-1b, 4.1-2, etc.) is carried over from the Draft EIR discussion into the table. If an issue addressed in the Draft EIR does not result in mitigation, it is not included in the table.

The second column of Table 4-1 identifies the applicable project area. As explained in the Draft EIR (p. 4-6), the various project areas are described as follows:

- ▲ The "Net SAP Area" is the SAP area except for the PRSP area and the other locations discussed below.
- ▲ The "PRSP Area" includes the PRSP area and PRSP-supporting infrastructure within the net SAP area.
- ▲ The "Other Supporting Infrastructure" includes the Pleasant Grove Retention Basin located within the City of Roseville's jurisdiction, and the locations of the other off-site roadway and utility improvements also within the City of Roseville jurisdiction. (See Draft EIR Chapter 3, "Project Description," for details.)

Each mitigation measure specifies the applicable project area to which the measure applies.

The third column of Table 4-1 identifies the agency responsible for enforcing and monitoring each mitigation measure. Sometimes multiple agencies are identified. When the County is the agency responsible for monitoring/enforcing mitigation, the specific department is identified.

The fourth column of Table 4-1 identifies the timing of the initial action, or the "trigger" for implementing the mitigation measure.

The fifth and last column of Table 4-1 identifies the frequency and duration of monitoring. This concept is described briefly above, under "Required Permits and Approvals." In many cases, the mitigation measure is tied to a specific County approval, such as "prior to issuance of building permits." In such cases, the duration of the monitoring is usually limited to the completion of the County approval and no ongoing monitoring is identified.

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
4.1 Aesthetics				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.1-3a: Shield and angle nighttime construction lighting downwards (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Before issuance of grading or building permits for the net SAP and PRSP areas, a note shall be identified on the grading or other improvement plans requiring construction managers or contractors to include shielding on all nighttime lighting used for construction activities and angle all such lighting downwards.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	With submittal of design review application or grading/improvement plans	Satisfied with construction inspection
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.1-3b: Shield and angle nighttime construction lighting downwards (Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and Off-Site Transportation and Utility Improvements) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville with regard to mitigation for nighttime lighting impacts during construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements, which are located in the City of Roseville, including shielding for all nighttime lighting used for construction activities and to angle all such lighting downwards.</p>	Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and Off-Site Transportation and Utility Improvements	Placer County Planning Services Division and City of Roseville	During construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements	Continuously during project construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements
4.2 Agricultural Resources				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.2-1a: Preserve Farmland (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Farmland, as defined under the Placer County CEQA Checklist, shall be preserved in Placer County at a minimum ratio of 1:1, or in accordance with the PCCP at such time it is adopted, for each acre of Farmland converted to nonagricultural use. This is to be accomplished through the approval and implementation of a series of Farmland preservation management plans that address management of specific properties to be preserved for mitigation of converted Farmland. According to the requirements specified below, Farmland preservation management plans for individual preserve sites will accompany each proposed development project, or group of projects, in the net SAP and PRSP areas. No additional mitigation to address the loss of Farmland is required, as long as a substantial portion (as determined by the planning director in consultation with the County agricultural commissioner) of the mitigation lands acquired is undeveloped. Such lands must also have an NRCS soils classification or DOC categorization of the same or greater value than Farmland converted to nonagricultural uses. Mitigation lands will be protected by agricultural conservation easements containing restrictive encumbrances in a form deemed acceptable to and approved by the County. Farmland preserved for the purpose of habitat mitigation may be counted toward the Farmland mitigation measure if the preserved land has the same or better NRCS or DOC classification as the Farmland being converted to nonagricultural use.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	Farmland preservation management plans or PCCP fee payment with submittal of improvement plans	Satisfied with County approval of farmland preservation management plans or fee payment, as applicable

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.2-1b: Preserve Farmland (Pleasant Grove Retention Facility) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville in an effort to preserve Farmland in Placer County at a ratio of 1.35:1 for each acre of Farmland converted to nonagricultural use. This could be accomplished through the approval and implementation of a series of Farmland preservation management plans that address management of specific properties to be preserved for mitigation of converted Farmland. No additional mitigation to address the loss of Farmland would be required beyond the 1.35:1 requirement noted above as long as a substantial portion, as determined by the City’s planning director, in consultation with the County agricultural commissioner, of the mitigation lands acquired is undeveloped and has an NRCS soils classification or DOC categorization of the same or greater value than Farmland converted to nonagricultural uses on the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility property. Mitigation lands would be protected by agricultural conservation easements containing restrictive encumbrances in a form deemed acceptable to and approved by the City. Farmland preserved for the purpose of habitat mitigation may be counted toward the Farmland mitigation measure if the preserved land has the same or better NRCS or DOC classification as the Farmland being converted to nonagricultural use.</p>	<p>Pleasant Grove Retention Facility</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and City of Roseville</p>	<p>Prepare farmland preservation management plans prior to construction</p>	<p>Satisfied with City approval of farmland preservation management plans</p>
<p>4.3 Air Quality</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-2a: Implement PCAPCD’s recommended construction mitigation measures (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Project proponents shall require their construction contractors to implement all of PCAPCD’s recommended construction mitigation measures in place at the time of grading / improvement plan submittal. At the time of writing this EIR, PCAPCD’s recommended construction mitigation measures include measures to address exhaust emissions and dust control (PCAPCD 2017a). This measure would assist the project in achieving compliance with SAP Policies NR-5.4 and NR-5.5.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Prior construction activity, the contractor shall submit a Construction Emission/Dust Control Plan to Placer County Air Pollution Control District (PCAPCD) when the project area to be disturbed is greater than one acre. The Dust Control Plan shall be submitted to the APCD a minimum of 21 days before construction activity is scheduled to commence. The Dust Control Plan can be submitted online via the fill-in form: http://www.placerair.org/dustcontrolrequirements/dustcontrolform. ▲ The contractor shall submit to the PCAPCD a comprehensive equipment inventory (e.g., make, model, year, emission rating) of all the heavy-duty off-road equipment (50 horsepower or greater) that will be used in aggregate of 40 or more hours for the construction project. If any new equipment is added after submission of the inventory, the contractor shall contact the PCAPCD before the new equipment being utilized. At least three business days before the use 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and PCAPCD</p>	<p>With submittal of grading or improvement plans for construction</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of grading or improvement plans for construction; submit equipment inventory before construction begins; contact PCAPCD before any new equipment is utilized; at least three business days before the use of subject heavy-duty off-road equipment, provide the anticipated construction timeline including start date, name, and phone number of the property owner, project manager, and on-site foreman; implement PCAPCD’s recommended construction mitigation measure continuously during construction; ongoing monitoring</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>of subject heavy-duty off-road equipment, the project representative shall provide the PCAPCD with the anticipated construction timeline including start date, name, and phone number of the property owner, project manager, and on-site foreman.</p>				<p>by ESD construction inspection during individual project construction</p>
<p>▲ With submittal of the equipment inventory, the contractor shall provide a written calculation for approval to PCAPCD demonstrating that the heavy-duty (> 50 horsepower) off-road vehicles to be used in the construction project (i.e., owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles), will achieve a project-wide fleet-average of 20 percent of NO_x and 45 percent of diesel PM reduction as compared to the CARB statewide fleet average emissions. Acceptable options for reducing emissions may include the use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, and/or other options as they become available. The following link shall be used to calculate compliance with this condition and shall be submitted to the APCD as described above: http://www.airquality.org/businesses/ceqa-land-use-planning/mitigation (click on the current "Construction Mitigation Tool" spreadsheet under Step 1. If, due to increasingly stringent emissions standards and decreasing CARB statewide fleet average emissions, construction contractors cannot demonstrate the aforementioned emissions reductions, the following would apply.</p> <p>► Incorporate all PCAPCD-recommended emission control measures available at the time of grading or improvement plan submittal, and comply with the State Off-Road Regulation by using diesel construction equipment meeting CARB's Tier 3 standard, or the highest tier available, for on-road and off-road heavy-duty diesel engines. Proof shall be submitted along with the written calculation of the emissions reduction achieved, incorporated additional measures, and engine model-year to be used for all equipment. Proof shall also include submittal of the CARB compliance certificate of the construction fleet to be used.</p> <p><u>Dust Control Measures</u> Include the following standard notes on all Grading or Improvement Plans submitted for construction within the net SAP area or PRSP area:</p> <p>▲ The contractor shall suspend all grading operations when fugitive dust exceeds PCAPCD Rule 228 Fugitive Dust limitations. Fugitive dust is not to exceed 40 percent opacity, nor go beyond the property boundary at any time. Lime or other drying agents utilized to dry out wet grading areas shall not exceed PCAPCD Rule 228 limitations.</p>				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ The contractor shall be responsible for keeping adjacent public thoroughfares clean of silt, dirt, mud, and debris, and shall “wet broom” the streets (or use another method to control dust as approved by the individual jurisdiction) if silt, dirt, mud or debris is carried over to adjacent public thoroughfares. (PCAPCD Rule 228) ▲ During construction activity, traffic speeds on all unpaved surfaces shall be limited to 15 miles per hour or less. (PCAPCD Rule 228) ▲ The contractor shall apply methods such as surface stabilization, the establishment of a vegetative cover, paving, (or use another method to control dust as approved by Placer County) to minimize wind-driven dust. ▲ The contractor shall apply water or use another method to control dust impacts offsite. Construction vehicles leaving the site shall be cleaned to prevent dust, silt, mud, and dirt from being released or tracked off-site. (PCAPCD Rule 228) ▲ The contractor shall suspend all grading operations when wind speeds (including instantaneous gusts) are excessive, and dust is impacting adjacent properties. (PCAPCD Rule 228) <p>Exhaust Control Measures Include the following standard notes on Grading or Improvement Plans submitted for construction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ The contractor shall ensure all construction equipment is maintained properly according to manufacturer’s specifications. ▲ The contractor shall fuel all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment with CARB-certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for off-road use). The contractor shall not discharge into the atmosphere volatile organic compounds (VOCs) caused by the use or manufacture of Cutback or Emulsified asphalts for paving, road construction or road maintenance unless such manufacture or use complies with the provisions of Rule 217. ▲ The contractor shall utilize existing power sources (e.g., power poles) or clean fuel (e.g., gasoline, biodiesel, natural gas) generators rather than temporary diesel power generators and use electrified equipment when feasible. ▲ During construction activity, no open burning of removed vegetation shall be allowed unless permitted by the District. All removed vegetative material shall be either chipped on site or taken to an appropriate recycling site, or if a site is not available, a licensed disposal site. (District Regulation 3) 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ The contractor shall minimize idling time to a maximum of 5 minutes for all diesel-powered equipment. (Placer County Code Chapter 10, Article 10.14; SAP Policy NR-7.14). ▲ Idling of construction-related equipment and construction-related vehicles is not permitted within 1,000 feet of any sensitive receptor (i.e., house, hospital, or school). ▲ Staging and queuing areas shall not be located within 1,000 feet of sensitive receptors. ▲ Construction equipment exhaust emissions shall not exceed PCAPCD Rule 202 Visible Emissions limitations. Operators of vehicles and equipment found to exceed opacity limits are to be immediately notified by the PCAPCD to cease operations, and the equipment must be repaired within 72 hours. (PCAPCD Rule 202) ▲ Operators of vehicles and equipment found to exceed opacity limits will be notified by the PCAPCD, and the equipment must be repaired within 72 hours. (PCAPCD Rule 228) ▲ Any device or process that discharges 2 pounds per day or more of air contaminants into the atmosphere, as defined by Health and Safety Code Section 39013, may require a PCAPCD permit. ▲ Developers/contractors should contact the PCAPCD before construction and obtain any necessary permits before the issuance of a Building Permit. (PCAPCD Rule 501) ▲ The contractor shall submit to the PCAPCD a comprehensive equipment inventory (e.g., make, model, year, emission rating) of all the heavy-duty off-road equipment (50 horsepower or greater) that will be used in aggregate of 40 or more hours for the construction project. If any new equipment is added after submission of the inventory, the contractor shall contact the PCAPCD before the new equipment being utilized. At least three business days before the use of subject heavy-duty off-road equipment, the project representative shall provide the PCAPCD with the anticipated construction timeline including start date, name, and phone number of the property owner, project manager, and on-site foreman. ▲ With submittal of the Dust Control Plan to the PCAPCD, the contractor shall provide a written calculation for approval to PCAPCD demonstrating that the heavy-duty (> 50 horsepower) off-road vehicles to be used in the construction project (i.e., owned, leased, and subcontractor vehicles), will achieve a project-wide fleet-average of 20 percent of NO_x and 45 percent of diesel PM reduction as compared to the CARB statewide fleet average emissions. Acceptable options for reducing emissions may include the use of late model engines, low-emission diesel products, alternative fuels, engine retrofit technology, after-treatment products, and/or other options as they become available. If, because of increasingly stringent emissions standards and decreasing CARB statewide fleet average emissions, construction contractors cannot demonstrate the aforementioned emissions reductions, the following would apply. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-2b: Implement PCAPCD's recommended construction mitigation measures (Other Supporting Infrastructure) To ensure construction contractors for activities occurring outside of Placer County incorporate all available and feasible construction mitigation measures to reduce fugitive dust and exhaust emissions, Placer County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville to include specific requirements for dust suppression and exhaust emission reductions, as outlined above in Mitigation Measure 4.3-2a, as notes on Grading or Improvement Plans submitted for construction.</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)	With submittal of grading or improvement plans for construction	Satisfied with City approval of grading or improvement plans for construction; ongoing monitoring by City construction inspection during individual project construction
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-3a: Reduce area-source emissions associated with land use development (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) The County will require project proponents of development proposed under the project to incorporate the following measures to reduce area-source emissions to the extent feasible.</p> <p>Transportation</p> <p>▲ All truck loading/unloading facilities shall be equipped with one 110/208-volt power outlet for every two dock doors or truck parking spaces. For the purpose of this mitigation measure, a truck loading/unloading facility is defined as any truck distribution yard, truck loading dock, or truck loading or unloading area where more than one truck with three or more axles will be present for more than 10 minutes per week, on average. A minimum 2-foot-by-3-foot sign shall be clearly visible at each loading dock that indicates, "Diesel engine idling limited to a maximum of 5 minutes." The sign shall include instructions for diesel trucks idling for more than 5 minutes to connect to the 110/208-volt power to run any auxiliary equipment. This measure is recommended in PCAPCD's <i>CEQA Handbook</i> (PCAPCD 2017a) and is also consistent with measure VT-1 in the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) guide (CAPCOA 2010:300-303).</p> <p>Building Energy</p> <p>▲ Single family residential units constructed within the net SAP area and the PRSP area shall be designed to achieve a 30 percent reduction in energy use compared to a standard 2016 Title 24 code-compliant unit. Reductions in energy use shall be achieved by following the energy efficiency performance standards set forth in Tier 2 of the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Section A4.203.1.2.2. These reductions shall be achieved by employing energy efficient design features and/or solar photovoltaics. Compliance shall be demonstrated using CEC-approved residential energy modeling software.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division, ESD, and Building Department	With submittal of design review application, improvement plans, and/or building permits, as applicable	Satisfied with issuance of building permits; ongoing monitoring by County construction/building inspection during individual project construction

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Multi-family residential buildings of three stories or fewer constructed within the net SAP area and the PRSP area shall be designed to achieve a 15 percent reduction in energy use compared to a standard 2016 Title 24 code-compliant building. Reductions in energy use shall be achieved by following the energy efficiency performance standards set forth in Tier 1 of the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Action A4.203.1.2.1. These reductions shall be achieved by employing energy efficient design features and/or solar photovoltaics. Compliance shall be demonstrated using CEC-approved residential modeling software. ▲ Commercial buildings (including multi-family residential buildings four stories or higher) shall be designed to achieve a 10 percent or greater reduction in energy use compared to a standard 2016 Title 24 code-compliant building. Reductions in energy use shall be achieved through energy efficiency measures consistent with Tier 1 of the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Section A5.203.1.2.1. Alternatively, this could be met by installing on-site renewable energy systems that achieve equivalent reductions in building energy use. ▲ All project buildings shall be designed to include Cool Roofs in accordance with the requirements set forth in Tier 2 of the 2016 California Green Building Energy Code, Sections A4.106.5 and A5.106.11.2. ▲ All project buildings shall comply with requirements for water efficiency and conservation as described in the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Divisions 4.3 and 5.3. ▲ Multiple electrical receptacles shall be included on the exterior of all non-residential buildings and accessible for purposes of charging or powering electric landscaping equipment and providing an alternative to using fossil fuel-powered generators. The electrical receptacles shall have an electric potential of 100 volts. There should be a minimum of one electrical receptacle on each side of the building and one receptacle every 100 linear feet around the perimeter of the building. This measure is consistent with SAP Policy NR-6.6, which encourages installation of electric outlets to promote the use of electric landscape maintenance equipment. ▲ Energy Star®-certified appliances and fixtures shall be installed in all buildings developed under the project are if an Energy Star®-certified model of the application is available. Types of Energy Star®-certified appliances include boilers, ceiling fans, central and room air conditioners, clothes washers, compact fluorescent light bulbs, computer monitors, copiers, consumer electronics, dehumidifiers, dishwashers, external power adapters, furnaces, geothermal heat pumps, programmable thermostats, refrigerators and freezers, residential light fixtures, room air cleaners, transformers, televisions, vending machines, ventilating fans, and windows (EPA 2018c). If EPA's Energy Star® program is discontinued and not replaced with a comparable certification program before appliances and fixtures are selected, then similar measures which exceed the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code may be used. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ On-demand (tankless, instantaneous, or recirculating) hot water heaters shall be installed in all residential units and commercial areas that are not served by a central water boiler in the building. This measure is consistent with SAP Policy NR-6.7 that aims to improve building energy efficiency. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-3b: Reduce mobile-source emissions (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Before Design Review approval, the project proponent shall include the following features (or features determined by the County to be equally or more effective at reducing mobile-source emissions) in finished buildings. These features shall be conditions of building permits:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ For each single-family residential unit, install a listed raceway, associated overcurrent protective device and the balance of a dedicated 208/240-volt branch circuit at 40 amperes (amp) minimum. The raceway shall not be less than trade size 1 (nominal 1-inch inside diameter). The raceway shall originate at the main service or unit subpanel and shall terminate into a listed cabinet, box, or other enclosure near the proposed location of an EV charger. Raceways are required to be continuous at enclosed, inaccessible, or concealed areas and spaces. The service panel and/or subpanel shall provide capacity for a 40-amp minimum dedicated branch circuit. All electrical circuit components and Electric Vehicle Service Equipment (EVSE), including a receptacle or box with a blank cover, related to this section shall be installed in accordance with the California Electrical Code. ▲ Multi-family residential buildings shall design at least 10 percent of parking spaces to include EVSE or a minimum of two spaces to be installed with EVSE for buildings with 2-10 parking spaces. EVSE includes EV charging equipment for each required space connected to a 208/240-volt, 40-amp panel with conduit, wiring, receptacle, and overprotection devices. ▲ Non-residential buildings shall design at least 10 percent of parking spaces to include EVSE, or a minimum of two spaces to be installed with EVSE for buildings with 2-10 parking spaces. EVSE includes EV charging equipment for each required space connected to a 208/240-volt, 40-amp panel with conduit, wiring, receptacle, and overprotection devices. ▲ Non-residential land uses with 20 or more on-site parking spaces shall dedicate preferential parking spaces to vehicles with more than one occupant and ZEVs (including battery electric vehicles and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles). The number of dedicated spaces should be no less than two spaces or 5 percent of the total parking spaces on the individual project site, whichever is greater. These dedicated spaces shall be in preferential locations such as near the main entrances to the buildings served by the parking lot and/or under the shade of structures or trees. These spaces shall be clearly marked with signs and pavement markings. 	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division, ESD, and Building Department	With submittal of design review application, improvement plans, and/or building permits, as applicable	Satisfied with issuance of building permits; ongoing monitoring by County construction/building inspection during individual project construction

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>This measure shall not be implemented in a way that prevents compliance with requirements in the California Vehicle Code regarding parking spaces for disabled persons or disabled veterans.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Bicycle parking areas shall be provided near entrances to all nonresidential land uses, including retail, light industrial, office, hotel, entertainment, educational, and mixed-use buildings. This measure is consistent with SAP Policy NR-7.2 and TM-2.5 that encourage installation if bicycle-related facilities. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-3c: Purchase ROG and NO_x offsets through PCAPCD's Off-Site Mitigation Fee Program (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>After implementing on-site mitigation (identified in Mitigation Measures 4.3-3a and 4.3-3b, above), the net SAP area and PRSP area would still exceed the PCAPCD significance thresholds of 55 lb/day for ROG and NO_x. During the summer ozone season, ROG and NO_x emissions are estimated to exceed PCAPCD thresholds by 1,003 lb/day and 2,687 lb/day, respectively (equivalent to 339.48 tons per year of combined ROG and NO_x emissions) for the net SAP area and by 536 lb/day and 683 lb/day, respectively (equivalent to 112.15 tons per year of combined ROG and NO_x emissions) for the PRSP area. To mitigate the net SAP area and PRSP area long-term operational criteria pollutant emissions, the County will require project proponents of development proposed under the project to participate in one of the following off-site mitigation programs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Establish mitigation off-site within the west Placer County by participating in an off-site mitigation program, coordinated by PCAPCD. Examples include, but are not limited to: participation in a biomass program that provides emissions benefits; retrofitting, repowering, or replacing heavy-duty engines from mobile sources (e.g., buses, construction equipment, on road haulers); or other programs to reduce emissions. ▲ Participate in the District's Off-site Mitigation Fee Program by paying the equivalent amount of money, which is equal to the net SAP area's and PRSP area's contribution of pollutants (ROG and NO_x) that exceeds the 55 lb/day threshold for a one-year period. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ For the net SAP area, the total ROG and NO_x emission offset requirement is 339.5 tons. The estimated mitigation fee is \$6,378,829 (equivalent to \$0.86 per square foot for non-residential and \$295 per residential unit), based upon PCAPCD's adopted cost-effectiveness of \$18,790 per ton and current California Consumer Price Index rate. ➤ For the PRSP, the total combined ROG and NO_x emission offset requirement is 112.15 tons for a one-year period. The estimated mitigation fee is \$2,107,261, equivalent to \$295 per residential unit (based on a total of 7,146 units (PRSP: 5,636; University: 1,510). Detailed calculations for the Off-Site Mitigation Fee Program can be found in Appendix K. ▲ Any combination of the above measures, as determined feasible by PCAPCD. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and PCAPCD</p>	<p>At the time of recordation of each Small Lot Final Map</p>	<p>Satisfied at the time of recordation of each Small Lot Final Map</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-3d: Reduce PM₁₀ emissions (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) The County will require project proponents of development proposed under the project to incorporate the following measures to reduce PM₁₀ emissions to the extent feasible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Wood-burning fireplaces and pellet appliances shall be prohibited in all residences. Only natural gas or propane-fired fireplace appliances would be permitted. These appliances shall be clearly delineated on the floor plans submitted in conjunction with the Building Permit application. This measure is consistent with SAP Policy NR-5.10 that aims to reduce particulate matter emissions from wood-burning appliances within Placer County. Where natural gas is available, gas outlets shall be provided in residential backyards for use with outdoor cooking appliances such as gas barbecues. ▲ Project proponents shall participate in an off-site mitigation project by paying the equivalent cost, equal to the net SAP area's and PRSP area's contribution of PM₁₀ emissions that exceeds the 82-lb/day threshold for a period of one year, coordinated through Placer County and in consultation with the PCAPCD. Emission reductions achieved through the off-site mitigation program must be real and quantifiable, as determined by the County, PCAPCD, or a consultant selected by the County. Examples of an offset program include but are not limited to: participation in a biomass program that provides emission benefits; retrofitting, repowering, or replacing heavy-duty engines from mobile sources (e.g., replacing residential woodstoves, buses, construction equipment, on-road haul trucks); or other programs to reduce PM₁₀ emissions. <p>For the net SAP area, the total PM₁₀ emission offset requirement is 199.7 tons. At the time of preparation of this EIR, the estimated mitigation fee for the net SAP area is \$1,209,053.45 (equivalent to \$0.16 per square foot for nonresidential uses and \$77.51 per residential unit), based upon the current cost differential of \$40 per bone dry ton of biomass waste removal. For the PRSP, the total PM₁₀ emission offset requirement is 91.2 tons. At the time of preparation of this EIR, the estimated mitigation fee for the PRSP is \$553,852.76, equivalent to \$77.51 per dwelling unit (based on a total of 7,146 units (PRSP: 5,636; University: 1,510), and upon the current cost differential of \$40 per bone dry ton of biomass waste removal. Detailed calculations for the Off-Site Mitigation Fee Program can be found in Appendix K.</p> <p>The actual amount to be paid shall be determined, based on the selected program and applicable cost effectiveness rate at the time of map recordation. This measure shall be satisfied prior to the recordation of each small lot map, or approval of the first building permit when a small lot map is not required.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p> <p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and PCAPCD</p> <p>Placer County Planning Services Division and PCAPCD</p>	<p>At the time of issuance of Building Permit</p> <p>At the time of recordation of each Small Lot Final Map</p>	<p>Satisfied with issuance of Building Permit</p> <p>Satisfied at the time of recordation of each Small Lot Final Map</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-5a: Incorporation of design features to reduce health-risk exposure at sensitive receptors (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Prior to Design Review approval and/or issuance of grading permit, new development shall be designed so that truck loading/unloading facilities are not located within 1,000 feet of existing or planned sensitive receptors, if feasible considering site design parameters. Existing or previously approved industrial/commercial development, including any development within boundaries of existing industrial parks, are not subject to this mitigation measure. For the purpose of this mitigation measure, a truck loading/unloading facility is defined as any truck distribution yard, truck loading dock, or truck loading or unloading area where more than one truck with three or more axles will be present for more than 10 minutes per week, on average; and sensitive receptors include residential land uses, campus dormitories and student housing, residential care facilities, hospitals, schools, parks, playgrounds, or daycare facilities. A truck loading/unloading facility can be located within 1,000 feet of a sensitive receptor only if the project applicant prepares a qualified, site-specific HRA showing that the associated level of cancer risk at the sensitive receptors would not exceed 10 in 1 million. The HRA shall be conducted in accordance with guidance from PCAPCD and shall be approved by PCAPCD. If the HRA determines that a nearby sensitive receptor would be exposed to an incremental increase in cancer risk greater than 10 in 1 million then design measures shall be incorporated to reduce the level of risk exposure to less than 10 in 1 million. Design measures may include but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Implement Mitigation Measure 4.3-3a, which requires all truck loading/unloading facilities to be equipped with one 110/208-volt power outlet for every two-truck loading/unloading facility. A minimum 2-foot-by-3-foot sign shall be clearly visible at each loading dock that indicates, “Diesel engine idling limited to a maximum of 5 minutes.” The sign shall include instructions for diesel trucks idling for more than 5 minutes to connect to the 110/208-volt power to run any auxiliary equipment. This measure is recommended in PCAPCD’s <i>CEQA Handbook</i> (PCAPCD 2017a) and is also consistent with measure VT-1 in the CAPCOA guide (CAPCOA 2010:300–303). ▲ The use of electric-powered “yard trucks” or fork lifts to move truck trailers around a truck yard or truck loading/unloading facility. ▲ The use of buildings or walls to shield commercial activity from nearby residences or other sensitive land uses. ▲ The use of EPA-rated Tier 4 Final engines in diesel-fueled construction equipment when construction activities are adjacent to existing sensitive receptors. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and PCAPCD</p>	<p>With submittal of Design Review application, tentative subdivision map submittal, and/or improvement plan submittal</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of Design Review, tentative subdivision maps, improvement plans, building permit, and/or with construction inspections, as applicable</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>▲ Plant and maintain a vegetative buffer between the truck loading/unloading facility and nearby sensitive residences, schools, and daycare facilities. As part of detailed site design, a landscape architect licensed by the California Landscape Architects Technical Committee shall identify all locations where trees should be located, accounting for areas where shade is desired such as along pedestrian and bicycle routes, the locations of solar photovoltaic panels, and other infrastructure.</p> <p>Applicants of residential or commercial development with new sensitive receptors proposed to be located within 1,000 feet of existing and/or planned commercial/industrial facilities that include, or may include, truck loading/unloading facilities, shall prepare an HRA as described above. Design measures identified in the HRA may include but are not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Redesign the project to increase the distance between sensitive receptors and potential truck loading/unloading facilities; ▲ Use of upgraded filtration systems in the residential HVAC systems; ▲ Use of intervening buildings or walls to shield the receptors from the truck loading/unloading facility; ▲ Plant and maintain a vegetative buffer between sensitive receptors and the truck loading/unloading facilities. As part of detailed site design, a landscape architect licensed by the California Landscape Architects Technical Committee shall identify all locations where trees should be located, accounting for areas where shade is desired such as along pedestrian and bicycle routes, the locations of solar photovoltaic panels, and other infrastructure. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-5b: Setback requirements for residential units near freeways (Net SAP Area) Before approval of tentative subdivision maps, project proponents shall demonstrate that residential developments are located at least 500 feet from any freeway or urban road with traffic volumes that exceed 100,000 vehicles per day, as recommended by CARB, if feasible, considering site design parameters. New residences can be located within 500 feet of a new or existing freeway or urban road with traffic volumes that exceed 100,000 vehicles per day only if a project proponent prepares a qualified, site-specific HRA, approved by Placer County, showing that the associated level of cancer risk at the new residences would not exceed 10 in 1 million. The HRA shall be conducted in accordance with guidance from PCAPCD and approved by PCAPCD. If the HRA determines that a nearby sensitive receptor would be exposed to an incremental increase in cancer risk greater than 10 in 1 million then design measures shall be incorporated to reduce the level of risk exposure to less than 10 in 1 million. Design mitigation measures may include, but are not limited to the following:</p>	Net SAP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division and PCAPCD	With submittal of Design Review application, improvements plans, and/or tentative subdivision maps	Satisfied with approval of Design Review, tentative subdivision maps, improvement plans, building permit, and/or with construction inspections, as applicable

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ install high-efficiency indoor air filters to filter particulates and other chemical matter from entering residences; ▲ plant and maintain vegetative barriers between new residences and SR 65; ▲ orient residential buildings away from SR 65; and ▲ design windows in residences to reduce PM exposure (e.g., windows nearest to the freeway do not open). 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-6a: Implement odor-reducing measures at the Western Regional Sanitary Landfill</p> <p>WPWMA developed a slate of odor reduction measures it estimates will reduce WRS� odors by up to 90 percent compared to the existing baseline and up to 50 percent compared to estimated odors in 2058, the projected year of landfill closure and conservative estimate of project buildout. Measures apply to composting operations, landfill operations, and site-wide technologies and operations. Capital costs and costs for ongoing operation and maintenance of the measures were also estimated. (See Technical Report #2, prepared by CE Schmidt and TR Card, dated August 2, 2019, and correspondence from Robin R. Baral, Churchwell White, LLP, on behalf of the Authority, to Clayton Cook, Placer County Counsel, dated August 22, 2019.)</p> <p>These measures, while not expressly proposed by WPWMA as the basis of a regional mitigation fee program, could logically serve that function. To develop a program, the Authority can and should take the additional steps to determine the type and geographic scope of fee program participants, the pro-rata share per given unit of development, and processes and procedures to administer the program. Based on information provided by WPWMA, the specific odor-reducing measures to be implemented under the program could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Implement Aerated Static Pile (ASP) Technology and Compost Best Management Practices (Tier 1, Composting Operations). To reduce odors associated with composting operations, the greatest source of objectionable odors at WRS�, WPWMA can and should implement a revised composting methodology consisting of aerated static pile (ASP) technology in which air flow is induced through the material without turning or mixing. According to WPWMA, implementation of this measure is already planned for implementation. To ensure optimal odor reduction, best management practices (BMPs, e.g., anaerobic digestion of food waste) and training are also needed. ▲ Conduct Annual Odor Emissions Testing and Implement Response Actions (Tier 1, Composting Operations). To ensure maximum composting odor reduction, odor emissions testing is required on an annual basis to monitor odors and implement appropriate response if target reductions are not being achieved. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Western Placer Waste Management Authority</p>	<p>None; timing dependent on Western Placer Waste Management Authority</p>	<p>None; timing dependent on Western Placer Waste Management Authority</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Construct and Operate a Mixing Building with Biofilter (Tier 1, Composting Operations). To reduce odors associated with food waste composting, a mixing building fitted with a biofilter for air scrubbing should be constructed. The building would be a relatively small structure within which food waste would be received, blended with shredded green waste, then transferred to the ASP system where it would undergo controlled composting. ▲ Apply Odor Neutralizers to Sorted Refuse (Tier 1, Landfill Operations). To reduce landfill-related odor emissions, odor neutralizers should be applied to sorted refuse between transfer from the materials recovery facility (MRF) to the landfill site. This measure involves initial implementation of a spray system and ongoing application of neutralizer. ▲ Apply Odor Neutralizers to Active Landfill Face and Implement BMPs (Tier 1, Landfill Operations). To reduce landfill-related odor emissions, odor neutralizers should be applied to the active landfill face. Like that for sorted refuse, this measure involves initial implementation of a spray system and ongoing application of neutralizer. BMPs, such as limiting the size of the active landfill face, would optimize odor neutralizer operations. ▲ Increase Screening of Landfill Gas and Implement Response Actions (Tier 1, Landfill Operations). Quarterly screening for fugitive landfill gas should be conducted to identify “hot spots” of landfill gas emissions through interim and final landfill covers. Such screening would reduce the time between identification and repair of surface hot spot emissions, and thus odor. ▲ Enhance Landfill Gas Collection (Tier 1, Landfill Operations). To reduce landfill-related odor emissions, WPWMA should establish stricter protocols for landfill gas collection. Because landfill gas must be used, flared, or stored in a leak-free container, minimizing odorous emissions would involve operating the system for maximum containment of gas rather than maximum cost-effective performance of the gas-to-energy system. ▲ Implement Enhanced Monitoring and Modeling (Tier 1, Site-wide Technologies and Operations). To monitor odor emissions in areas around the WRS, odor sensors should be placed in developed areas surrounding the landfill to identify odor spikes or other abnormal odor emissions, ideally before community complaints are lodged. Updates to the Authority’s dispersion modeling capabilities should also be implemented to better predict the nature, location, and intensity of odor issues. ▲ Establish Odor Hotline and Implement Community Outreach (Tier 1, Site-wide Technologies and Operations). An odor hotline should be established to allow the public ready access to WPWMA staff who will receive community complaints and concerns, and to provide timely response actions. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Establish Tree-lined Perimeter of WRS� (Tier 1, Site-wide Technologies and Operations). Trees with aromatic foliage, such as pine or eucalyptus, should be planted around WRS� to visually screen the landfill from surrounding areas, providing psychological benefits, and to serve as a windbreak, thereby impeding, absorbing, or otherwise altering the flow of odorous emissions from the facility. ▲ Implement Compost Curing Controls (Tier 2, Composting Operations). To further reduce compost-related odor emissions, ASP techniques, described above for raw compost, can and should be used on cured compost. ▲ Improve Pond Aeration (Tier 2, Composting Operations). Leachate collected from composting activities is rich in organic compounds and therefore odorous, especially in anaerobic conditions. To further reduce odor emissions from the ponds, leachate should be aerated to increase aerobic digestion of organic compounds and reduce fugitive odors. ▲ Implement Monthly Odor Testing and Response Actions (Tier 2, Composting Operations). Monthly odor testing should be implemented to ensure odor reduction measures for active and cured compost are functioning as expected and to implement corrective actions as needed. ▲ Apply Posi-Shell Landfill Cover (Tier 2, Landfill Operations). Posi-Shell is an enhanced form of landfill cover that uses a blend of clay, fibers, and polymers to produce a spray-applied mortar that dries in the form of a thin durable stucco. Posi-Shell, or similar membrane cover, should be applied to reduce landfill-related odor emissions. ▲ Implement Continuous Cover on Active Landfill Face (Tier 2, Landfill Operations). Odor-neutralizing foam or similar product should be used on the active landfill face during fill operations to reduce landfill-related odor emissions. ▲ Conduct Additional Landfill Gas Monitoring and Implement Response Actions (Tier 2, Landfill Operations). Additional monitoring should be conducted to ensure that landfill gas leaks and emissions are not occurring in the above-ground system during gas collection and response actions implemented to correct such leaks if they are discovered. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.3-6b: Require fair-share contribution to WPWMA for odor mitigation As described in the Draft EIR at pages 4.3-6 through 4.3-11, objectionable odors are currently generated at WPWMA facilities, odor complaints are regularly lodged, and odors are an existing issue. It would be neither feasible nor reasonable for all odor mitigation costs to be borne by the proposed project. Therefore, based on the Authority-proposed measures, their costs, and a reasonable methodology to determine a fair-share contribution, Placer County shall require the proponents of the Placer Ranch Specific Plan to contribute a total payment of \$2,465,273 to the Western Placer Waste Management Authority for purposes of funding odor reduction measures that will reduce odor impacts resulting from development within the Placer Ranch Specific Plan area.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division in coordination with Western Placer Waste Management Authority	With submittal of first improvement plans or small lot tentative map	Satisfied with approval of first improvement plans or recordation of small lot tentative map

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>The payment required of Placer Ranch Specific Plan proponents is based on: (1) the cost of non-Authority-funded Tier 1 odor control measures, apportioned by the number of residential units that could be developed in the zone between 2,000 feet and 1 mile of the landfill, measured from the landfill property boundary, and (2) a fair-share proportion of annual maintenance costs converted to present value over a 30-year absorption period, also apportioned by non-university residential units. Because odors are an existing issue, and because the entire project (PRSP and net SAP) would conservatively generate approximately 16 percent of odorous emissions compared to baseline conditions and 8 percent of odorous emissions in 2058 (estimated year of landfill closure and conservative estimate of project buildout), the proposed contribution for both capital expenditures and maintenance costs is considered conservative, that is, it more than compensates for the impact of the project. Costs include \$2,172,513 in capital investment, plus approximately \$290,000 for a one-time, good-faith contribution to operation and maintenance costs of the measures over a 20-year period. (The details and assumptions involved in the calculation of capital funding are described in greater detail in Master Response 4: Odors of the Final EIR.)</p> <p>In addition to the fair-share contribution for odor mitigation required of PRSP, Placer County will require fair-share contribution by other future residential developments proposed in the net SAP area in the zone between 2,000 feet and 1 mile of the landfill, measured from the landfill property boundary. Based on the Authority's comprehensive assessment of odor control measures, their efficacy, and costs, it is expected that WPWMA can and should develop a bona fide regional fee program to which proponents of regional development projects will contribute to implement, operate, and maintain odor control measures.</p>				
4.4 Biological Resources				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-1a: Compensate for loss of aquatic resources (Net SAP and PRSP) Consistent with proposed SAP Policy NR-1.2, Stream System Protection, the County shall require the protection and enhancement of the Stream System and other areas capable of meeting the PCCP Reserve Acquisition and avoidance criteria (e.g. Stream System, avoided areas 200 acres or greater, habitat and wetlands adjacent or connected to the Stream System or existing/future Reserves, Valley Oak Woodlands one acre or greater). Consistent with proposed SAP Program NR-4, PCCP and CARP Program Consistency, the County shall require project applicants to delineate all aquatic resources, implement all feasible avoidance and minimization measures described in the PCCP and CARP, calculate the extent of impacts, and provide compensatory mitigation according to the procedures described in the adopted PCCP and CARP, through payment of applicable mitigation fees to the In-Lieu Fee Program or purchase of mitigation credits at an agency-approved mitigation bank. If adopted, the PCCP may allow for consideration</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>With submittal of improvement plans or at time of design review</p>	<p>Satisfied upon approval of improvement plans or with design review</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>of land dedication in-lieu of PCCP fees, subject to approval by the future Placer Conservation Authority (PCA) and concurrence by the state and federal agencies. The fees collected through the In-Lieu Fee Program shall be used to fund land acquisition, mitigation projects that protect, enhance, and restore aquatic resources, and long-term management and monitoring within the PCCP Reserve Acquisition Areas.</p>				
<p>If the PCCP, including the Western Placer CARP and associated USACE programmatic permits are not adopted, or are not available as a permitting and mitigation strategy for future projects, compensation for loss of aquatic resources shall be implemented as follows:</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	Prior to project approval	Satisfied prior to project approval
<p>▲ As a condition of project approval, the County shall require project proponents to conduct a delineation of waters of the United States according to methods established in the USACE wetlands delineation manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and Arid West Supplement (Environmental Laboratory 2008) and to delineate any aquatic resources that may not meet the definition of waters of the United States, but would qualify as waters of the state. The delineation shall map and quantify the acreage of all aquatic resources on the project site and associated off-site improvement areas and shall be submitted to USACE for jurisdictional determination. This requirement applies to project sites for which a current delineation and subsequent verification and concurrence by USACE have not been completed.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division, USACE, and Central Valley RWQCB	Prior to improvement plan approval/construction start	Satisfied prior to improvement plan approval/construction start
<p>▲ A permit from the USACE will be required for any activity resulting in fill of wetlands and other waters of the United States. Project proponents shall be required to obtain this permit before project initiation. A wetland mitigation plan that satisfies USACE requirements will be needed as part of the permit application. Project proponents that obtain a Section 404 permit will also be required to obtain water quality certification from the Central Valley RWQCB pursuant to Section 401 of the CWA.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division, USACE, and Central Valley RWQCB	Prior to improvement plan approval/construction start	Satisfied prior to improvement plan approval/construction start
<p>▲ The project proponent for each future project requiring fill of aquatic resources shall replace or restore on a “no-net-loss” basis the function of all wetlands and other waters that would be removed as a result of implementing the respective project. Wetland habitat will be restored or replaced at an acreage and location and by methods agreeable to USACE and the Central Valley RWQCB, depending on agency jurisdiction, and as determined during the Section 401 and Section 404 permitting processes.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division, USACE, and Central Valley RWQCB	Prior to improvement plan approval/construction start	Satisfied prior to improvement plan approval/construction start

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ The project proponent shall submit a compensatory mitigation and monitoring plan (MMP) to USACE and the Central Valley RWQCB, for review and approval before USACE making a permit decision for the proposed action. The MMP shall be consistent with the Final 2015 Regional Compensatory Mitigation and Monitoring Guidelines for South Pacific Division USACE, or most current guidelines, and shall identify the amount and type of proposed compensatory mitigation to ensure “no net loss” of aquatic resource functions and services that would be removed, lost, and/or substantially degraded as a result of implementing the project. The MMP will describe compensation ratios for acres filled, mitigation sites and work plan, maintenance plan and long-term management plan, a monitoring protocol, annual performance standards and final success criteria for created or restored habitats, corrective measures to be applied if performance standards are not met, legal protection for the preservation and mitigation areas (e.g., conservation easement, declaration of restrictions), and funding mechanism information (e.g., endowment). ▲ Mitigation methods may consist of establishment by a qualified biologist of aquatic resources in upland habitats where they did not exist previously, reestablishment (restoration) of natural historic functions to a former aquatic resource, enhancement of an existing aquatic resource to heighten, intensify, or improve aquatic resource functions, or a combination thereof. The compensatory mitigation may be accomplished through purchase of credits from a USACE-approved mitigation bank, payment into a USACE-approved in-lieu fee fund, or through permittee-responsible on-site or off-site establishment, reestablishment, or enhancement, depending on availability of mitigation credits. To the extent practicable, mitigation shall be carried out within the affected watershed. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division, USACE, and Central Valley RWQCB</p>	<p>Submit compensatory MMP to USACE and the Central Valley RWQCB before USACE makes a permit decision for the proposed action</p>	<p>Satisfied with submittal of compensatory MMP to USACE and the Central Valley RWQCB</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Permittee-responsible mitigation habitat shall be monitored by a qualified biologist for a minimum of 5 years from completion of mitigation, or human intervention (including recontouring and grading), or until the success criteria identified in the approved MMP have been met, whichever is longer. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Begin monitoring at completion of mitigation</p>	<p>Continue monitoring for a minimum of 5 years from completion of mitigation, or human intervention (including recontouring and grading), or until the success criteria identified in the approved MMP have been met, whichever is longer</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-1b. Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of aquatic resources resulting from off-site improvements outside the County's jurisdictional boundaries (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of aquatic resources resulting from construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and other off-site improvements within the City's jurisdiction. As a part of its CEQA process for each improvement project, the City of Roseville, as lead agency, would identify and implement appropriate mitigation for significant impacts to aquatic resources. The City would also obtain permits pursuant to Sections 404 and 401 of the Clean Water Act for fill of waters of the United States, including wetlands. As part of the permitting process, the City would identify and implement mitigation resulting in no net loss of wetland functions and values. Placer County would play a coordinating role but would have no control over the timing and implementation of mitigation for off-site improvements that occur within the City of Roseville.</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)	As a part of the City of Roseville's CEQA process for each improvement project	Satisfied prior to City construction project commencing
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-2: Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding mitigation for impacts on special-status plant species resulting from off-site improvements outside the County's jurisdictional boundaries (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of special-status plants resulting from construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements within the City's jurisdiction. As a part of its CEQA process for each improvement project, the City of Roseville, as lead agency, would identify and implement appropriate mitigation for significant impacts on special-status plants. Placer County would play a coordinating role but would have no control over the timing and implementation of mitigation for off-site improvements that occur within the City of Roseville.</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)	As a part of the City of Roseville's CEQA process for each improvement project	Satisfied prior to City construction project commencing
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-3a: Minimize take of western spadefoot (Net SAP and PRSP)</p> <p>As a condition of project approval and before ground disturbing activities, the County shall require future project proponents to retain a qualified biologist to determine if the project site contains suitable habitat for western spadefoot and if so, conduct surveys for western spadefoot in areas of potential habitat that would be eliminated by the project. The surveys shall be conducted at the appropriate time of year to detect western spadefoot, generally the breeding season, according to methods approved by CDFW. If western spadefoot is found in habitat that will be eliminated or made unsuitable for western spadefoot, then a plan to collect and relocate adult and larval western spadefoot and egg masses to suitable habitat that will be preserved in perpetuity as required by Mitigation Measure 4.4-3b below.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	Before submittal of design review application or improvement plans	Satisfied prior to ground disturbing activities

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-3b: Compensate for take of federally listed vernal pool invertebrates and western spadefoot habitat loss (Net SAP and PRSP) Loss of vernal pool habitat and other seasonal wetland habitats that support or potentially support Federally listed vernal pool invertebrates shall be replaced or restored in such a manner that there will be no net loss of habitat (acreage and function) for vernal pool invertebrates and western spadefoot following project implementation. As described under Mitigation Measure 4.4-1a, project proponents shall complete and implement a compensatory habitat MMP describing how loss of vernal pool and other wetland habitats shall be offset, including details for creating habitat; accounting for the temporal loss of habitat, performance standards to ensure success, and remedial actions to be implemented if performance standards are not met. All measures shall meet the approval of Placer County, USACE, and USFWS.</p> <p>No project construction shall proceed in areas supporting potential habitat for Federally listed vernal pool invertebrates, or within adequate buffer areas (250 feet or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with approval from USFWS), until a biological opinion (BO) and incidental take authorization has been issued by USFWS and the project proponent has abided by conditions in the BO, including all conservation and minimization measures. Conservation and minimization measures shall include preparation of supporting documentation describing methods to protect existing vernal pools during and after project construction, a detailed monitoring plan, and reporting requirements.</p> <p>Western spadefoot also requires the protection of vernal pool habitat for survival; therefore, implementation of Mitigation Measures 4.4-3a and 4.4-3b would also reduce impacts to western spadefoot. Mitigation shall include preservation of in-kind wetland habitats within the Western Placer County core area at ratios satisfactory to ensure no net loss of habitat acreage, function, and value within the core area. To count toward preservation credits, vernal pool habitats within the open space areas shall be placed under a permanent conservation easement.</p> <p>Habitat to be protected includes both occupied and unoccupied suitable habitat that serves as corridors for dispersal, opportunities for metapopulation dynamics, reintroduction/introduction sites, and protection of undiscovered populations. Mitigation may include a combination of on-site and off-site preservation, as well as on-site or off-site wetland restoration and creation, purchase of credits at a mitigation bank approved by USFWS and USACE, or in-lieu fee mitigation.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p> <p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measure 4.4-1a, above</p> <p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measure 4.4-1a, above</p> <p>Before project construction begins in areas supporting potential habitat for Federally listed vernal pool invertebrates, or within adequate buffer areas (250 feet or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with approval from USFWS)</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measure 4.4-1a, above</p> <p>Satisfied before project construction begins in areas supporting potential habitat for Federally listed vernal pool invertebrates, or within adequate buffer areas (250 feet or lesser distance deemed sufficiently protective by a qualified biologist with approval from USFWS)</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>The project proponents shall preserve acreage of vernal pool habitat for each wetted acre of any indirectly affected vernal pool habitat at a ratio approved by USFWS at the conclusion of the Section 7 consultation. This mitigation shall occur before the approval of any grading or improvement plans for any project or phase that would allow work within 250 feet of such habitat, and before any ground-disturbing activity within 250 feet of the habitat. The project proponents will not be required to complete this mitigation measure for direct or indirect impacts that have already been mitigated to the satisfaction of USFWS through another BO or MMP, such as a BO obtained for the Placer Parkway or for Pleasant Grove Retention Facility.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Before the approval of any grading permits, grading plans, or improvement plans for any project or phase that would allow work within 250 feet of vernal pool habitat, and before any ground-disturbing activity within 250 feet of the habitat</p>	<p>Satisfied before the approval of any grading permits, grading plans, or improvement plans for any project or phase that would allow work within 250 feet of vernal pool habitat, and before any ground-disturbing activity within 250 feet of the habitat</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-3c: Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding mitigation for vernal pool fairy shrimp, vernal pool tadpole shrimp and western spadefoot impacts resulting from off-site improvements outside the County's jurisdictional boundaries (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding mitigation for impacts on vernal pool branchiopods and western spadefoot from construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and other off-site improvements within the City's jurisdiction. As a part of its CEQA process for each improvement project, the City of Roseville, as lead agency, would identify and implement appropriate mitigation for significant impacts to vernal pool branchiopods and western spadefoot. Likewise, as a condition of project approval, the City of Roseville or applicant for off-site improvements would be required to obtain permits from USACE for fill of wetlands, which would trigger consultation with USFWS for species listed under the Endangered Species Act, including vernal pool fairy shrimp and vernal pool tadpole shrimp. Through the consultation process, measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for impacts to listed species would be required. Placer County would play a coordinating role but would have no control over the timing and implementation of mitigation for off-site improvements within the City of Roseville, nor would it have authority to enforce consultation with USFWS or permit compliance for off-site improvements that occur outside its own jurisdiction.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>As a part of the City of Roseville's CEQA process for each improvement project</p>	<p>Satisfied with City of Roseville's issuance of CEQA NOD for each improvement project</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-4a: Avoid or compensate for valley elderberry longhorn beetle habitat (Net SAP and PRSP) ▲ Valley elderberry longhorn beetle is proposed as a covered species under the PCCP. If the PCCP has been adopted before implementation of the net SAP and PRSP, potential impacts to this species shall be mitigated through implementation of the PCCP conservation strategy. The PCCP conservation strategy includes survey and impact minimization/avoidance requirements for covered species, other conditions on covered activities to achieve conservation goals and objectives for covered species and natural communities, establishment of a habitat reserve system, and long-term conservation and management of habitats in the reserve system.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>With submittal of improvement plans or with design review application</p>	<p>Satisfied upon payment of PCCP fees and completion of PCCP-required avoidance and minimization measures</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>▲ If the PCCP has not been adopted before implementation of the net SAP and PRSP, project proponents under the PRSP and Net SAP shall be required to implement the following measures to mitigate potential impacts on valley elderberry longhorn beetle:</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Surveys completed prior to construction activities in any riverine/riparian habitat located within 165 feet of project disturbance areas; minimization measures implemented, as necessary, during construction</p>	<p>Implement avoidance and minimization measures continuously during construction, per the USFWS 2017 Framework</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ As a condition of approval, a qualified biologist shall determine whether future project sites contain valley elderberry longhorn beetle habitat (i.e., elderberry shrubs). If so, a preconstruction survey shall be conducted by a qualified biologist in all riverine/riparian habitat within 165 feet of project disturbance areas before any construction activity. The surveys shall be conducted according to the protocol outlined in USFWS <i>Framework for Assessing Impacts to the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle</i> (USFWS 2017c) (Framework). ➤ If elderberry shrubs are not present, no further mitigation is necessary. ➤ If elderberry shrubs are located 165 feet or more from project activities, direct or indirect impacts are not expected. Shrubs shall be protected during construction by establishing and maintaining a high visibility fence at least 165 feet from the drip line of each elderberry shrub. ➤ If elderberry shrubs can be retained within the project footprint, project activities may occur up to 20 feet from the dripline of elderberry shrubs if precautions are implemented to minimize the potential for indirect impacts. An avoidance area shall be established at least 20 feet from the drip line of an elderberry shrub for any activities that may damage the elderberry shrub (e.g., trenching, paving, etc.). The project proponent will implement avoidance and minimization measures specified in the USFWS <i>Framework for Assessing Impacts to the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle</i> (USFWS 2017c). ➤ As much as feasible, all activities that could occur within 165 feet of an elderberry shrub, shall be conducted outside of the flight season of the valley elderberry longhorn beetle (March - July). ➤ Herbicides shall not be used within the drip line of the shrub. Insecticides shall not be used within 100 feet of an elderberry shrub. All chemicals shall be applied using a backpack sprayer or similar direct application method. ➤ Mechanical weed removal within the drip-line of the shrub shall be limited to the season when adults are not active (August - February) and shall avoid damaging the elderberry. ➤ If any elderberry shrubs cannot be avoided according to the USFWS 2017 Framework, the project proponent shall compensate for the loss of valley elderberry longhorn beetle habitat through participation in the PCCP, if it has been adopted and is available for project participation. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Within 24 hours before beginning construction activities within 300 feet of suitable aquatic habitat for western pond turtle, a qualified biologist shall survey areas of anticipated disturbance for the presence of western pond turtle, including eggs and hatchlings. The construction area shall be re-inspected whenever a lapse in construction activity of two weeks or more has occurred. If pond turtles or their eggs are found during the survey or observed within the construction area at any other time, they shall be relocated by a qualified biologist, outside of the area of disturbance, to the nearest area of suitable aquatic habitat of equal or better quality as the affected habitat. CDFW will be notified of the discovery and relocation of any western pond turtles. 				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If western pond turtle nests are found in the disturbance area during preconstruction surveys, a 300-foot no disturbance buffer shall be established between the nest and any areas of potential disturbance. Buffers shall be clearly marked with temporary fencing. Construction will not be allowed to commence in the exclusion area until hatchlings have emerged from the nest, or the nest is deemed inactive by a qualified biologist. When hatchlings emerge from the nest, they shall be relocated by a qualified biologist to suitable aquatic habitat outside of the area of disturbance. 	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	During preconstruction surveys	Continuously during preconstruction surveys, before construction commences, and during construction
<p>Burrowing Owl Before ground disturbing activities, project proponents shall retain a qualified biologist to determine whether the project site could affect suitable habitat for burrowing owl. For projects or ground-disturbing activities with potential to disturb suitable habitat for burrowing owl, the following measures shall be implemented.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	Before ground disturbing activities with potential to disturb suitable habitat for burrowing owl	Satisfied prior to ground disturbing activities with potential to disturb suitable habitat for burrowing owl
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project proponent shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct focused breeding and nonbreeding season surveys for burrowing owls in areas of suitable habitat on and within 1,500 feet of the project site and any required off-site improvements. Surveys shall be conducted before the start of construction activities and in accordance with Appendix D of CDFW's <i>Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation</i> (CDFG 2012) or the most recent CDFW protocols. If no occupied burrows are found, a letter report documenting the survey methods and results shall be submitted to CDFW and no further mitigation will be required. 				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If an active burrow is found during the nonbreeding season (September 1 through January 31), the project proponent shall consult with CDFW regarding protection buffers to be established around the occupied burrow and maintained throughout construction. If occupied burrows are present that cannot be avoided or adequately protected with a no-disturbance buffer, a burrowing owl exclusion plan shall be developed, as described in Appendix E of CDFW's 2012 Staff Report. Burrowing owls shall not be excluded from occupied burrows until the project's burrowing owl exclusion plan is approved by CDFW. The exclusion plan shall include a plan for creation, maintenance, and monitoring of artificial burrows in suitable habitat proximate to the burrows to be destroyed, that provide substitute burrows for displaced owls. 	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	Before ground disturbing activities with potential to disturb suitable habitat for burrowing owl	Establish and maintain protection buffers around occupied burrows throughout construction

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ If an active burrow is found during the breeding season (February 1 through August 31), occupied burrows shall not be disturbed and will be provided with a 150- to 1,500-foot protective buffer unless a qualified biologist verifies through noninvasive means that either: (1) the birds have not begun egg laying, or (2) juveniles from the occupied burrows are foraging independently and are capable of independent survival. The size of the buffer shall depend on the time of year and level disturbance as outlined in the CDFW Staff Report (CDFG 2012) or the most recent CDFW protocols. The size of the buffer may be reduced if a broad-scale, long-term, monitoring program acceptable to CDFW is implemented to ensure burrowing owls are not detrimentally affected. Once the fledglings are capable of independent survival, the owls can be evicted and the burrow can be destroyed per the terms of a CDFW-approved burrowing owl exclusion plan developed in accordance with Appendix E of CDFW’s 2012 Staff Report or the most recent CDFW protocols. ▲ If active burrowing owl nests are found on the site and are destroyed by project implementation, the project proponent shall mitigate the loss of occupied habitat in accordance with guidance provided in the CDFW 2012 Staff Report or the most recent CDFW protocols, which states that permanent impacts to nesting, occupied and satellite burrows, and burrowing owl habitat shall be mitigated such that habitat acreage, number of burrows, and burrowing owls impacted are replaced through permanent conservation of comparable or better habitat with similar vegetation communities and burrowing mammals (e.g., ground squirrels) present to provide for nesting, foraging, wintering, and dispersal. The project proponent shall retain a qualified biologist to develop a burrowing owl mitigation and management plan that incorporates the following goals and standards: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Mitigation lands shall be selected based on comparison of the habitat lost to the compensatory habitat, including type and structure of habitat, disturbance levels, potential for conflicts with humans, pets, and other wildlife, density of burrowing owls, and relative importance of the habitat to the species range wide. ▶ If feasible, mitigation lands shall be provided adjacent or proximate to the site so that displaced owls can relocate with reduced risk of take. Feasibility of providing mitigation adjacent or proximate to the project site depends on availability of sufficient suitable habitat to support displaced owls that may be preserved in perpetuity. ▶ If suitable habitat is not available for conservation adjacent or proximate to the project site, mitigation lands shall be focused on consolidating and enlarging conservation areas outside of urban and planned growth areas and within foraging distance of other conservation lands. Mitigation may be accomplished through purchase of mitigation credits at a CDFW-approved mitigation bank, if available. If mitigation credits are not available from an approved bank and mitigation lands are not available adjacent to other conservation lands, alternative mitigation sites and acreage shall be determined in consultation with CDFW. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ If mitigation is not available through an approved mitigation bank and will be completed through permittee-responsible conservation lands, the mitigation plan shall include mitigation objectives, site selection factors, site management roles and responsibilities, vegetation management goals, financial assurances and funding mechanisms, performance standards and success criteria, monitoring and reporting protocols, and adaptive management measures. Success shall be based on the number of adult burrowing owls and pairs using the site and if the numbers are maintained over time. Measures of success, as suggested in the 2012 Staff Report, shall include site tenacity, number of adult owls present and reproducing, colonization by burrowing owls from elsewhere, changes in distribution, and trends in stressors. <p>Swainson's Hawk</p> <p>Project proponents shall retain a qualified biologist to determine whether the potential project site contains suitable habitat for Swainson's hawk. For projects or ground-disturbing activities (including any required off-site improvements) with potential to affect Swainson's hawk and other raptor nests, or remove Swainson's hawk foraging habitat, the project proponent shall consult with CDFW with respect to the following measures proposed to mitigate for habitat removal and potential nest disturbance. As part of the consultation, the project proponent may seek take authorization under Section 2081 of the Fish and Game Code. The following measures will be implemented and are intended to avoid, minimize, and fully mitigate impacts to Swainson's hawk, as well as other raptors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ For construction activities that would occur within 0.25 mile of a known or likely Swainson's hawk nest site (identified based on previous years' use by Swainson's hawk), the project proponent shall attempt to initiate construction activities before nest initiation phase (i.e., before March 1). Depending on the timing, regularity, and intensity of construction activity, construction in the area before nest initiation may discourage a Swainson's hawk pair from using that site and eliminate the need to implement further nest-protection measures, such as buffers and limited construction operating periods around active nests. Other measures to deter establishment of nests (e.g., reflective striping or decoys) may be used before the breeding season in areas planned for active construction. However, if breeding raptors establish an active nest site, as evidenced by nest building, egg laying, incubation, or other nesting behavior, near the construction area, they shall not be harassed or deterred from continuing with their normal breeding activities. </p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Before ground disturbing activities with potential to affect Swainson's hawk and other raptor nests, or remove Swainson's hawk foraging habitat</p>	<p>Initiate construction activities before nest initiation phase (i.e., before March 1); conduct preconstruction surveys for Swainson's hawk and other nesting raptors before the beginning of any construction activities between March 1 and September 15; establish appropriate buffers around active nest sites; monitor nest during and after construction activities (if required)</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ For project activities, including tree removal, that begin between March 1 and September 15, qualified biologists shall conduct preconstruction surveys for Swainson’s hawk and other nesting raptors and to identify active nests on and within 0.5 mile of the project site. The surveys shall be conducted before the beginning of any construction activities between March 1 and September 15, following the Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson’s Hawk Nesting Surveys in California’s Central Valley (Swainson’s Hawk Technical Advisory Committee 2000). ▲ Impacts to nesting Swainson’s hawks and other raptors shall be avoided by establishing appropriate buffers around active nest sites identified during preconstruction raptor surveys. Project activity shall not commence within the buffer areas until a qualified biologist has determined, in coordination with CDFW, that the young have fledged, the nest is no longer active, or reducing the buffer would not likely result in nest abandonment. CDFW guidelines recommend implementation of 0.25-mile-wide buffer for Swainson’s hawk and 500-feet for other raptors, but the size of the buffer may be adjusted if a qualified biologist and the project proponent, in consultation with CDFW, determine that such an adjustment would not be likely to adversely affect the nest. Monitoring of the nest by a qualified biologist during and after construction activities shall be required if the activity has potential to adversely affect the nest. ▲ Trees shall not be removed during the breeding season for nesting raptors unless a survey by a qualified biologist verifies that there is not an active nest in the tree. ▲ To mitigate for permanent losses of Swainson’s hawk foraging habitat, the project proponent will provide foraging habitat compensation at a 1:1 ratio (i.e., one acre of compensatory habitat for each acre developed). This compensation may be achieved through one or more approaches, including providing replacement habitat through fee title or conservation easement, or purchase of credits in a CDFW-approved Swainson’s hawk conservation bank. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Before ground disturbing activities that could affect tricolored blackbird nesting habitat</p>	<p>Commence vegetation removal activities during the nonbreeding season (September 1-January 31); conduct preconstruction surveys for nesting tricolored blackbirds (colonies) no more than 14 days before construction commences; monitor occupied nests during construction</p>
<p>Tricolored Blackbird Project proponents shall retain a qualified biologist to determine whether suitable habitat for tricolored blackbird is present on or within 500 feet of the project site. For projects or ground-disturbing activities that could affect tricolored blackbird nesting habitat, the following measures shall be implemented to avoid or minimize loss of active tricolored blackbird nests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ To minimize the potential for loss of tricolored blackbird nesting colonies and other nesting birds, vegetation removal activities shall commence during the nonbreeding season (September 1-January 31) to the extent feasible. If all suitable nesting habitat is removed during the nonbreeding season, no further mitigation would be required. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>▲ Before removal of any vegetation within potential nesting habitat between February 1 and August 31, a qualified biologist shall conduct preconstruction surveys for nesting tricolored blackbirds (colonies). The surveys shall be conducted no more than 14 days before construction commences. If no active nests or tricolored blackbird colonies are found during focused surveys, no further action under this measure will be required. If active nests are located during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist shall notify CDFW. If necessary, modifications to the project design to avoid removal of occupied habitat while still achieving project objectives shall be evaluated, and implemented to the extent feasible. If avoidance is not feasible or conflicts with project objectives, construction shall be prohibited within a minimum of 100 feet of the nest to avoid disturbance until the nest colony is no longer active. These recommended buffer areas may be reduced or expanded through consultation with CDFW. Monitoring of all occupied nests shall be conducted by a qualified biologist during construction activities to adjust the 100-foot buffer if agitated behavior by the nesting bird is observed.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-5b: Protect northern harrier, white-tailed kite, and other nesting raptor nests (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) For projects or ground-disturbing activities (including any required off-site improvements) with potential to affect northern harrier, white-tailed kite, or other raptor nests (i.e., activities proposed to occur in or within 500 feet of suitable habitat), the project proponent shall implement the following preconstruction survey and nest avoidance measures.</p> <p>▲ For project activities, including tree and other vegetation removal, that begin between February 1 and September 15, qualified biologists shall conduct preconstruction surveys for white-tailed kite and northern harrier and to identify active nests on and within 500 feet of the project site. The surveys shall be conducted before the beginning of any construction activities between February 1 and September 15.</p> <p>▲ Impacts to nesting raptors shall be avoided by establishing appropriate buffers around active nest sites identified during preconstruction raptor surveys. Project activity shall not commence within the buffer areas until a qualified biologist has determined, in coordination with CDFW, that the young have fledged, the nest is no longer active, or reducing the buffer would not likely result in nest abandonment. CDFW guidelines recommend implementation of a 500-foot-wide buffer for these raptor species, but the size of the buffer may be adjusted if a qualified biologist and the project proponent, in consultation with CDFW, determine that such an adjustment would not be likely to adversely affect the nest. Monitoring of the nest by a qualified biologist during and after construction activities shall be required if the activity has potential to adversely affect the nest.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Before ground disturbing activities with potential to affect northern harrier, white-tailed kite, or other raptor nests (i.e., activities proposed to occur in or within 500 feet of suitable habitat)</p>	<p>Conduct preconstruction surveys for white-tailed kite and northern harrier before the beginning of any construction activities between February 1 and September 15; establish appropriate buffers around active nest sites;</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>▲ Trees shall not be removed during the breeding season for nesting raptors unless a survey by a qualified biologist verifies that there is not an active nest in the tree. Similarly, because northern harrier is a ground nester, ground disturbances within suitable nesting habitat for northern harrier shall not commence unless a survey verifies that an active nest is not present.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-5c: Protect loggerhead shrike, song sparrow, and grasshopper sparrow nests (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Before any ground-disturbing project activities begin, a qualified biologist will identify potential habitat for nesting loggerhead shrike, song sparrow, grasshopper sparrow, and other special-status bird species in areas that could be affected during the breeding season (February 1–August 31) by construction. To the extent feasible, construction-related vegetation removal shall occur outside the nesting season. If vegetation removal or other disturbance related to construction is required during the nesting season, focused surveys for active nests of special-status birds will be conducted before and within 14 days of initiating construction. A qualified biologist will conduct preconstruction surveys to identify active nests that could be affected. The appropriate area to be surveyed and timing of the survey may vary depending on the activity and species that could be affected. If no active nests are found during focused surveys, no further action under this measure will be required. If an active loggerhead shrike, song sparrow, grasshopper sparrow, or other special-status bird nest is located during the preconstruction surveys, the biologist will notify CDFW. If necessary, modifications to the project design to avoid removal of occupied habitat while still achieving project objectives will be evaluated and implemented to the extent feasible. If avoidance is not feasible, construction will be prohibited within a minimum of 100 feet of the nest to avoid disturbance until the nest is no longer active. These recommended buffer areas may be reduced or expanded through consultation with CDFW. Monitoring of all occupied nests shall be conducted by a qualified biologist during construction activities to adjust the 100-foot buffer if agitated behavior by the nesting bird is observed.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Before any ground-disturbing project activities begin</p>	<p>If vegetation removal or other disturbance is required during the nesting season, conduct focused surveys for active nests of special-status birds before and within 14 days of initiating construction; prohibit construction within a minimum of 100 feet of nests; monitor occupied nests during construction</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-5d: Minimize disturbance and loss of bat roost sites (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Bat surveys shall be conducted by a qualified wildlife biologist within 14 days before any tree removal or clearing during each construction season. Locations of vegetation and tree removal or excavation will be examined for potential bat roosts. Specific survey methodologies will be determined in coordination with CDFW, and may include visual surveys of bats (e.g., observation of bats during foraging period), inspection for suitable habitat, bat sign (e.g., guano), or use of ultrasonic detectors (e.g., Sonobat, Anabat). Removal of any significant roost sites located will be avoided to the extent feasible. If it is determined that an active roost site cannot be avoided and will be affected, bats will be excluded from the roost site before the site is removed. The biologist</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Conduct bat surveys within 14 days before any tree removal or clearing during each construction season</p>	<p>Satisfied before any tree removal or clearing during each construction season</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>shall first notify and consult with CDFW on appropriate bat exclusion methods and roost removal procedures. Exclusion methods may include use of one-way doors at roost entrances (bats may leave, but not reenter), or sealing roost entrances when the site can be confirmed to contain no bats. Once it is confirmed that all bats have left the roost, crews will be allowed to continue work in the area.</p> <p>Exclusion efforts may be restricted during periods of sensitive activity (e.g., during winter hibernation or while females in maternity colonies are nursing young [generally, during late spring and summer]). If a hibernation or maternity roosting site is discovered, the project developer will consult with CDFW to establish appropriate exclusionary buffers until all young are determined to be volant (i.e., able to fly) by a qualified biologist. Once it is determined that all young are volant, passive exclusion devices shall be installed and all bats will be allowed to leave voluntarily. Once it is determined by a qualified biologist that all bats have left the roost, crews will be allowed to work within the buffer zone.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-5e: Protect active American badger den sites (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Before construction activities within suitable habitat for American badger, a qualified biologist shall conduct surveys to identify any American badger burrows/dens. These surveys shall be conducted not more than 15 days before the start of construction. If occupied burrows are not found, further mitigation will be not required. If occupied burrows are found, CDFW shall be notified and impacts to active badger dens shall be avoided by establishing exclusion zones around all active badger dens, within which construction-related activities shall be prohibited until denning activities are complete or the den is abandoned. A qualified biologist shall monitor each den once per week to track the status of the den and to determine when a den area has been cleared for construction.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Conduct surveys not more than 15 days before the start of construction within suitable habitat for American badger</p>	<p>Monitor each occupied den once per week to track the status of the den and to determine when a den area has been cleared for construction</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-5f: Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding mitigation for impacts on special-status reptile, bird, and mammal species resulting from off-site improvements outside the County's jurisdictional boundaries (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of special-status animals resulting from construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and other off-site improvements within the City's jurisdiction. As a part of its CEQA process for each improvement project, the City of Roseville, as lead agency, would identify and implement appropriate mitigation for significant impacts to special-status reptile, bird, and mammal species. Placer County would play a coordinating role but would have no jurisdiction or control over the timing and implementation of mitigation for off-site improvements that occur outside its own jurisdiction.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>As a part of the City of Roseville's CEQA process for each improvement project</p>	<p>Satisfied with City of Roseville's issuance of CEQA NOD for each improvement project</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-6a: Avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss of riparian habitat (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ The County shall require future project proponents, including for off-site improvements, to retain a qualified botanist to identify, map, and quantify riparian habitat and other sensitive natural communities on the project site before final project design is completed. ▲ If impacts on riparian habitat cannot be avoided as part of future project construction, the project proponent shall compensate for loss of riparian habitat through participation in the PCCP if it has been adopted and is available for mitigation for project impacts. Per the PCCP, mitigation shall be through off-site restoration at an area ratio of at least 1.5:1 and shall be funded through fees paid in addition to land conversion fees. On-site restoration by the project proponent may serve in lieu of some or all of the Special Habitat fees if it meets all the applicable requirements described in the PCCP conservation measures and implementation plan. ▲ If the PCCP is not adopted, the project proponent shall consult with the County and CDFW to determine appropriate mitigation for removal of riparian habitat resulting from project implementation. Mitigation measures may include restoration of affected habitat on site and in kind, restoration of another section of stream within the project area, habitat restoration off site, or payment of a mitigation fee to a CDFW-approved mitigation bank. The compensation habitat shall be similar in composition and structure to the habitat/natural community to be removed and shall be at ratios adequate to offset the loss of habitat functions in the affected project area so that there is no-net-loss of riparian habitat functions, consistent with Placer County General Plan policy and CDFW regulations. ▲ If required, the project proponents shall obtain a Section 1602 streambed alteration agreement from CDFW and comply with all conditions of the agreement. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and CDFW</p>	<p>Biologist retained before final project design is completed; PCCP participation with submittal of improvement plan; if PCCP is not available, compensation will be implemented prior to approval of improvement plans; 1602 streambed alteration agreement obtained prior to construction within an applicable feature</p>	<p>Satisfied upon payment of PCCP fees and completion of PCCP-required avoidance and minimization measures</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-6b: Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding mitigation for impacts on riparian habitat resulting from off-site improvements outside the County's jurisdictional boundaries (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of riparian habitat resulting from construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility within the City's jurisdiction. As a part of its CEQA process for the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility project, the City of Roseville, as lead agency, would identify and implement appropriate mitigation for significant impacts to riparian habitat. Placer County would play a coordinating role but would have no control over the timing and implementation of mitigation for off-site improvements that occur outside its jurisdiction.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>As a part of the City of Roseville's CEQA process for the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility project</p>	<p>Satisfied with City of Roseville's issuance of the CEQA NOD for the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility project</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-7a: Avoid or compensate for loss of protected trees (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ The County shall require future project proponents, including proponents for off-site improvements, to avoid tree removal or death if feasible and appropriate, through incorporation of these features into project design and planning. ▲ All trees retained on-site shall be protected from construction-related impacts by placing exclusion fencing one foot outside the drip line of retained trees, or one foot outside the outer edge of the riparian woodland habitat, and maintaining said fencing through the duration of construction. ▲ If any trees protected under County ordinance cannot feasibly be avoided, they shall be mitigated through the payment of PCCP land conversion fees and incorporation of its avoidance and minimization measures into the project. If the PCCP is not approved prior to project development, trees subject to loss shall be replaced at a 1:1 ratio (1 new inch dbh of tree for each inch dbh lost), unless alternative mitigation is approved by the County pursuant to Placer County Code Article 12.16. Replacement trees will be a minimum of 15-gallon size plantings and will be the same or similar native species as the tree removed. Replacement trees may be planted on-site to areas that would not be developed or to nearby offsite open space areas. Alternatively, if approved by the County, trees to be removed may be transplanted to other open space areas in proximity to the SAP area. Payment of an in-lieu fee to the Placer County tree preservation fund may also be allowed to compensate for tree loss. ▲ The project proponent required to replace lost trees shall provide appropriate irrigation and maintenance to replacement trees and will enter into a maintenance agreement with the County. The project proponent shall post a deposit for the replacement cost of replanted trees to the County and the deposit shall be retained until the County arborist certifies that conditions of the tree permit have been satisfied. ▲ Any replacement tree that is dead after three years shall be replaced in kind with equal-sized, healthy replacements and these trees shall be maintained until established. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p> <p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p> <p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p> <p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p> <p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Install exclusion fencing before the start of construction</p> <p>With submittal of improvement plans or with submittal of design review application</p> <p>Enter maintenance agreement with the County and post deposit for replacement cost of replanted trees prior to design review approval or approval of improvement plans</p>	<p>Satisfied with construction inspection</p> <p>Satisfied upon payment of PCCP fees and completion of PCCP-required avoidance and minimization measures</p> <p>Monitor replacement trees for three years; replace any dead replacement trees and maintain these trees until established</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-7b: Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of trees protected under City ordinance resulting from off-site improvements outside the County's jurisdictional boundaries (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of trees resulting from construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and other off-site improvements within the City's jurisdiction. As a part of its CEQA process for each improvement project, the City of Roseville as lead agency, would identify and implement appropriate mitigation for significant loss of trees and would comply with City ordinances. Placer County would play a coordinating role but would have no control over the timing and implementation of mitigation for off-site improvements that occur outside its jurisdiction.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>As a part of the City of Roseville's CEQA process for each improvement project</p>	<p>Satisfied with City of Roseville's issuance of the CEQA NOD for each improvement project</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-8a: Provide wildlife crossing for Placer Parkway (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) The County shall coordinate with PCTPA during the design of Placer Parkway to incorporate safe wildlife-crossing features, as feasible. Design features that promote wildlife crossing could include (but may not be limited to) sizing bridges/culverts sufficiently to allow wildlife movement between the Net SAP and PRSP open space areas and locating them to maximize the connection between open space areas. The County shall work with a qualified biologist to determine the appropriate size and location of these wildlife crossing points.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division, ESD, DPW, and PCTPA	During the design of Placer Parkway	Satisfied with approval of final design of Placer Parkway improvement plans
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-8b: Provide interconnected natural areas (Net SAP Area) The County shall require the maintenance of open space and natural areas in the Net SAP area that are interconnected and of sufficient size to protect biodiversity, accommodate wildlife movement, and sustain ecosystems. The County will work with proponents of future projects in the Net SAP area to identify and design an appropriate wildlife movement corridor, consistent with the PCCP conservation strategy (if adopted), between the open space preserve area in the PRSP area and the Reserve/Mitigation Preserve lands in the northern portion of the SAP. The location of movement corridors should be coordinated with the wildlife crossings in Placer Parkway (See Mitigation Measure 4.4-8a).</p>	Net SAP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	Prior to approval of projects within the Net SAP Area	Satisfied upon approval of projects within the Net SAP Area
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-8c: Provide wildlife crossing structures (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) The County shall require road crossings over the stream system open space areas to be designed to provide safe wildlife movement using wildlife overpasses, underpasses, bridges, or culverts that are adequately sized to allow safe crossing even during high water. Design of crossings shall be based on movement requirements for the range of common and sensitive native wildlife species in the region. Where feasible and appropriate, fencing may be used to direct animals toward wildlife crossing structures and away from roadways. For the Sac State-Placer Center site, safe wildlife movement facilities shall be provided as feasible.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division and ESD	Prior to approval of improvement plans showing road crossings over stream systems	Satisfied with approval of improvement plans
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measure 4.4-5d: Minimize Disturbance and Loss of Bat Roost Sites</p>	See Mitigation Measure 4.4-5d, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.4-5d, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.4-5d, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.4-5d, above

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.4-9: Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of maternity bat colonies resulting from off-site improvements outside the County's jurisdictional boundaries (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding mitigation for loss of maternity bat colonies resulting from construction of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements within the City's jurisdiction. As a part of its CEQA process for each improvement project, the City of Roseville would identify and implement appropriate mitigation for significant impacts to maternity bat colonies. Placer County would play a coordinating role but would have no control over the timing and implementation of mitigation for off-site improvements that occur within the City of Roseville.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>As a part of the City of Roseville's CEQA process for each improvement project</p>	<p>Satisfied with City of Roseville's issuance of the CEQA NOD for each improvement project</p>
<p>4.5 Archaeological, Historical, and Tribal Cultural Resources</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1a: Identified resource avoidance (PRSP Area)</p> <p>Based on UAIC's identification of a 0.96-acre area where on-site topography mimics conditions on a nearby unrelated project site (where the tribe has identified significant TCRs), as well as identification of a potentially indicative surface artifact at this location, the following mitigation measure shall be implemented:</p> <p>Prior to recordation of the final large lot subdivision map for the area including the 0.96-acre area, one of the following two actions shall be taken:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Subsurface soil testing shall be conducted with UAIC tribal monitors present within the 0.96-acre sensitive area identified by the Tribe to determine that TCRs are absent and therefore that no restrictions or map changes are necessary, or 2. The 0.96-acre sensitive area shall be either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ designated as Open Space on the land use plan; or ▲ restricted on the map and deed to preclude construction of any structures, roadway or utility infrastructure, agricultural cultivation, or other earth-disturbing activities. <p>If this identified resource will be avoided (and no subsurface testing will be conducted), project construction plans shall demarcate the area through "Environmentally Sensitive Area" notation. The demarcations shall be reviewed and approved by UAIC before finalization to ensure they correctly identify the location of the TCRs.</p> <p>Before each phase of construction, including staging of equipment, silt fence installation, and clearing and grubbing, the construction contractor shall install protective fencing at the perimeter of the Environmentally Sensitive Area as shown on the plans and as verified by UAIC. Installation of the fencing shall be monitored by a UAIC monitor. The fencing shall be maintained and repaired as needed and to the satisfaction of the County's Development Review Committee and UAIC for the duration of the construction activity.</p>	<p>PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee, ESD, and UAIC</p>	<p>Subsurface soil testing - prior to recordation of the final Large Lot Subdivision Map for the PRSP area, including the 0.96-acre area</p> <p>Identification of avoidance area and/or deed restriction – with submittal of final Large Lot Subdivision Map</p>	<p>Subsurface soil testing - Install protective fencing at the perimeter of the Environmentally Sensitive Area before each phase of construction (including clearing and grubbing); maintain and repair the fencing for the duration of the construction activity</p> <p>Identification of avoidance area and/or deed restriction – complete with approval of final Large Lot Subdivision Map</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1b: Inadvertent discoveries (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) If potential Native American prehistoric, historic, archaeological, or cultural resources, including midden soil, artifacts, chipped stone, exotic rock (nonnative), or unusual amounts of baked clay, shell, or bone, are uncovered during any on-site construction activities, all work must immediately stop in the area. Work shall cease within 100 feet of the find regardless of whether the construction is being actively monitored by a cultural resources specialist, professional archaeologist, or representative from UAIC. Following discovery, a professional archaeologist shall be retained to evaluate the significance of the deposit, and the Placer County Community Development Resource Agency, the Department of Museums, and Native American representatives from UAIC shall make recommendations for further evaluation and treatment, as appropriate.</p> <p>If Native American prehistoric, historic, archaeological, or cultural deposits or isolates found to be ineligible for inclusion in the CRHR are identified within the SAP area, UAIC shall be notified. Culturally appropriate treatment and disposition shall be determined following coordination with UAIC. Culturally appropriate treatment may involve processing materials in a lab for reburial, minimizing handling of cultural objects, leaving objects in place within the landscape, and returning objects to a location within the project area where they will not be subject to future impacts. UAIC does not consider curation of TCRs to be appropriate or respectful and request that materials not be permanently curated, unless requested by the tribe.</p> <p>If articulated or disarticulated human remains are discovered during construction activities, the County coroner and NAHC shall be contacted immediately. Upon determination by the County coroner that the find is Native American in origin, the NAHC will assign the Most Likely Descendant (MLD), who will work with the project proponent to define appropriate treatment and disposition of the burials.</p> <p>Following a review of the find and consultation with the Native American tribe and appropriate experts, if necessary, the authority to proceed may be accompanied by the addition of development requirements or special conditions that provide for protection of the site and/or additional measures necessary to address the unique or sensitive nature of the site. Work in the area of the cultural resource discovery may proceed only after authorization is granted by the Placer County Community Development Resource Agency following coordination with tribal representatives and cultural resource experts, as appropriate.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee and ESD</p>	<p>During any construction activities</p>	<p>Continuously during any construction activities; ongoing monitoring by ESD construction inspection during individual project construction</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1c: Tribal monitoring (PRSP Area) An area within the PRSP area has been identified by UAIC as having the potential for significant cultural finds based on the presence of multiple surface isolates. Before commencement of earth-disturbing activities in the PRSP area, a tribal site monitor from UAIC shall be contacted. The monitor shall identify a site boundary and demarcate an “Environmentally Sensitive Area.” In this area, the project proponent and/or its construction contractor(s) shall accommodate Native American monitors or their representatives on the construction site during ground-disturbing activities, including vegetation clearing, grubbing, and stripping or other earth-moving/disturbing activities, such as grading or excavation. Native American monitors or their representatives will have the authority to request that work be temporarily stopped, diverted, or slowed if sites or objects of significance are identified within 100 feet of the direct impact area. Only a Native American monitor or representative shall recommend appropriate treatment and final disposition of TCRs.</p>	PRSP Area	Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee ESD, and UAIC	Before commencement and during earth-disturbing activities in the PRSP area	Continuously during earth-disturbing activities in the PRSP area; ongoing monitoring by ESD construction inspection during individual project construction
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1d: Tribal cultural resource awareness training (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Before initiation of construction in the areas defined by UAIC as potentially sensitive, all construction crew members, consultants, and other personnel involved in project implementation shall receive project-specific TCR awareness training. The training shall be conducted in coordination with qualified cultural resource specialists and representatives from UAIC. The training will emphasize the requirement for confidentiality and culturally appropriate, respectful treatment of any find of significance to UAIC.</p> <p>As a component of the training, a brochure will be distributed to all personnel associated with project implementation. At a minimum, the brochure shall discuss the following topics in clear and straightforward language:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ field indicators of potential archaeological or cultural resources (e.g., what to look for; for example: archaeological artifacts, exotic or nonnative rock, unusually large amounts of shell or bone, significant soil color variation); ▲ regulations governing archaeological resources and TCRs; ▲ consequences of disregarding or violating laws protecting archaeological or TCRs; and ▲ steps to take if a worker encounters a possible resource. <p>The training shall include project-specific guidance for on-site personnel, including agreed upon protocols for resource avoidance, when to stop work, and whom to contact if potential archaeological or TCRs are identified.</p> <p>The training shall also address directing work to stop and contacting the County coroner and the NAHC immediately if potential human remains are identified. NAHC will assign an MLD if the remains are determined by the coroner to be Native American in origin.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee and UAIC	Before initiation of construction in the areas defined by UAIC as potentially sensitive	Satisfied when project-specific TCR awareness training is conducted

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1e: Site visit after ground disturbance (Net SAP Area) The project proponent shall notify the CEQA lead agency a minimum of 7 days before initiation of ground disturbance to allow the agency time to notify culturally affiliated tribes. Tribal representatives from culturally affiliated tribes shall be allowed access to the project site within the first 5 days of ground-breaking activity to inspect soil piles, trenches, or other disturbed areas. If potential Native American prehistoric, historic, archaeological, or cultural resources, including midden soil, artifacts, chipped stone, exotic rock (nonnative), or unusual amounts of baked clay, shell, or bone, are identified during this initial inspection following ground disturbance, the following actions shall be taken:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Work shall be suspended within 100 feet of the find, and the project proponent shall immediately notify the CEQA lead agency representative. The project proponent shall coordinate any subsequent investigation of the site with a qualified archaeologist approved by the Placer County Community Development Resource Agency and a tribal representative from the culturally affiliated tribe(s). The archaeologist shall coordinate with the culturally affiliated tribe(s) to allow for proper management recommendations if potential impacts on the resources are found by the CEQA lead agency representative to be significant. ▲ A site meeting of construction personnel shall be held to afford the tribal representative the opportunity to provide TCR awareness information. ▲ A written report detailing the site assessment, coordination activities, and management recommendations shall be provided to the CEQA lead agency representative by the qualified archaeologist. Possible management recommendations for historical or unique archaeological resources or TCRs could include resource avoidance, preservation in place, reburial on-site, or other measures deemed acceptable by the project proponent, the County, and the tribal representative from the culturally affiliated tribe(s). <p>The contractor shall implement any measures deemed by the CEQA lead agency representative staff to be necessary and feasible to avoid or minimize significant effects on the TCR, including the use of a Native American monitor whenever work is occurring within 100 feet of the find.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area</p>	<p>Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee and UAIC</p>	<p>Project proponent to notify the County a minimum of 7 days before initiation of ground disturbance to allow the County time to notify culturally affiliated tribes</p>	<p>Provide project site access to tribal representatives from culturally affiliated tribes within the first 5 days of ground-breaking activity; implement any measures deemed by the County representative staff to be necessary and feasible to avoid or minimize significant effects on the TCR, including the use of a Native American monitor whenever work is occurring within 100 feet of the find</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1f: Lead agency notification (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) A minimum of 7 days before beginning earthwork or other soil-disturbing activities, the project proponent shall notify the CEQA lead agency representative of the proposed earthwork start date to provide the CEQA lead agency representative adequate time to contact UAIC regarding TCR concerns.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee</p>	<p>Project proponent to notify the County representative a minimum of 7 days before beginning earthwork or other soil-disturbing activities to provide the County adequate time to contact UAIC regarding TCR concerns</p>	<p>Satisfied with notification of the County representative</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1g: Woodcreek Water Treatment Plant (Other Off-Site Transportation and Utility Improvements) Although identified as a possible off-site improvement, it is highly unlikely that any improvements related to the SAP project, including the PRSP, will ever occur at this recycled water facility. This facility is located in the City of Roseville and is outside County jurisdiction. In the unlikely event that the County’s project requires work at this location, the County will coordinate with City of Roseville regarding consultation with UAIC.</p>	Other Off-Site Transportation and Utility Improvements	Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee and City of Roseville	Coordinate with City of Roseville regarding consultation with UAIC prior to construction at the Woodcreek Water Treatment Plant, if such work would occur	Satisfied with coordination with City of Roseville
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-1h: Pleasant Grove Retention Facility (Pleasant Grove Retention Facility) This is a proposed regional retention facility that will be constructed on City of Roseville–owned land and may be operated in accordance with a joint operations agreement developed by the participating jurisdictions. The City has already prepared a programmatic CEQA document for the project and will be the CEQA lead agency for the project-level (construction) CEQA analysis. City staff also will prepare the engineering improvement plans. When the project-level CEQA analysis occurs, the City of Roseville will be the lead agency responsible for AB 52 consultation. During consultation for the off-site improvements, the City agreed to allow UAIC to participate, on a voluntary basis, in any subsequent pedestrian surveys that may be conducted in support of the cultural resource and tribal cultural resource sections of its future CEQA document.</p>	Pleasant Grove Retention Facility	Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee and City of Roseville	When the project-level CEQA analysis occurs for the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility	Satisfied with completion of pedestrian surveys in support of the cultural resource and tribal cultural resource sections of a future CEQA document for the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.5-2: Require archaeological resource survey for areas outside the SAP (Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and Off-Site Transportation and Utility Improvements) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville for the preparation of a cultural resource evaluation report for the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements, before grading, excavation, or other earthmoving activities begin. The evaluation should include preparing archaeological and historical survey reports. Any identified archaeological and historical sites (including structures 45 years of age or older) and materials should be evaluated and recorded on standard DPR 523-series forms in accordance with NRHP/CRHR criteria. The evaluation report should be completed by a qualified archaeologist, architectural historian, or historical architect who meets the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualifications for Archaeology and Historic Preservation, as appropriate, and submitted to the City of Roseville. Project contractors and construction managers should follow the recommendations identified in the report.</p>	Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and Off-Site Transportation and Utility Improvements	Placer County CDRA Development Review Committee and City of Roseville	Before grading, excavation, or other earthmoving activities begin related to the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements	Satisfied before grading, excavation, or other earthmoving activities begin related to the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvements

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
4.6 Geology and Soils				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-1a: Submit improvement plans (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>The project proponent shall prepare and submit improvement plans, specifications, and cost estimates (in accordance with the requirements of Section II of the Land Development Manual that are in effect at the time of submittal) to ESD for review and approval. The plans shall show all physical improvements as required by the conditions for the project, as well as pertinent topographical features both on and off site. All existing and proposed utilities and easements, on site and adjacent to the project, that may be affected by planned construction shall be shown on the plans. All landscaping and irrigation facilities within the public right-of-way (or public easements), or landscaping within sight distance areas at intersections, shall be included in the improvement plans. The project proponent shall pay plan check and inspection fees and Placer County Fire Department improvement plan review and inspection fees with the first improvement plan submittal. (NOTE: Before plan approval, all applicable recording and reproduction costs shall be paid.) The cost of the above-noted landscape and irrigation facilities shall be included in the estimates used to determine these fees. It is the project proponent’s responsibility to obtain all required agency signatures on the plans and to secure department approvals. If the design/site review process and/or Development Review Committee (DRC) review is required as a condition of approval for the project, the review process shall be completed before improvement plans are submitted. Record drawings shall be prepared and signed by a California Registered Civil Engineer at the project proponent’s expense and shall be submitted to the ESD in both hard copy and electronic versions in a format to be approved by the ESD before site improvements are accepted by the County.</p> <p>Conceptual landscape plans submitted before project approval may require modification during the improvement plan process to resolve issues of drainage and traffic safety.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division and the Development Review Committee	With submittal of Improvement Plans	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and during construction inspection
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-1b: Implement improvement plans (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>The improvement plans shall show all proposed grading, drainage improvements, and vegetation and tree removal, and all work shall conform to provisions of the County Grading Ordinance (Ref. Article 15.48, Placer County Code) and Stormwater Quality Ordinance (Ref. Article 8.28, Placer County Code) that are in effect at the time of submittal. No grading, clearing, or tree disturbance shall occur until the improvement plans are approved and all temporary construction fencing has been installed and inspected by a member of the DRC. All cut/fill slopes shall be at a maximum of 2:1 (horizontal:vertical) unless a soils report supports a steeper slope and the ESD concurs with this recommendation.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division and the Development Review Committee	With submittal of Improvement Plans	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and during construction inspection

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>The project proponent shall revegetate all disturbed areas. Revegetation, undertaken from April 1 through October 1, shall include regular watering to ensure adequate growth. A winterization plan shall be provided with project improvement plans. It is the project proponent’s responsibility to ensure proper installation and maintenance of erosion control/winterization before, during, and after project construction. Stockpiled soil and borrow areas shall have proper erosion control measures applied for the duration of the construction as specified in the improvement plans. Erosion control shall be provided where roadside drainage is off the pavement, to the satisfaction of the ESD.</p> <p>The project proponent shall submit to the ESD a letter of credit or cash deposit in the amount of 110 percent of an approved engineer’s estimate for winterization and permanent erosion control work before improvement plan approval to guarantee protection against erosion and improper grading practices. One year after the County’s acceptance of improvements as complete, if there are no erosion or runoff issues to be corrected, unused portions of the deposit shall be refunded to the project proponent or authorized agent.</p> <p>If, at any time during construction, a field review by County personnel indicates a significant deviation from the proposed grading shown on the improvement plans, specifically with regard to slope heights, slope ratios, erosion control, winterization, tree disturbance, and/or pad elevations and configurations, the plans shall be reviewed by the DRC/ESD for a determination of substantial conformance to the project approvals before any further work is performed. Failure of the DRC/ESD to make a determination of substantial conformance may serve as grounds for the revocation/modification of the project approval by the appropriate hearing body.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-1c: Implement best management practices (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>The improvement plans shall show that water quality treatment facilities/BMPs shall be designed according to the guidance of the California Stormwater Quality Association stormwater BMP handbooks for construction, for new development/redevelopment, and for industrial and commercial (or other similar source as approved by the ESD). Construction (temporary) BMPs for the project include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ straw mulch, ▲ velocity dissipation devices, ▲ silt fencing, ▲ fiber rolls, ▲ storm drain inlet protection, ▲ wind erosion control, and ▲ stabilized construction entrances. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division and the Development Review Committee</p>	<p>With submittal of Improvement Plans</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and during construction inspection</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Storm drainage from on- and off-site impervious surfaces (including roads) shall be collected and routed through specially designed catch basins, vegetated swales, vaults, infiltration basins, water quality basins, filters, or similar features for entrapment of sediment, debris, and oils/greases or other identified pollutants as approved by the ESD. BMPs shall be designed in accordance with the <i>West Placer Storm Water Quality Design Manual</i> for Sizing of Permanent Post-Construction Best Management Practices for Stormwater Quality Protection. No water quality facility construction shall be permitted within any identified wetlands area, floodplain, or right-of-way, except as authorized by project approvals.</p> <p>All permanent BMPs shall be maintained as required to ensure effectiveness. The project proponent shall provide for the establishment of vegetation, where specified, by means of proper irrigation. Proof of ongoing maintenance, such as contractual evidence, shall be provided to ESD upon request. Maintenance of these facilities shall be provided by the project owners/permittees and certification of completed maintenance reported annually to the County Department of Public Works and Facilities Stormwater Coordinator unless, and until, a County Service Area is created and said facilities are accepted by the County for maintenance. Contractual evidence of monthly parking lot sweeping and vacuuming and a catch basin cleaning program shall be provided to the ESD upon request. Failure to do so will be grounds for discretionary permit revocation. Before improvement plan or final subdivision map approval, easements shall be created and offered for dedication to the County for maintenance and access to these facilities in anticipation of possible County maintenance.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-1d: Submit improvement plans (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall work with the project proponent to coordinate with the City of Roseville to make sure improvement plans are submitted that meet all City requirements for accurate identification of features, such as topographical features; location of existing utilities and easements; proposed landscaping and irrigation facilities within public right of way; proposed grading and drainage improvements; and vegetation and tree removal (as well as any other items the City of Roseville requires for improvement plans).</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division to coordinate with City)	With submittal of Improvement Plans to the City of Roseville	During the City of Roseville's review of Improvement Plans
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-1e: Implement improvement plans (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall work with the project proponent to coordinate with the City of Roseville to make sure proposed grading, drainage improvements, and vegetation and tree removal are consistent with City requirements, including requirements for slopes and construction-related erosion control and stormwater quality protection as well as other specific City of Roseville requirements and conditions of approval.</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division to coordinate with City)	With submittal of Improvement Plans to the City of Roseville	During the City of Roseville's review of Improvement Plans

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-1f: Implement best management practices (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall work with the project proponent to coordinate with the City of Roseville to make sure that water quality treatment facilities/BMPs are designed according to the guidance of the California Stormwater Quality Association stormwater BMP handbooks for construction, for new development/redevelopment, and for industrial and commercial. Construction (temporary) BMPs include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ straw mulch, ▲ velocity dissipation devices, ▲ silt fencing, ▲ fiber rolls, ▲ storm drain inlet protection, ▲ wind erosion control, and ▲ stabilized construction entrances. 	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division to coordinate with City)	With submittal of Improvement Plans to the City of Roseville	During the City of Roseville’s review of Improvement Plans
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-2a: Submit preliminary and final geotechnical engineering reports (Net SAP Area) Proponents of projects within the net SAP area shall submit to ESD for review and approval a preliminary geotechnical report, prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer or Geotechnical Engineer, as part of the subsequent entitlement application review. Improvement plan submittals for development within the net SAP area shall include a final geotechnical engineering report produced by a California Registered Civil Engineer or Geotechnical Engineer for ESD review and approval. The report shall address and make recommendations on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ road, pavement, and parking area design; ▲ structural foundations, including retaining wall design (if applicable); ▲ grading practices; ▲ erosion/winterization; ▲ special problems discovered on-site (e.g., groundwater, expansive/unstable soils); and ▲ slope stability. <p>Once approved by the ESD, two copies of the final report shall be provided to the ESD and one copy to the Building Services Division for its use. It is the responsibility of the developer to provide for engineering inspection and certification that earthwork has been performed in conformity with recommendations contained in the report.</p>	Net SAP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division and Building Services Division	With each Improvement Plan submittal	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and during construction inspection

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-2b: Submit final geotechnical engineering report (PRSP Area) The Improvement plan submittals for development within the PRSP area shall include a final geotechnical engineering report produced by a California Registered Civil Engineer or Geotechnical Engineer for ESD review and approval. The report shall address and make recommendations on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ road, pavement, and parking area design; ▲ structural foundations, including retaining wall design (if applicable); ▲ grading practices; ▲ erosion/winterization; ▲ special problems discovered on-site (e.g., groundwater, expansive/unstable soils); and ▲ slope stability. <p>Once approved by the ESD, two copies of the final report shall be provided to the ESD and one copy to the Building Services Division for its use. It is the responsibility of the developer to provide for engineering inspection and certification that earthwork has been performed in conformity with recommendations contained in the report.</p> <p>If the soils report indicates the presence of critically expansive or other soils problems that, if not corrected, could lead to structural defects, a certification of completion of the requirements of the soils report shall be required for subdivisions, before issuance of building permits. This certification may be completed on a lot-by-lot basis or on a tract basis. This shall be so noted on the improvement plans; in the development notebook; in the conditions, covenants, and restrictions; and on the informational sheet filed with the final subdivision map(s).</p>	PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division and Building Services Division	With each Improvement Plan submittal	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and during construction inspection
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-2c: Implement geotechnical recommendations (PRSP Area) Before approval of grading plans within the PRSP area, the project proponent shall submit, for review and approval by the County, site-specific soils engineering reports that include recommendations, based on the specific soil conditions, for design of foundations, roadway subgrades, grading and construction techniques, fill material and compaction, and other necessary recommendations in compliance with the CBC. Recommendations from the <i>Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report: Placer Ranch</i> (Wallace-Kuhl & Associates 2004) shall be incorporated into the site-specific soils engineering reports (and shall be updated as needed in accordance with CBC requirements). The following recommendation addresses expansive soils:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Maintaining higher moisture content in subgrade soils at the time of construction, chemical treatment of near-surface soils (e.g., lime treatment), and/or deepened or post-tensioned foundation systems. 	PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division and Building Services Division	With each submittal of grading/improvement plans	Satisfied with approval of grading/improvement plans and during construction inspection

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-4a: Train construction personnel on protocol to follow if fossils are encountered (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to construction commencing and before initiating earthmoving activities in areas likely to contain important paleontological or geologic features (including Upper Riverbank Formation, Turlock Lake, or undifferentiated Quaternary Alluvium sediments), project proponents shall retain a qualified paleontologist to train all construction personnel involved with earthwork in those areas. The paleontologist will teach construction workers about the possibility of encountering fossils, the appearance and types of fossils likely to be seen during construction, and the proper stop-work and County-approved notification procedures to follow if fossils are encountered. A note to contractors regarding this requirement shall be included on the Improvement Plans.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and ESD</p>	<p>Prior to construction commencing and before initiating earthmoving activities in areas likely to contain important paleontological or geologic features</p>	<p>Satisfied prior to construction commencing</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.6-4b: Train construction personnel on protocol to follow if fossils are encountered (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville to make sure project proponents retain a qualified paleontologist to train all construction personnel involved with earthwork in geologic units with high paleontological sensitivity. The paleontologist should teach construction workers about the possibility of encountering fossils, the appearance and types of fossils likely to be seen during construction, and the proper stop-work and notification procedures to follow if fossils are encountered.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division and ESD to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>Prior to construction commencing and before initiating earthmoving activities in areas likely to contain important paleontological or geologic features</p>	<p>Satisfied prior to construction commencing</p>
<p>4.7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.7-2a: Implement all feasible on-site features to reduce operational GHG emissions (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) The County will require project proponents of development proposed under the project to incorporate the following measures to reduce operational emissions of GHGs to the extent feasible. <u>Transportation</u> ▲ For each single-family residential unit, install a listed raceway, associated overcurrent protective device and the balance of a dedicated 208/240-volt branch circuit at 40 amperes (amp) minimum. The raceway shall not be less than trade size 1 (nominal 1-inch inside diameter). The raceway shall originate at the main service or unit subpanel and shall terminate into a listed cabinet, box, or other enclosure near the proposed location of an EV charger. Raceways are required to be continuous at enclosed, inaccessible or concealed areas and spaces. The service panel and/or subpanel shall provide capacity for a 40-ampere minimum dedicated branch circuit. All electrical circuit components and Electric Vehicle Service Equipment (EVSE), including a receptacle or box with a blank cover, related to this section shall be installed in accordance with the California Electrical Code.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and the PCAPCD</p>	<p>With submittal of Design Review application, tentative subdivision map submittal, and/or improvement plan submittal</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of Design Review, tentative subdivision maps, improvement plans, building permit, and/or with construction inspections, as applicable</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Multi-family residential buildings shall design at least 10 percent of parking spaces to include EVSE, or a minimum of two spaces to be installed with EVSE for buildings with 2-10 parking spaces. EVSE includes EV charging equipment for each required space connected to a 208/240-volt, 40-amp panel with conduit, wiring, receptacle, and overprotection devices. ▲ Non-residential buildings shall design at least 10 percent of parking spaces to include EVSE, or a minimum of two spaces to be installed with EVSE for buildings with 2-10 parking spaces. EVSE includes EV charging equipment for each required space connected to a 208/240-volt, 40-amp panel with conduit, wiring, receptacle, and overprotection devices. ▲ Non-residential land uses with 20 or more on-site parking spaces shall dedicate preferential parking spaces to vehicles with more than one occupant and ZEVs (including battery electric vehicles and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles). The number of dedicated spaces should be no less than two spaces or 5 percent of the total parking spaces on the individual project site, whichever is greater. These dedicated spaces shall be in preferential locations such as near the main entrances to the buildings served by the parking lot and/or under the shade of structure or trees. These spaces shall be clearly marked with signs and pavement markings. This measure shall not be implemented in a way that prevents compliance with requirements in the California Vehicle Code regarding parking spaces for disabled persons or disabled veterans. <p>Building Energy Reduce GHG emissions associated with building energy through the following measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Single family residential buildings constructed within the net SAP area and the PRSP area shall be designed to achieve a 30 percent reduction in energy use versus a standard 2016 Title 24 code-compliant building. Reductions in energy shall be achieved by following the energy efficiency performance standards set forth in Tier 2 of the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Section A4.203.1.2.2. These reductions shall be achieved by employing energy efficient design features and/or solar photovoltaics. Compliance shall be demonstrated using CEC-approved residential energy modeling software. ▲ Multi-family residential buildings of three stories or less constructed within the net SAP area and the PRSP area shall be designed to achieve a 15 percent reduction in energy use compared to a standard 2016 Title 24 code-compliant building. Reductions in energy shall be achieved by following the energy efficiency performance standards set forth in Tier 1 of the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Action A4.203.1.2.1. These reductions shall be achieved by employing energy efficient design features and/or solar photovoltaics. Compliance shall be demonstrated using CEC-approved residential modeling software. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Commercial buildings (including multi-family residential structures four stories or higher) shall be designed to achieve a 10 percent or greater reduction in energy use compared to a standard 2016 Title 24 code-compliant building. Reductions in energy shall be achieved through energy efficiency measures consistent with Tier 1 of the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Section A5.203.1.2.1. Reductions can also be achieved by incorporation of co-generation facilities. Alternatively, this could be met by installing on-site renewable energy systems that achieve equivalent reductions in building energy use. ▲ All project buildings shall be designed to include Cool Roofs in accordance with the requirements set forth in Tier 2 of the 2016 California Green Building Energy Code, Sections A4.106.5 and A5.106.11.2. ▲ All project buildings shall comply with requirements for water efficiency and conservation as described in the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code, Divisions 4.3 and 5.3. ▲ Multiple electrical receptacles shall be included on the exterior of all non-residential buildings and accessible for purposes of charging or powering electric landscaping equipment and providing an alternative to using fossil fuel-powered generators. The electrical receptacles shall have an electric potential of 100 volts. There should be a minimum of one electrical receptacle on each side of the building and one receptacle every 100 linear feet around the perimeter of the building. This measure is consistent with SAP Policy NR-6.6, encourages installation of electric outlets to promote the use of electric landscape maintenance equipment. ▲ Ensure that all appliances and fixtures installed in buildings developed under the project are Energy Star®-certified if an Energy Star®-certified model of the appliance is available. Types of Energy Star®-certified appliances include boilers, ceiling fans, central and room air conditioners, clothes washers, compact fluorescent light bulbs, computer monitors, copiers, consumer electronics, dehumidifiers, dishwashers, external power adapters, furnaces, geothermal heat pumps, programmable thermostats, refrigerators and freezers, residential light fixtures, room air cleaners, transformers, televisions, vending machines, ventilating fans, and windows (EPA 2018). If EPA's Energy Star® program is discontinued and not replaced with a comparable certification program before appliances and fixtures are selected, then similar measures which exceed the 2016 California Green Building Standards Code may be used. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.7-2b: Purchase carbon offsets (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) The County will require project proponents of individual developments under the project to offset operational GHG emissions remaining after implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.7-2a. This mitigation measure is consistent with guidance recommended by PCAPCD and CARB (PCAPCD 2017:54, CARB 2017:152). This measure is also consistent with the State CEQA Guidelines, which recommend several options for mitigating GHG emissions. State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4(C)(3) states that measures to mitigate the significant effects of GHG emissions may include “off-site measures, including offsets that are not otherwise required....”</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division and the PCAPCD	With submittal of Design Review application, tentative subdivision map submittal, and/or improvement plan submittal	Satisfied with approval of Design Review, tentative subdivision maps, improvement plans, building permit, and/or with construction inspections, as applicable

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Project proponents shall implement an off-site GHG emissions reduction program or to pay GHG offset fees to compensate for the project’s emissions in excess of 1,100 MTCO_{2e} for a single year, or as determined feasible by the County and project proponents. The off-site program shall comply with approved protocols from California Air Pollution Control Officers Association’s (CAPCOA) GHG Rx program or CARB’s Cap & Trade Offset protocols. Alternatively, the project proponent can purchase local or California-only GHG mitigation credits through the CAPCOA GHG Rx program or ARB accredited offset project registry. At the time this EIR was written, the average rate ranges from \$8 to \$35 per metric ton of CO_{2e}.</p> <p>The net SAP area would generate 367,900 MTCO_{2e}/year after implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.7-2a. The total GHG emission offset requirement would be 366,800 MT CO_{2e} for a period of one year, or 49.13 MTCO_{2e}/year per thousand square feet of nonresidential development and 27.27 MTCO_{2e}/year for each residential unit in the net SAP area.</p> <p>PRSP would generate 195,990 MTCO_{2e}/year after implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.7-2a. The total GHG emission offset requirement would be 194,890 MTCO_{2e}, or 27.27 MTCO_{2e}/year for each residential unit in the PRSP area. Detailed calculations for the Off-Site Mitigation Fee Program can be found in Appendix K.</p> <p>This condition shall be satisfied prior to the recordation of each Small Lot Final Map or building permit issuance when a small lot map is not required.</p> <p>PCAPCD and CARB also recommend that lead agencies prioritize direct investments in GHG emission reductions near the project site to provide potential local air quality and economic co-benefits.</p> <p>Examples of local direct investments include financing installation of regional electric vehicle-charging stations, paying for electrification of public school buses, and investing in local urban forests. However, it is critical that any such investments in actions to reduce GHG emissions are real and quantifiable, as determined by the County, or a consultant selected by the County.</p> <p>Where development of a local offset is not feasible, the County will allow project proponents to mitigate GHG emissions through the purchase of carbon credits issued through the CAPCOA GHG Rx program or CARB-accredited offset project registry. The purchase of carbon credits shall be prioritized in the following manner: offsite within the SVAB portion of Placer County, within Placer County, or within California.</p> <p>The GHG reductions achieved through an offset or through the purchase of a carbon credit must meet the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Real—They represent reductions actually achieved (not based on maximum permit levels). ▲ Additional/surplus—They are not already planned or required by regulation or policy (i.e., not double counted). ▲ Quantifiable—They are readily accounted for through process information and other reliable data. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>▲ Enforceable—They are acquired through legally binding commitments/agreements.</p> <p>▲ Validated—They are verified through the accurate means by a reliable third party.</p> <p>▲ Permanent—They will remain as GHG reductions in perpetuity.</p> <p>The project applicant can satisfy the requirements of this measure by purchasing sufficient carbon credits through the accredited carbon credit registries, investing in a local GHG reduction project/program which complies with the approved protocol from the CAPCOA GHG Rx program or CARB’s Cap-and-Trade offset protocols, or paying the calculated mitigation fee based on the carbon credit rate at the time of the recordation of the small lot final map or approval of the first building permit when a small lot map is not required. Demonstration of compliance shall be provided to the County and carbon offset purchases should be verified by a third party. If the mitigation fee is chosen, the fee should be calculated based on the required GHG reduction and the latest CARB Cap-and-Trade Program Auction Settlement Prices for GHG allowances at the time of the small lot final map recordation or building permit issuance when a small lot map is not required.</p>				
4.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-1a: Complete a Phase I ESA (Net SAP Area)</p> <p>A Phase I ESA shall be completed by project proponents of individual projects in the net SAP area. The Phase I ESA shall be performed in general conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM E 1527-13 “Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments” and EPA “Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquires,” 40 CFR Part 312. If existing hazardous materials contamination is identified in the Phase I ESA, and the Phase I ESA recommends further review, the project proponent shall retain a Registered Environmental Assessor or other qualified professional to conduct follow-up sampling to characterize the contamination and to identify any required remediation that shall be conducted. These recommendations shall be implemented, and the site shall be deemed remediated by the appropriate agency (DTSC, Placer County Department of Environmental Health Services [PCDEHS]) or Placer County shall issue a No Further Action letter before earth disturbance in the vicinity of the contamination.</p>	Net SAP Area	Placer County Environmental Health Services, in coordination with DTSC	With Design Review application, if applicable	Conduct follow-up sampling if existing hazardous materials contamination is identified in the Phase I ESA; implement recommendations continuously during project construction
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-1b: Adhere to American Petroleum Institute and Transportation Research Board recommendations regarding setbacks from pipelines (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Before issuance of grading permits or improvement plans, project proponents shall demonstrate that final site design adheres to pipeline setback recommendations from API and the Transportation Research Board when permitting projects. API recommends setbacks of 50 feet from petroleum and hazardous liquid lines for new homes, businesses, and places of public assembly. It also recommends 25 feet for garden sheds, septic tanks, and water wells, as well as 10 feet for mailboxes and yard lights (API 2011).</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Environmental Health Services and ESD	Prior to approval of improvement plans and/or grading permits	Satisfied with issuance of grading permits or approval of improvement plans

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-1c: Prepare and implement a construction hazardous materials management plan (Net SAP Area and Net PRSP Area) Before issuance of grading permits or improvement plans, a construction hazardous materials management plan shall be prepared by the project proponent or the project proponent’s construction-manager/contractor for all future development projects and shall be incorporated into the construction and contract specifications for each project. The plan shall be reviewed and approved by PCDEHS before any project construction. The management plan shall include measures to reduce potential hazards to workers, the public, and the environment associated with use of hazardous materials and exposure to potentially contaminated soil during project construction. The management plan shall include provisions for agency notification, managing impacted materials, sampling and analytical requirements and disposal procedures. Specifically, the construction hazardous materials management plan shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ describe the necessary actions to be taken if evidence of contaminated soil or groundwater is encountered during construction; ▲ describe the types of evidence that could indicate potential hazardous materials contamination, such as soil discoloration, petroleum or chemical odors, or buried building materials; ▲ include measures to protect worker safety if signs of contamination are encountered; ▲ identify sampling and analysis protocols for various substances that might be encountered; ▲ list required regulatory agency contacts if contamination is found; ▲ include recommendations on soil management in the event that aerially deposited lead is discovered in existing road right-of-way; ▲ identify legal and regulatory processes and thresholds for cleanup of contamination; ▲ include provisions for delineation, removal, and disposal of any contaminants identified as exceeding human health risk levels; and ▲ require that the project contractor follow all procedural direction given by PCDEHS to ensure that suspect soils are isolated, protected from runoff, and disposed of in accordance with Section 31303 of the California Vehicle Code and the requirements of the licensed receiving facility. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Environmental Health Services</p>	<p>With submittal of improvement plans and before issuance of grading permits</p>	<p>Preparation of construction hazardous materials management plan – satisfied with approval of improvement plans and grading permit</p> <p>Implementation of construction hazardous materials management plan – continuously during project construction</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-1d: Complete a Phase I ESA (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville, which has jurisdiction over the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas, to verify one or more Phase I ESAs are completed for the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas). The Phase I ESA should be performed in general</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Environmental Health Services to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>Prior to initiating ground-disturbing construction at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility or off-site transportation and utility improvement areas</p>	<p>Conduct follow-up sampling if existing hazardous materials contamination is identified in the Phase I ESA; implement recommendations continuously</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM E 1527-13, “Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments,” and EPA’s “Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquires,” 40 CFR Part 312. If existing hazardous materials contamination is identified in the Phase I ESA, and the Phase I ESA recommends further review, the project proponent should retain a Registered Environmental Assessor or other qualified professional to conduct follow-up sampling to characterize the contamination and to identify any require remediation that should be conducted. These recommendations should be implemented, and the site should be deemed remediated by the appropriate agency (DTSC, PCDEHS) or the City of Roseville should issue a No Further Action letter before earth disturbance in the vicinity of the contamination.</p>				<p>during project construction at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-1e: Prepare and implement a construction hazardous materials management plan (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville, which has jurisdiction over the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas, to verify, before issuance of grading permits or improvement plans, that a construction hazardous materials management plan is prepared by the project proponent or the project proponent’s construction manager/contractor for all future development projects and is incorporated into the construction and contract specifications for each project. The plan should be reviewed and approved by the appropriate City department before any project construction. The management plan should include measures to reduce potential hazards to workers, the public, and the environment associated with use of hazardous materials and exposure to potentially contaminated soil during project construction. The management plan should include provisions for agency notification, describe the proper procedure for managing affected materials, identify sampling and analytical requirements, and describe disposal procedures. Specifically, the construction hazardous materials management plan should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ describe the necessary actions to be taken if evidence of contaminated soil or groundwater is encountered during construction; ▲ describe the types of evidence that could indicate potential hazardous materials contamination, such as soil discoloration, petroleum or chemical odors, or buried building materials; ▲ include measures to protect worker safety if signs of contamination are encountered; ▲ identify sampling and analysis protocols for various substances that might be encountered; ▲ list required regulatory agency contacts if contamination is found; ▲ include recommendations on soil management in the event that aerially deposited lead is discovered in existing road right-of-way; 	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Environmental Health Services to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>Prior to initiating ground-disturbing construction at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas</p>	<p>Implement construction hazardous materials management plan continuously during project construction at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ identify legal and regulatory processes and thresholds for cleanup of contamination; ▲ include provisions for the delineation, removal, and disposal of any contaminants identified as exceeding human health risk levels; and ▲ require that the project contractor follow all procedural direction given by PCDEHS to ensure that suspect soils are isolated, protected from runoff, and disposed of in accordance with Section 31303 of the California Vehicle Code and the requirements of the licensed receiving facility. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-2: Implement measures specified in CCR Title 27 to minimize intrusion of landfill gas into structures (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>For any structure sited within 1,000 feet of the WRSL property boundary, the following measures specified in CCR Title 27 Section 21.190(g) shall be included in the construction drawings (as applicable) for review and approval by the County Health and Human Services Department:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ a geomembrane or equivalent system with low permeability to landfill gas shall be installed between the concrete floor slab of the building and subgrade; ▲ a permeable layer of open graded material of clean aggregate with a minimum thickness of 12 inches shall be installed between the geomembrane and the subgrade or slab; ▲ a geotextile filter shall be used to prevent the introduction of fines into the permeable layer; ▲ perforated venting pipes shall be installed within the permeable layer, and shall be designed to operate without clogging; ▲ the venting pipe shall be constructed with the ability to be connected to an induced draft exhaust system; and ▲ automatic methane gas sensors shall be installed within the permeable gas layer, and inside the building to trigger an audible alarm when methane gas concentrations are detected. <p>In addition, the developer or building operator shall agree to hire a qualified specialist to conduct periodic methane gas monitoring (pursuant to CCR Section 20920 et. seq.) inside all buildings and underground utilities and submit results to the County Health and Human Services Department.</p> <p>The County Health and Human Services Department may require additional measures specified in Title 27 Section 21.190(g), depending on the specific circumstances.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Environmental Health Services</p>	<p>With submittal of improvement plans and/or building permit</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval improvement plans and/or building permits.</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-3a: Prepare and enforce a Construction Traffic Management Plan (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Before construction of any project within the SAP area, the project proponent shall submit to the County for review and approval a Construction Traffic Management Plan to minimize traffic impacts on all roadways at and near the work site affected by construction activities. The plan shall include construction and public (if applicable) access points, procedures for notification of road closures, construction materials delivery plan, a description of emergency personnel access routes during road closures. This plan shall reduce potential traffic safety hazards and ensure adequate access for emergency responders.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works and the Engineering and Surveying Division</p>	<p>With submittal of improvement plans and/or building permit</p>	<p>Preparation of Construction Traffic Management Plan – satisfied with approval of improvement plans and/or building permit Monitoring/enforcing of Traffic Management Plan - continuously during project construction within the SAP area, including the PRSP area; ongoing monitoring by ESD construction inspection during individual project construction</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-3b: Prepare and enforce a Construction Traffic Management Plan (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville, which has jurisdiction over the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas, to verify, before construction of any project in the other supporting infrastructure areas (Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas), that the project proponent submits to the City for review and approval a Construction Traffic Management Plan to minimize traffic impacts on all roadways at and near the work site affected by construction activities. The plan should include construction and public (if applicable) access points, procedures for notification of road closures, construction materials delivery plan, a description of emergency personnel access routes during road closures. This plan should reduce potential traffic safety hazards and ensure adequate access for emergency responders.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Department of Public Works and the Engineering and Surveying Division to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>Before construction of any project at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas</p>	<p>Continuously during project construction at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-6a: Vector control during construction and operation (Net SAP and PRSP Areas) During construction, all grading shall be performed by contractors in a manner to prevent the occurrence of standing water or other areas suitable for breeding of mosquitoes and other vectors. The Placer Mosquito and Vector Control District shall be granted access to perform vector control both during construction and operation of the SAP and PRSP. This includes ongoing access to all common areas including drainages, open space corridors, and park areas. As part of the access agreement with Placer Mosquito and Vector Control District, the County shall require that the district use appropriate vector control methods in biologically sensitive areas to minimize any potential adverse effects to sensitive wildlife and plant species or their habitat.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer Mosquito and Vector Control District</p>	<p>During construction within the net SAP and PRSP areas</p>	<p>Continuously during construction and operation</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.8-6b: Vector control during construction and operation (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville, which has jurisdiction over the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas, to verify during construction that all grading is performed by contractors in a manner to prevent the occurrence of standing water or other areas suitable for breeding of mosquitoes and other vectors. The Placer Mosquito and Vector Control District should be granted access to perform vector control both during construction and operation of the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility. As part of the access agreement with Placer Mosquito and Vector Control District, the City should require that the district use appropriate vector control methods in biologically sensitive areas to minimize any potential adverse effects on sensitive wildlife and plant species or their habitat.</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer Mosquito and Vector Control District to coordinate with City)	During construction at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas	Continuously during construction and operation
4.9 Hydrology and Water Quality				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-1a: Submit final drainage report (Net SAP Area and PRSP Areas)</p> <p>As part of the improvement plan submittal process, the preliminary drainage report provided during environmental review shall be submitted in final format. The final drainage report may require more detail than that provided in the preliminary report and will be reviewed in concert with the improvement plans to confirm conformity between the two. The report shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer and shall, at a minimum, include text addressing existing conditions, the effects of the proposed improvements, all appropriate calculations, changes in flows and patterns, and proposed on- and off-site improvements and drainage easements to accommodate flows from this project, as well as watershed maps. The report shall identify permanent water quality protection features and methods to be used during construction as well as long-term postconstruction water quality measures. The final drainage report shall be prepared in conformance with the requirements of Section 5 of the Land Development Manual and the Placer County Storm Water Management Manual that are in effect when the report is submitted.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division	With submittal of improvement plans	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-1b: Design, construct, and maintain regional stormwater retention and detention facilities or pay retention mitigation fees (Net SAP Area and PRSP Areas)</p> <p>The improvement plan submittal and final drainage report shall demonstrate, through the preparation of technical engineering studies, that the increased peak flow and volume of stormwater runoff from the proposed development can be accommodated on-site or in the approved City of Roseville Regional Stormwater Retention facility and/or other off-site facility. The study shall:</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division	With submittal of Improvement Plans	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and during construction inspection

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>1. Be submitted to the City of Roseville Public Works Department for review and concurrence if the net SAP or PRSP is proposing to utilize the City of Roseville Regional Stormwater Retention facility for stormwater retention.</p> <p>2. Demonstrate, through the preparation of technical engineering studies, that stormwater run-off peak flows obtain an objective post-project mitigated peak flow that is equal to the estimated pre-project peak flow, less 10 percent of the difference, through the installation of detention facilities; and,</p> <p>3. Demonstrate, through the preparation of technical engineering studies, that stormwater volumetric increases are mitigated to retain the increase for the 100-year, 8-day design storm, depth of 10.75 inches at elevation of 200- feet, unless another methodology has been agreed upon by Placer County. The project proponent shall either provide permanent on-site retention or participate in a regional stormwater retention program, if established by the County, by paying retention mitigation fees including maintenance and operation costs, as deemed appropriate, to mitigate the project’s increases to stormwater volume. If interim retention facilities are constructed within the PRSP and net SAP areas on parcels zoned for development, the development project would also be subject to payment of the retention fee, in order to fund construction of the ultimate regional retention facility.</p> <p>Retention and detention facilities shall be designed in accordance with the requirements of the Placer County Storm Water Management Manual standards that are in effect at the time of submittal, and to the satisfaction of the Engineering and Surveying Division, and shall be shown in the improvement plans. No retention/detention facility construction shall be permitted within any identified wetlands area, floodplain, or right-of-way, except as authorized by project approvals.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-3a: Place staging areas away from dwellings and resources (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>The improvement plans shall identify the stockpiling and/or vehicle staging areas with locations as far as practical from existing dwellings and protected resources in the net SAP and PRSP areas. The locations of stockpiling and/or staging areas shall be reviewed and approved by the County prior to initiating construction.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division	With submittal of Improvement Plans	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and during construction inspection
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-3b: Place staging areas away from dwellings and resources (Other Supporting Infrastructure)</p> <p>The County shall work with the project proponent to coordinate with the City of Roseville to make sure that stockpiling and/or vehicle staging, as identified on improvement plans, is located as far as practical from existing dwellings and protected areas, and that the locations are consistent with City of Roseville standards.</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division to coordinate with City)	Prior to initiating ground-disturbing construction at the Pleasant Grove Retention Facility and off-site transportation and utility improvement areas	Satisfied with verification that stockpiling and staging areas are appropriately sited

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-4a: Provide evidence of Waste Discharge Identification number (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Before construction begins, the project proponent shall provide evidence to the Placer County ESD of a Waste Discharge Identification number generated from SWRCB's Stormwater Multiple Application and Report Tracking System. This document will serve as the RWQCB approval or permit under the NPDES construction stormwater quality permit.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division</p>	<p>Before construction begins</p>	<p>Satisfied before construction begins</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-4b: Design project to meet source control requirements of MS4 Permit (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>The project is located in the permit area covered by Placer County's Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit (SWRCB NPDES), pursuant to the NPDES Phase II program. Project-related stormwater discharges are subject to all applicable requirements of the MS4 Permit. The project proponent shall implement permanent and operational source control measures as applicable. Source control measures shall be designed for pollutant-generating activities or sources consistent with recommendations from the California Stormwater Quality Association's <i>Stormwater Best Management Practice Handbook: New Development and Redevelopment</i>, or an equivalent manual, and shall be shown on the improvement plans.</p> <p>The project is also shall implement LID standards designed to reduce runoff, treat stormwater, and provide baseline hydromodification management as outlined in the <i>West Placer Storm Water Quality Design Manual</i>.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division</p>	<p>With submittal of Improvement Plans</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-4c: Design project to meet impervious surface and flow requirements of MS4 Permit (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>In accordance with the NPDES Phase II MS4 Permit, the project is a Regulated Project that creates and/or replaces 5,000 square feet or more of impervious surface. The project proponent shall submit a final SWQP either within the final drainage report or as a separate document that identifies how this project would meet the Phase II MS4 permit obligations. Site design measures, source control measures, and LID standards, as necessary, shall be incorporated into the design and shown in the improvement plans.</p> <p>In addition, in accordance with the Phase II MS4 permit, projects creating and/or replacing 1 acre or more of impervious surface (excepting projects that do not increase the extent of impervious surface area over the preproject condition) are also required to demonstrate hydromodification management of stormwater such that the amount of postproject runoff is kept to equal to or below preproject flow rates for the 2-year, 24-hour storm event, generally by way of infiltration, rooftop and impervious area disconnection, bioretention, and other LID measures that result in postproject flows that mimic preproject conditions.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division</p>	<p>With submittal of Improvement Plans</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-4d: Design off-site transportation and utility improvements to meet source control and impervious surface requirements (Off-site Transportation and Utility Improvements)</p> <p>The County shall work with the project proponent to coordinate with the City of Roseville to verify that design of off-site transportation and utility improvements meet NPDES Phase II MS4 permit requirements, as well as any additional City of Roseville standards for protecting water quality during project operation.</p>	Off-site Transportation and Utility Improvements	City of Roseville (Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division to coordinate with City)	Prior to finalizing design	Satisfied with approval of final design
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-5a: Delineate 100-year floodplain on subdivision maps (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>The improvement plans and informational sheet(s) filed with the appropriate small lot final subdivision map(s) shall show the limits of the future, unmitigated, fully developed 100-year floodplain (after grading) for University Creek and its tributaries and designate the limits as a building setback line.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division	With submittal of Improvement Plans and Final Map informational sheet(s)	Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and Final Map informational sheet(s)
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-5b: Demonstrate that all building pad elevations are a minimum of 2 feet above the 100-year floodplain line (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>The improvement plans and informational sheet(s) filed with the appropriate small lot final subdivision map(s) shall show finished building pad elevations to be a minimum of 2 feet above the 100-year floodplain line (or finished floor 3 feet above the 100-year floodplain line). The final pad certification letter shall be certified by a California registered civil engineer or licensed land surveyor and submitted to the Placer County ESD. This certification shall be done before construction of the foundation or at the completion of final grading, whichever comes first. No construction is allowed until the certification has been received by ESD and approved by the floodplain manager. Benchmark elevation and location shall be shown on the improvement plans and informational sheet(s) to the satisfaction of Development Review Committee.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division and the Design Review Committee	With submittal of Improvement Plans and Final Map informational sheet(s)	Certification shall be done before construction of the foundation or at the completion of final grading, whichever comes first
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.9-5c: Prohibit grading within the 100-year floodplain (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>No grading activities of any kind may take place within the 100-year floodplain of the stream/drainageway unless approved and analyzed as part of this project. All work shall conform to provisions of the County Flood Damage Prevention Regulations (Section 15.52, Placer County Code). The location of the 100-year floodplain shall be shown on the Improvement Plans. Prior to Improvement Plan approval and if required by the County Floodplain Administrator, the project proponent shall obtain from FEMA, a Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR) or Conditional Letter of Map Revision based on Fill (CLOMR-F) for fill within a Special Flood Hazard Area. A copy of the letter shall be provided to the Engineering and Surveying Division prior to approval of Improvement Plans. A Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), or a Letter of Map Revision</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Engineering and Surveying Division	With submittal of Improvement Plans	Prior to Improvement Plan approval and during construction inspection

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>based on Fill (LOMR-F) from FEMA shall be provided to the Engineering and Surveying Division prior to acceptance of project improvements as complete, or as otherwise approved for the Sac State-Placer Center site.</p>				
<p>4.10 Land Use</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.10-2: Require odor control measures for specific plans (PRSP Area and Net SAP Area)</p> <p>Placer County shall require that project proponents include, as part of proposed specific plans, master plans, or development agreements, measures that would improve land use compatibility with the WRSL by reducing land use conflicts related to undesirable odor from the WRSL. Measures that can be included in specific plans, master plans, or development agreements include, but are not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Building Design <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Locate air intake on the side of the building that is most-distant from the WRSL. ➤ Require a level of air filtration that exceeds Title 24 standards. ➤ Overall site orientation ▲ Landscape Design <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Require a landscape buffer zone on all land uses adjacent to the WRSL. ➤ Install sound walls or other solid vertical structures between residences and WRSL. ▲ Deed Notification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Require written disclosures to initial and subsequent prospective buyers, lessees, and renters of those properties, particularly residential buyers, with information that their respective properties would potentially be subject to objectionable odors from a known nearby odor source. While this specific action would not eliminate complaints, it may reduce the frequency of complaints by those living or working closest to the landfill. <p>The County shall verify that these measures have been incorporated into proposed specific plans, master plans, or development agreements in order to reduce to the degree feasible the potential for land use incompatibility.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and Environmental Engineering Division</p>	<p>During preparation of proposed specific plans, master plans, or development agreements</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of Improvement Plans and/or recordation of Final Map, as applicable</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
4.11 Noise				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.11-1: Implement construction-noise reduction measures for daytime or nighttime construction (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville to ensure that construction activities that will take place in the vicinity of sensitive land uses (i.e., places where people sleep, reside, or work), comply with the appropriate noise reduction measures, such that noise levels do not exceed City of Roseville noise standards. Appropriate noise-reducing measures shall be determined at the time of grading/improvement plan submittal and may include, but not be limited to, specific measures included in SAP Program N-4. Implementation of noise-reducing measures would be the responsibility of the project construction manager or contractor.</p>	Other Supporting Infrastructure	City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division and Placer County construction inspectors to coordinate with City)	Determine appropriate noise-reducing measures at the time of grading/improvement plan submittal	Implement construction-noise reduction measures continuously during construction
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.11-4a: Conduct site-specific noise study (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) To prevent future sensitive land uses from disturbance during the sensitive times of the day, project proponents of a residential land use or a structure containing residential units shall, before approval of small-lot tentative maps, provide to the County a site-specific noise study prepared by a qualified acoustical engineer addressing interior and exterior noise levels at sensitive land uses. The noise study shall consider the types of land uses being proposed in the same building or in the vicinity as the residential units in a mixed-use structure and existing noise sources adjacent to the proposed structure. The noise study shall confirm, using approved calculation methodologies, that building design and materials are sufficient to maintain a maximum 45 dB L_{dn}/CNEL interior noise level, with windows closed, in residential units given the reasonably foreseeable noise generation sources within the building, and existing noise sources adjacent to the building. If the study shows such standards would not be met with the design as proposed, the project proponent shall implement recommendations of the study that are shown to achieve the standards.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division and Placer County construction inspectors	Before approval of small-lot tentative maps	Satisfied with approval of small lot tentative maps
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.11-4b: Reduce exposure to new sensitive land uses from the existing Roseville Power Plant 2 (PRSP Area)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Before approval of small-lot tentative maps, the project proponent shall demonstrate that the building occupants of new residential or other sensitive land use within the PRSP area are not exposed to noise levels from the RPP2 that exceed Placer County land use compatibility standards (e.g., 60 dBA L_{dn}/CNEL for residential uses), daytime and nighttime noise limits for sensitive receptors (i.e., 45 dBA L_{eq}/65 dBA L_{max} [night], 55 dBA L_{eq}/70 dBA L_{max} [day]). ▲ If achievement of the Placer County noise standards cannot be met by providing adequate setback of at least 590 feet from the RPP2 (i.e., distance at which nighttime L_{eq} standard is met), then the County shall require the developer to construct, at developer's cost, a sound wall between the existing RPP2 and any new sensitive receptors. The wall design shall be coordinated with the City of Roseville. The wall or a combination of wall and setbacks, shall result in achievement of Placer County noise standards. 	PRSP Area	Placer County Planning Services Division	Before approval of small-lot tentative maps	Satisfied before approval of small lot tentative maps

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.11-5a: Reduce noise levels associated with new, expanded, or extended roads (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Before finalizing roadway design for roadway expansion or new roadway construction, a design-level acoustical study shall be prepared to identify specific roadway design considerations, which shall be incorporated into final road design and approved by Placer County for roadways that result in a substantial increase in noise identified by Tables 4.11-12, 4.11-13, and 4.11-14. Roadway segments outside of Placer County are excluded (Fiddymment Road extension, Foothills Boulevard extension, and Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard extension). The following design features shall be considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Roadway design shall provide sufficient setback between occupied structures that are defined as sensitive land uses by Placer County (or planned future sensitive land uses) and the roadway to minimize noise exposure to the extent feasible. ▲ In locations where setback is not feasible to reduce noise levels at existing or planned future sensitive receptors, roadway design shall incorporate quiet pavement types such as rubberized asphalt concrete (RAC) achieving at least a 4-dB decrease in traffic noise where feasible. ▲ Where existing sensitive receptors are located such that neither setback, nor quiet pavement, can reduce traffic noise from new or expanded roads associated with the project, the County shall coordinate with property owners of the existing residences regarding installation of sound walls along property lines to minimize traffic noise to meet exterior noise standards (city or County, as applicable) and, if necessary to meet the 45-dBA interior noise standards, upgrading windows that face the new or extended roadway. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division and ESD</p>	<p>Before finalizing roadway design for roadway expansion or new roadway construction</p>	<p>Satisfied before finalizing roadway design for roadway expansion or new roadway construction</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.11-5b: Reduce noise levels associated with new, expanded, or extended roads (Other Supporting Infrastructure) The County shall coordinate with the City of Roseville to ensure that, for new roadways or roadways expansions that would result in substantial increases in noise (i.e., 5 dB or more), a design-level acoustical study is conducted and available design measures are incorporated to reduce noise impacts at sensitive receptors. Roadways that would result in substantial increases in noise include Fiddymment Road, Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard, and Foothills Boulevard extensions. Specific design considerations may include those specified in Mitigation Measure 4.11-5b.</p>	<p>Other Supporting Infrastructure</p>	<p>City of Roseville (Placer County Planning Services Division to coordinate with City)</p>	<p>Before finalizing roadway design for roadway expansion or new roadway construction</p>	<p>Satisfied before finalizing roadway design for roadway expansion or new roadway construction</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.11-5c: Reduce transportation noise levels within the PRSP area (PRSP Area) Before approval of small-lot tentative maps, the project proponent shall conduct a design-level acoustic study for noise-sensitive land uses within the noise contours identified below in Table 4.11-15 and Exhibit 4.11-3, and 3,625 feet from the UPRR tracks. The noise study shall provide recommendations to ensure that specific site design and building placement do not exceed the exterior noise standards (identified in Table 4.11-15 for each applicable land use) and the 45 dBA L_{dn}/CNEL interior noise standard. Recommendations shall include, but shall not be limited to, the following measures:</p>	<p>PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Before approval of small-lot tentative maps</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of small-lot tentative maps</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures					Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring																																																																																																																									
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Noise-sensitive outdoor use areas (e.g., backyards, common areas, outdoor dining, playgrounds) shall be located as far away from adjacent roadways and/or railroad tracks as possible and buildings shall be oriented to shield noise-sensitive spaces whenever possible. ▲ If noise standards cannot feasibly be met through site design measures identified above, noise barriers shall be placed between the transportation noise source and the receptor. Noise barriers shall be constructed of concrete cinderblock (or other solid material of similar density), shall be designed consistent with PRSP design guidelines, and shall completely block line-of-sight between the noise source and receptor such that traffic noise levels are reduced by up to 10 dB. 																																																																																																																																	
<p>Table 4.11-15 Distance from Roadway Centerline to Relevant Noise Contours</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">(ID) Road Name</th> <th rowspan="2">From</th> <th rowspan="2">To</th> <th rowspan="2">ADT</th> <th rowspan="2">L_{dn}/CNEL @ 100 Feet</th> <th colspan="4">Distance to Contour (L_{dn}/CNEL)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>75 dBA (Park, Playground, Golf Course)</th> <th>70 dBA (Restaurant w/ Outdoor Seating)</th> <th>65 dBA (Hotel, Motel, Child/Adult Day Care, Church)</th> <th>60 dBA (Residential)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>(184) Foothills Blvd</td> <td>Athens Ave</td> <td>Sunset Blvd</td> <td>18,300</td> <td>67.4</td> <td>19</td> <td>42</td> <td>90</td> <td>194</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(300) Foothills Blvd</td> <td>Placer Parkway</td> <td>Campus Park Blvd</td> <td>15,500</td> <td>67.1</td> <td>17</td> <td>37</td> <td>81</td> <td>174</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(303) Foothills Blvd</td> <td>Campus Park Blvd</td> <td>Sunset Blvd</td> <td>22,200</td> <td>67.0</td> <td>22</td> <td>48</td> <td>104</td> <td>223</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(304) Sunset Blvd</td> <td>Foothills Blvd</td> <td>Industrial Ave</td> <td>28,000</td> <td>68.5</td> <td>26</td> <td>56</td> <td>120</td> <td>258</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(305) Campus Park Dr</td> <td>Foothills Blvd</td> <td>East</td> <td>8,800</td> <td>64.6</td> <td>12</td> <td>26</td> <td>55</td> <td>119</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(306) Campus Park Dr</td> <td>Foothills Blvd</td> <td>University Village Dr</td> <td>12,800</td> <td>65.3</td> <td>15</td> <td>33</td> <td>71</td> <td>154</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(307) University Village Dr</td> <td>Campus Park Blvd</td> <td>Sunset Blvd</td> <td>18,500</td> <td>66.9</td> <td>20</td> <td>42</td> <td>91</td> <td>197</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(308) University Village Dr</td> <td>Sunset Blvd</td> <td>Foothills Blvd</td> <td>2,100</td> <td>58.4</td> <td>5</td> <td>10</td> <td>21</td> <td>46</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(309) Sunset Blvd</td> <td>University Village Dr</td> <td>College Park Dr</td> <td>26,200</td> <td>68.5</td> <td>25</td> <td>53</td> <td>115</td> <td>248</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(310) Sunset Blvd</td> <td>Foothills Blvd</td> <td>University Village Dr</td> <td>17,600</td> <td>66.7</td> <td>19</td> <td>41</td> <td>88</td> <td>190</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(311) Foothills Blvd</td> <td>Sunset Blvd</td> <td>University Village Dr</td> <td>9,100</td> <td>63.1</td> <td>12</td> <td>27</td> <td>57</td> <td>123</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(312) Foothills Blvd</td> <td>University Village Dr</td> <td>College Park Dr</td> <td>7,200</td> <td>62.1</td> <td>11</td> <td>23</td> <td>49</td> <td>105</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>									(ID) Road Name	From	To	ADT	L _{dn} /CNEL @ 100 Feet	Distance to Contour (L _{dn} /CNEL)				75 dBA (Park, Playground, Golf Course)	70 dBA (Restaurant w/ Outdoor Seating)	65 dBA (Hotel, Motel, Child/Adult Day Care, Church)	60 dBA (Residential)	(184) Foothills Blvd	Athens Ave	Sunset Blvd	18,300	67.4	19	42	90	194	(300) Foothills Blvd	Placer Parkway	Campus Park Blvd	15,500	67.1	17	37	81	174	(303) Foothills Blvd	Campus Park Blvd	Sunset Blvd	22,200	67.0	22	48	104	223	(304) Sunset Blvd	Foothills Blvd	Industrial Ave	28,000	68.5	26	56	120	258	(305) Campus Park Dr	Foothills Blvd	East	8,800	64.6	12	26	55	119	(306) Campus Park Dr	Foothills Blvd	University Village Dr	12,800	65.3	15	33	71	154	(307) University Village Dr	Campus Park Blvd	Sunset Blvd	18,500	66.9	20	42	91	197	(308) University Village Dr	Sunset Blvd	Foothills Blvd	2,100	58.4	5	10	21	46	(309) Sunset Blvd	University Village Dr	College Park Dr	26,200	68.5	25	53	115	248	(310) Sunset Blvd	Foothills Blvd	University Village Dr	17,600	66.7	19	41	88	190	(311) Foothills Blvd	Sunset Blvd	University Village Dr	9,100	63.1	12	27	57	123	(312) Foothills Blvd	University Village Dr	College Park Dr	7,200	62.1	11	23	49	105
(ID) Road Name	From	To	ADT	L _{dn} /CNEL @ 100 Feet	Distance to Contour (L _{dn} /CNEL)																																																																																																																												
					75 dBA (Park, Playground, Golf Course)	70 dBA (Restaurant w/ Outdoor Seating)	65 dBA (Hotel, Motel, Child/Adult Day Care, Church)	60 dBA (Residential)																																																																																																																									
(184) Foothills Blvd	Athens Ave	Sunset Blvd	18,300	67.4	19	42	90	194																																																																																																																									
(300) Foothills Blvd	Placer Parkway	Campus Park Blvd	15,500	67.1	17	37	81	174																																																																																																																									
(303) Foothills Blvd	Campus Park Blvd	Sunset Blvd	22,200	67.0	22	48	104	223																																																																																																																									
(304) Sunset Blvd	Foothills Blvd	Industrial Ave	28,000	68.5	26	56	120	258																																																																																																																									
(305) Campus Park Dr	Foothills Blvd	East	8,800	64.6	12	26	55	119																																																																																																																									
(306) Campus Park Dr	Foothills Blvd	University Village Dr	12,800	65.3	15	33	71	154																																																																																																																									
(307) University Village Dr	Campus Park Blvd	Sunset Blvd	18,500	66.9	20	42	91	197																																																																																																																									
(308) University Village Dr	Sunset Blvd	Foothills Blvd	2,100	58.4	5	10	21	46																																																																																																																									
(309) Sunset Blvd	University Village Dr	College Park Dr	26,200	68.5	25	53	115	248																																																																																																																									
(310) Sunset Blvd	Foothills Blvd	University Village Dr	17,600	66.7	19	41	88	190																																																																																																																									
(311) Foothills Blvd	Sunset Blvd	University Village Dr	9,100	63.1	12	27	57	123																																																																																																																									
(312) Foothills Blvd	University Village Dr	College Park Dr	7,200	62.1	11	23	49	105																																																																																																																									

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures									Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
(314) College Park Dr	Foothills Blvd	Westbrook Blvd	15,300	66.1	17	37	80	173				
(315) Woodcreek Oaks Blvd	College Park Dr	Northpark Dr	36,400	69.9	31	67	143	309				
(316) College Park Dr	Sunset Blvd	Woodcreek Oaks Blvd	24,200	68.1	24	51	109	235				
(317) Campus Park Dr	Fiddymment Rd	Foothills Blvd	21,100	67.5	21	46	100	215				
(318) Sunset Blvd	Fiddymment Rd	College Park Dr	17,300	66.7	19	41	87	188				
(319) Fiddymment Rd	Placer Pkwy	Campus Park Blvd	20,900	66.7	21	46	99	214				
(320) Fiddymment Rd	Campus Park Blvd	Sunset Blvd	44,900	70.0	36	77	166	357				
(321) Fiddymment Rd	Sunset Blvd	Settlers Ridge Dr	53,000	70.8	40	86	185	398				
(324) Campus Park Dr	Fiddymment Rd	Maple Park Dr	21,000	67.5	21	46	99	214				
(325) Sunset Blvd	Fiddymment Rd	Maple Park Dr	8,100	64.2	11	24	52	113				
(326) Maple Park Dr	Campus Park Blvd	Sunset Blvd	7,900	64.1	11	24	52	111				
(327) Campus Park Dr	Maple Creek Cir	C St	3,800	61.0	7	15	32	68				
(328) Sunset Blvd	Maple Creek Cir	C St	4,500	61.7	8	16	35	76				
(329) C St	Campus Park Blvd	Sunset Blvd	1,100	55.6	3	6	14	30				
(330) Campus Park Dr	C St	B St	3,800	61.0	7	15	32	68				
(331) Sunset Blvd	C St	B St	2,800	59.6	6	12	26	56				
(332) Campus Park Dr	B St	A St	1,000	55.2	3	6	13	28				
(333) Sunset	B St	A St	2,800	59.6	6	12	26	56				
Notes: ADT= Average Daily Trips; L _{dn} = day-night noise level; CNEL= community equivalent noise level; dBA= A-weighted decibel												
Source: Modeled by Ascent Environmental 2017												

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
4.13 Public Services				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.13-1a: Create or annex into a CFD for fire protection and emergency response (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first, the developer shall create a Community Facilities District (CFD), County Service Area (CSA) Zone of Benefit, annex to an existing CSA Zone of Benefit, or combination thereof, for the purposes of funding supplemental revenue for operations, training, maintenance, and personnel costs. The chosen mechanism shall include a landowner-approved special tax of an adequate amount, or other financing mechanism acceptable to the County, to ensure that a funding mechanism for fire protection services, infrastructure, and equipment is in place to provide adequate fire safety services to the net SAP area and PRSP area during all stages of development. The staffing ratios in Table 4.13-5 [in the Draft EIR] shall be maintained for the net SAP and PRSP areas concurrent with demand.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Executive Office in coordination with Placer County Planning Services Division	Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first	Satisfied with recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.13-1b: Fire stations (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) A minimum of two fire stations are needed to serve the net SAP and PRSP areas. Both fire stations will be located within the SAP/PRSP area and shall be fully funded and equipped. The first fire station already exists in the net SAP area and is known as Station #77. PRSP Parcel PR-71 has been identified for the second station or any parcel within the PRSP area with a General Commercial, Commercial Mixed Use, or Campus Park land use designation. The fire stations will be constructed as needed to serve development and maintain staffing ratios. Placer County Fire anticipates that the second fire station will be needed at approximately 25 percent buildout of the PRSP. The second fire station’s location, design, and construction will be identified in coordination with Placer County Fire, and the fire station will be constructed as its necessity is determined by the County based upon development and staffing ratios. The timing and triggers for construction of the fire station are outlined in the PRSP Development Agreement. Funding shall be provided pursuant to Mitigation Measure 4.13-1a.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Executive Office in coordination with Placer County Planning Services Division and Placer County Fire Department	Funding provided prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first; construction to occur as necessary to maintain staffing ratios	Satisfied with recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first; County will review staffing ratios with each application and will initiate construction of fire stations when staffing ratios approach the standard
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.13-2: Create or annex into a CFD for law enforcement services (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first, the developer shall create a CFD, CSA Zone of Benefit, annex to an existing CSA Zone of Benefit, or combination thereof, for the purposes of funding supplemental revenue for operations, training, maintenance, and personnel costs. The chosen mechanism shall include a landowner-approved special tax of an adequate amount, or other financing mechanism acceptable to the County, to ensure that a funding mechanism for law enforcement services, infrastructure, and equipment is in place to provide adequate law enforcement services to the net SAP area and PRSP area during all stages of development.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Executive Office in coordination with Placer County Planning Services Division	Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first	Satisfied with recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.13-4: Create or annex into a CFD for library services (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first, the developer shall create a CFD, CSA Zone of Benefit, annex to an existing CSA Zone of Benefit, or combination thereof, for the purposes of funding supplemental revenue for library facilities, operations, and maintenance. The chosen mechanism shall include a landowner-approved special tax of an adequate amount, or other financing mechanism acceptable to the County, to ensure that a funding mechanism for library services is in place to provide adequate library services to the net SAP area and PRSP area during all stages of development. The County will provide interim library services through one or more means, including usage of the Bookmobile to provide temporary library services, establishment of a satellite library office within SAP or immediately adjacent to, or establishment of a satellite office at 1000 Sunset Boulevard, Rocklin, CA 95677 or other equivalent means beginning at 25 percent buildout of the PRSP or as otherwise determined by the County. These interim library services may become permanent means to provide library services to the plan area if a regional library is not constructed to serve the plan area or a joint partnership with the University has not been agreed to, to provide library services to PRSP before buildout of 75 percent of the DUE's in the plan area or as determined by the County.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Executive Office in coordination with Placer County Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first</p>	<p>Satisfied with recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.13-8: Create or annex into a CFD for road maintenance (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first, the developer shall create a CFD, CSA Zone of Benefit, annex to an existing CSA Zone of Benefit, or combination thereof, for the purposes of funding road maintenance. The chosen mechanism shall include a landowner-approved special tax of an adequate amount, or other financing mechanism acceptable to the County, to ensure that a funding mechanism for road maintenance is in place to provide adequate maintenance of roads within the net SAP area and PRSP area during all stages of development.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works in coordination with Planning Services Division</p>	<p>Prior to either the recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first</p>	<p>Satisfied with recordation of Final Subdivision Maps or the approval of Improvement Plans, for each property, whichever occurs first</p>
<p>4.14 Transportation and Circulation</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-1a: Widen Sunset Boulevard to four lanes from PRSP boundary to Placer Corporate Drive/South Loop Road (PRSP Area) The Placer County Countywide CIP (Placer County 2018c) includes funding for the widening of Sunset Boulevard to four lanes from Cincinnati Avenue to SR 65. Prior to issuance of building permits, project proponents of development projects within the PRSP area, shall pay the applicable countywide traffic impact fees that are in effect in this area (Sunset District) pursuant to the applicable ordinances and resolutions, which will provide funding towards this improvement. The constructing party shall be eligible for fee credits for the applicable countywide traffic impact fees, as determined by DPWF.</p>	<p>PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits for development projects within the PRSP area</p>	<p>Satisfied with issuance of building permits for development projects within the PRSP area</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-1b: Construct extension of Foothills Boulevard as a four-lane arterial between PRSP area and its current northern terminus in City of Roseville (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>This improvement is not fully funded through a known fee program. Placer County proposes to include this improvement in an update to the Placer County Countywide CIP and countywide traffic impact fee, which will be adopted concurrently with the PRSP and SAP. With the inclusion of this improvement into the Countywide CIP, project proponents of future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, shall pay the applicable countywide traffic impact fees prior to the issuance of building permits, which will provide funding for this improvement. The constructing party shall be eligible for fee credits for the applicable countywide traffic impact fees, as determined by DPWF.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits for development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area</p>	<p>Satisfied with issuance of building permits for development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area</p>
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a (PRSP Area) and 4.14-1b (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a and 4.14-1b, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a and 4.14-1b, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a and 4.14-1b, above</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-2a: Contribute fair share of feasible physical improvements (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Project proponents of future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, shall be responsible for the project's fair share of all feasible physical improvements necessary and available to reduce the severity of the project's significant impacts to traffic operations at study intersections in Placer County, as identified in the traffic analysis above, consistent with the policies and exceptions set forth in the Transportation and Circulation Element of the Placer County General Plan. The project proponent's contribution towards such improvements may take any, or some combination, of the following forms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ For intersections within or adjacent to the boundaries of the SAP area, including the PRSP area, construction of intersection improvements which may be eligible for fee credits and/or reimbursement, coordinated by the County, from other fee-paying development projects with respect to roadways and intersections that would also serve fee-paying development projects other than the SAP and PRSP. ▲ Construction of roadway and intersection improvements outside the boundaries of the SAP area but within unincorporated Placer County, subject in some instances to future reimbursement, coordinated by the County, from other fee-paying development projects where the intersection improvements at issue would also serve fee-paying development projects other than the SAP and PRSP. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Fee payment prior to issuance of building permits for development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, or submittal of improvement plans if constructing improvements</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees or completion of improvements, as applicable</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>▲ Payment of applicable countywide traffic impact fees to Placer County in amounts that constitute the SAP's and PRSP's fair share contributions to the construction of intersection improvements to be built within unincorporated Placer County, consistent with the Placer County Countywide CIP and as determined by DPWF. This includes improvements that would be included in an update to the Placer County Countywide CIP and countywide traffic impact fee that the County will adopt concurrently with the SAP and PRSP.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-2b: Pay applicable City/County Baseline Road fee (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Consistent with Mitigation Measure 4.14-2a, project proponents of future development projects within the SAP area shall pay the applicable City/County Baseline Road fee, which would provide funding for constructing improvements to Baseline Road. This includes widening Baseline Road to 4 lanes (2 eastbound and 2 westbound) through the Locust Road intersection before buildout of the SAP.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-2a, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-2a, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-2a, above
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-2c: Adopt update to Placer County Countywide CIP and countywide traffic impact fee (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Consistent with Mitigation Measure 4.14-2a, the County shall adopt an update to the Placer County Countywide CIP and countywide traffic impact fee concurrently with the SAP and PRSP to include installing traffic signals and capacity-enhancing improvements currently not included in any known fee program at the following intersections: ▲ Industrial Avenue / Placer Corporate Drive (net SAP area), ▲ Industrial Avenue / South Loop Road (net SAP area), ▲ Sunset Boulevard / South Loop Road/Placer Corporate Drive (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Sunset Boulevard West / Fiddymont Road (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Athens Avenue / Fiddymont Road (net SAP and PRSP areas), and ▲ Athens Avenue / Foothills Boulevard North (net SAP and PRSP areas). Prior to issuance of building permits, project proponents of future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, shall pay the applicable countywide traffic impact fees, as determined by DPWF, which will provide funding for improvements at the above intersections.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Department of Public Works	After adoption of the updated CIP and fee payment prior to issuance of building permits for development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area	Satisfied with adoption of the updated CIP and payment of applicable fees
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a (PRSP Area), 4.14-1b (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area), and 4.14-10 (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area).</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a and 4.14-1b, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a and 4.14-1b, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-1a and 4.14-1b, above

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-3: Pay impact fees associated with signalized intersections in City of Roseville to Placer County (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of individual development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, shall pay impact fees to Placer County in amounts that constitute the SAP area’s fair share contribution to the construction of transportation facilities and/or improvements at the following signalized intersections within the City of Roseville:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Baseline Road / Fiddymment Road (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Crocker Ranch Road (net SAP area), ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Fiddymment Road (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / New Meadow Drive (net SAP area), ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Diamond Creek Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Blue Oaks Blvd / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Cirby Way / Sunrise Avenue (net SAP area), ▲ Cirby Way / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Cirby Way / Melody Lane (net SAP area), ▲ Cirby Way / Northridge Drive (net SAP area), ▲ Cirby Way / Riverside Avenue (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Cirby Way / Vernon Street (net SAP area), ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Eureka Road (net SAP area), ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Rocky Ridge Drive (net SAP area), ▲ Douglas Boulevard/ Sunrise Avenue (net SAP area), ▲ Douglas Boulevard / East Roseville Parkway (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Harding Boulevard (PRSP area), ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Sierra College Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Del Webb Boulevard / Village Green Drive / Fiddymment Road (net SAP area), ▲ Hayden Parkway (North) / Fiddymment Road (net SAP area), ▲ Hayden Parkway (South) / Fiddymment Road (net SAP area), ▲ Baseline Road / Main Street / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Atkinson Road / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Junction Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Roseville Parkway / Galleria Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Fairway Drive / Pleasant Grove Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Fiddymont Road (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Market Street / Pleasant Grove Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Roseville Parkway (net SAP area), ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Washington Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Roseville Parkway / Reserve Drive (net SAP area), ▲ Roseville Parkway / Taylor Road (net SAP area), ▲ Eureka Road / Roseville Parkway (net SAP area), ▲ Roseville Parkway / Washington Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ S. Cirby Way / Old Auburn Road (net SAP area), ▲ Eureka Road / Sierra College Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Old Auburn Road / Sierra College Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Fairway Drive / Stanford Ranch Road (net SAP area), ▲ Baseline Road / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Canevari Drive / Arsenault Drive / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard (net SAP area), ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / SR 65 SB Off-Ramp (net SAP area), ▲ I-80 WB Off-Ramp / Riverside Avenue (net SAP area), ▲ SR 65 NB On-Ramp / Stanford Ranch Road (net SAP area), ▲ Eureka Road / Taylor Road/I-80 Eastbound Off-Ramp (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ I-80 EB Off-Ramp / Orlando Avenue / Riverside Avenue (net SAP area), and ▲ Watt Avenue / Baseline Road (net SAP and PRSP areas). <p>Placer County, in working with the City of Roseville to provide funding for improvements not already subject to an existing interagency fee program, shall negotiate in good faith with the City of Roseville to enter into additional fair and reasonable arrangements with the intention of achieving, within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP, commitment for the provision of adequate fair share mitigation from the SAP/PRSP for significant impacts on City of Roseville intersections. In reaching an accommodation with the City of Roseville, the County and City, in order to better ensure an effective sub-regional</p>				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>approach to mitigating transportation-related impacts, may choose to include within the same agreements or JPA (if a JPA is formed) additional public agencies with whom it must work to mitigate transportation-related impacts, such as Sacramento County, Sutter County, and Caltrans. As the County strives to achieve agreement(s) with one or more of these other agencies, the County shall insist that “fair share” fee obligations be reciprocal, in the sense that the other local agencies, in accepting fair share contributions from the SAP/PRSP developers, must agree to require new development occurring in their own jurisdictions to make fair share contributions towards mitigating the significant effects of such development on the County’s transportation network. Any such arrangement(s), with the City of Roseville or with additional agencies, shall account for existing inter-agency fee programs in order to avoid requiring redundant mitigation or fee payments exceeding fair share mitigation levels. Placer County shall hold these fees collected for improvements within the City of Roseville in trust for the expressed purpose of funding improvements to the specified facilities within the City.</p> <p>The County intends that its arrangement(s) with the City of Roseville and any other agencies shall permit the participating agencies’ flexibility in providing cross-jurisdictional credits and reimbursements consistent with the general “fair share” mitigation standard, and require an updated model run incorporating the best available information in order to obtain the most accurate, up-to-date impact assessment feasible and to generate the most accurate, up-to-date estimates of regional fair share contributions. These arrangements, moreover, should also include provisions that allow for periodic updates to the traffic modeling on which fair share payment calculations depend in order to account for (i) newly approved projects cumulatively contributing to transportation-related impacts and that therefore should contribute to the funding of necessary improvements, (ii) additional physical improvements necessitated in whole or in part by newly approved projects, (iii) changing cost calculations for the construction of needed improvements based on changes in the costs of materials, labor, and other inputs. The County will monitor traffic volumes and coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding traffic mitigation fees to fund regional improvements.</p> <p>The implementation of improvements at the impacted intersections listed above vary by location based on the type of improvement, and whether the improvement is included in a known fee program. Therefore, the project’s contribution toward such improvements may take one of the following forms:</p> <p>(a) The widening of Blue Oaks Boulevard to eight lanes from Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard to SR 65, as included in the City of Roseville CIP, would restore operations to an acceptable LOS C or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours at the following intersection. This improvement</p>				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>is considered feasible because it is identified in the City of Roseville CIP, which is funded by the City of Roseville’s Traffic Mitigation Fee (TMF).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas) <p>(b) The capacity-enhancing improvements to the intersections listed below are included in the City of Roseville CIP, which is funded by the City of Roseville’s TMF. These enhancements are considered feasible because they are funded through an adopted fee program. These improvements would restore operations to an acceptable LOS C or better for intersections that operate at LOS C or better under existing conditions. Similarly, these improvements would restore operations to the LOS under existing conditions or better for intersections that currently operate at an unacceptable LOS D, E, or F.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Sierra College Boulevard (net SAP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▣ Widen southbound approach to accommodate a right-turn pocket ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard (PRSP) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▣ Modify the westbound approach to convert the outside left-turn lane to a third westbound through lane, resulting in 2 left-turn lanes, 3 through lanes, and 1 right-turn lane on the westbound approach ▲ Roseville Parkway / Galleria Boulevard (net SAP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▣ Widen the eastbound and westbound approaches to accommodate a fourth through lane ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard (PRSP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▣ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a third through lane ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Washington Boulevard (net SAP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▣ Widen the eastbound and westbound approaches to accommodate a fourth through lane ▣ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane <p>(c) The capacity-enhancing improvements to the intersections listed below are included in the City/County Baseline Road Fee Program. These improvements are considered feasible because they are funded through an adopted fee program. These improvements would restore operations to an acceptable LOS C or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Baseline Road / Fiddymment Road (PRSP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▣ Modify the eastbound approach to accommodate a second left-turn lane ▣ Modify the westbound approach to accommodate a second left-turn lane and third through lane ▣ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phase for the westbound, northbound, and southbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Watt Avenue / Baseline Road (net SAP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▼ Widen the eastbound and westbound approaches to accommodate two through lanes ▼ Widen the westbound approach to accommodate two left-turn lanes (d) The capacity-enhancing improvements to the intersection listed below is included in the SPRTA fee program and the City of Roseville CIP, which is funded by the City of Roseville's TMF. These improvements are considered feasible because they are funded through an adopted fee program. These improvements would restore operations to an acceptable LOS C or better during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Eureka Road / Sierra College Boulevard (net SAP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▼ Widen the northbound and southbound approaches to accommodate a third through lane ▲ Old Auburn Road / Sierra College Boulevard (net SAP area) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▼ Widen the northbound and southbound approaches to accommodate a third through lane (e) Capacity-enhancing improvements to the intersections listed below are not included in any known fee program, including the City of Roseville's TMF program. These improvements would restore operations to an acceptable LOS C or better for intersections that operate at LOS C or better under existing conditions. Similarly, these improvements would restore operations to the LOS under existing conditions or better for intersections that currently operate at an unacceptable LOS D, E, or F. The following enhancements are necessary only to mitigate the traffic impacts for buildout of the SAP and are not necessary to mitigate traffic impacts from the buildout of the PRSP. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Baseline Road / Fiddymment Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▼ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate one left-turn lane, two through lanes, and a shared through/right-turn lane ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▼ Widen the eastbound and westbound approaches to accommodate a fourth through lane, as identified in the City of Roseville's CIP for widening Blue Oaks Boulevard to eight lanes ▼ Restripe the southbound approach to accommodate three left-turn lanes, one through lane, and one through/right-turn lane ▼ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the westbound and northbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Cirby Way / Riverside Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▼ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a third eastbound through lane ▼ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third left-turn lane 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the westbound approach to accommodate a third left-turn lane and right-turn pocket ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the northbound and southbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Cirby Way / Vernon Street <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a right-turn pocket ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the southbound right-turn movement and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Rocky Ridge Drive <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the northbound and southbound approaches to accommodate a third through lane ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the northbound and southbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Sunrise Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen northbound approach to accommodate a right-turn pocket ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane ▲ Lead Hill Boulevard / N. Sunrise Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the southbound and eastbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Fiddymont Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a third through lane ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the northbound, eastbound, and westbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Roseville Parkway <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the westbound approach to accommodate a fourth through lane ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Washington Boulevard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the southbound and eastbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Roseville Parkway / Taylor Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the westbound approach to accommodate a fourth through lane ▲ Eureka Road / Roseville Parkway 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Restripe the eastbound approach to accommodate one left-turn pocket, one through lane, one through/right-turn lane, and one right-turn lane ▲ Fairway Drive / Stanford Ranch Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third through lane ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the northbound and eastbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Baseline Road / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Restripe the northbound approach to accommodate one left-turn lane and one shared through/right-turn lane ➤ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one shared through/right-turn lane ▲ Douglas Boulevard / I-80 Westbound Off-Ramp <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a right-turn pocket ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a second left-turn pocket ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / SR 65 Northbound Ramps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the westbound approach to accommodate a second left-turn lane ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phases for the northbound and eastbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / SR 65 Southbound Ramps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane ➤ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a right-turn lane ▲ I-80 Westbound Off-Ramp / Riverside Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third through lane ➤ Widen the westbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane ▲ SR 65 Northbound Ramps / Stanford Ranch Road <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third through lane and two left-turn lanes ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a right-turn lane ▲ Eureka Road / Taylor Road/I-80 Eastbound Off-Ramp <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a third through lane ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ I-80 Eastbound Off-Ramp/Orlando Avenue / Riverside Avenue <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▼ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third through lane (f) Signal timing improvements to the intersections listed below would occur through the City of Roseville's regular maintenance of its traffic signals. Therefore, these improvements would require implementation by the City of Roseville. The signal timing improvements would include modification of timings to optimize use of the signal cycle to provide more green time allocation for critical movements. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Crocker Ranch Road (net SAP area) ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Fiddymont Road (net SAP area) ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Diamond Creek Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ Cirby Way / Sunrise Avenue (net SAP area) ▲ Cirby Way / Foothill Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Eureka Road (net SAP area) ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Santa Clara Drive (net SAP area) ▲ Douglas Boulevard / Sierra Gardens Drive (net SAP area) ▲ Douglas Boulevard / E. Roseville Parkway (net SAP and PRSP areas) ▲ Del Webb Boulevard / Village Green Drive / Fiddymont Avenue (net SAP area) ▲ Baseline Road / Main Street / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ Junction Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP and PRSP areas) ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Fiddymont Road (PRSP area) ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Washington Boulevard (PRSP area) ▲ Antelope Creek Drive / Galleria Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ Fairway Drive / Pleasant Grove Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ Roseville Parkway / Creekside Ridge Drive (net SAP area) ▲ Roseville Parkway / N. Sunrise Avenue (net SAP area) ▲ Roseville Parkway / Reserve Drive (net SAP area) ▲ Roseville Parkway / Washington Boulevard (net SAP area) ▲ S. Cirby Way / Old Auburn Road (net SAP area) ▲ Canevari Drive/Arsenault Drive / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard (net SAP area) 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measure 4.14-1b (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area).</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measure 4.14-1b, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measure 4.14-1b, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measure 4.14-1b, above</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-4: Pay impact fees associated with unsignalized intersections in City of Roseville to Placer County (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of individual development projects within the SAP area shall pay impact fees to Placer County in amounts that constitute the SAP area's fair share contribution to the installation of traffic signals at the following unsignalized intersections within the City of Roseville.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard / Northpark Drive (SAP area) ▲ Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard / Parkside Way (SAP area) ▲ Industrial Avenue / Alantown Drive (SAP area) <p>As with Mitigation Measure 4.14-3, Placer County, in working with the City of Roseville to provide funding for improvements not already subject to an existing interagency fee program, shall negotiate in good faith with the City of Roseville to enter into additional fair and reasonable arrangements with the intention of achieving, within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP, commitment for the provision of adequate fair share mitigation from the SAP/PRSP for significant impacts on City of Roseville intersections. In reaching an accommodation with the City of Roseville, the County and City, in order to better ensure an effective sub-regional approach to mitigating transportation-related impacts, may choose to include within the same agreements or JPA (if a JPA is formed) additional public agencies with whom it must work to mitigate transportation-related impacts, such as Sacramento County, Sutter County, and Caltrans. As the County strives to achieve agreement(s) with one or more of these other agencies, the County shall insist that "fair share" fee obligations be reciprocal, in the sense that the other local agencies, in accepting fair share contributions from the SAP/PRSP developers, must agree to require new development occurring in their own jurisdictions to make fair share contributions towards mitigating the significant effects of such development on the County's transportation network. Any such arrangement(s), with the City of Roseville or with additional agencies, shall account for existing inter-agency fee programs in order to avoid requiring redundant mitigation or fee payments exceeding fair share mitigation levels. Placer County shall hold these fees collected for improvements within the City of Roseville in trust for the expressed purpose of funding improvements to the specified facilities within the City. The County intends that its arrangement(s) with the City of Roseville and any other agencies shall permit the participating agencies' flexibility in providing cross-jurisdictional credits and reimbursements consistent with the general "fair share" mitigation standard, and require an updated model run incorporating the best available information in order to obtain the most</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>accurate, up-to-date impact assessment feasible and to generate the most accurate, up-to-date estimates of regional fair share contributions. These arrangements, moreover, should also include provisions that allow for periodic updates to the traffic modeling on which fair share payment calculations depend in order to account for (i) newly approved projects cumulatively contributing to transportation-related impacts and that therefore should contribute to the funding of necessary improvements, (ii) additional physical improvements necessitated in whole or in part by newly approved projects, (iii) changing cost calculations for the construction of needed improvements based on changes in the costs of materials, labor, and other inputs. The County will monitor traffic volumes and coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding traffic mitigation fees to fund regional improvements.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-5: Pay impact fees associated with signalized intersections in City of Rocklin to Placer County (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of individual development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, shall pay impact fees to Placer County in amounts that constitute the SAP area’s fair share contribution to the construction of transportation facilities and/or improvements in the City of Rocklin identified below.</p> <p>Placer County, in working with the City of Rocklin to provide funding for improvements not already subject to an existing interagency fee program, shall negotiate in good faith with the City of Rocklin to enter into additional fair and reasonable arrangements with the intention of achieving, within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP, commitment for the provision of adequate fair share mitigation from the SAP/PRSP for significant impacts on City of Rocklin intersections. In reaching an accommodation with the City of Rocklin, the County and City, in order to better ensure an effective sub-regional approach to mitigating transportation-related impacts, may choose to include within the same agreements or JPA (if a JPA is formed) additional public agencies with whom it must work to mitigate transportation-related impacts, such as Sacramento County, Sutter County, and Caltrans. As the County strives to achieve agreement(s) with one or more of these other agencies, the County shall insist that “fair share” fee obligations be reciprocal, in the sense that the other local agencies, in accepting fair share contributions from the SAP/PRSP developers, must agree to require new development occurring in their own jurisdictions to make fair share contributions towards mitigating the significant effects of such development on the County’s transportation network. Any such arrangement(s), with just the City of Rocklin or with additional agencies, shall account for existing inter-agency fee programs in order to avoid requiring redundant mitigation or fee payments exceeding fair share mitigation levels.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>The County intends that its arrangement(s) with the City of Rocklin and any other agencies shall permit the participating agencies' flexibility in providing cross-jurisdictional credits and reimbursements consistent with the general "fair share" mitigation standard, and require an updated model run incorporating the best available information in order to obtain the most accurate, up-to-date impact assessment feasible and to generate the most accurate, up-to-date estimates of regional fair share contributions. These arrangements, moreover, should also include provisions that allow for periodic updates to the traffic modeling on which fair share payment calculations depend in order to account for (i) newly approved projects cumulatively contributing to transportation-related impacts and that therefore should contribute to the funding of necessary improvements, (ii) additional physical improvements necessitated in whole or in part by newly approved projects, (iii) changing cost calculations for the construction of needed improvements based on changes in the costs of materials, labor, and other inputs. The County will monitor traffic volumes and coordinate with the City of Rocklin regarding traffic mitigation fees to fund regional improvements.</p> <p>The necessary capacity enhancements to mitigate the increased delay caused by buildout of the SAP at the impacted intersections listed above would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Sunset Boulevard / Lonetree Boulevard/W. Stanford Ranch Road: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third left-turn lane. ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phase for the northbound, eastbound, and westbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements. ▲ Park Drive / Sunset Boulevard: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Optimize the signal timing splits. ▲ Stanford Ranch Road / Sunset Boulevard: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phase for the southbound, eastbound, and westbound right-turn movements, and prohibit the conflicting U-turn movements, ▲ Sunset Boulevard / University Avenue/Atherton Drive: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen Sunset Boulevard to 6 lanes from SR 65 to east of University Avenue, ▲ Pacific Street / Sunset Boulevard: ▲ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a second left-turn lane. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-6: Pay impact fees associated with unsignalized intersections in City of Lincoln to Placer County (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of individual development projects within the SAP area shall pay impact fees to Placer County in amounts that constitute the SAP area’s fair share contribution to the installation of a traffic signal at the Dowd Road / Moore Road intersection.</p> <p>Placer County, in working with the City of Lincoln to provide funding for improvements not already subject to an existing interagency fee program, shall negotiate in good faith with the City of Lincoln to enter into additional fair and reasonable arrangements with the intention of achieving, within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP, commitment for the provision of adequate fair share mitigation from the SAP/PRSP for significant impacts on City of Lincoln intersections. In reaching an accommodation with the City of Lincoln, the County and City, in order to better ensure an effective sub-regional approach to mitigating transportation-related impacts, may choose to include within the same agreements or JPA (if a JPA is formed) additional public agencies with whom it must work to mitigate transportation-related impacts, such as Sacramento County, Sutter County, and Caltrans. As the County strives to achieve agreement(s) with one or more of these other agencies, the County shall insist that “fair share” fee obligations be reciprocal, in the sense that the other local agencies, in accepting fair share contributions from the SAP/PRSP developers, must agree to require new development occurring in their own jurisdictions to make fair share contributions towards mitigating the significant effects of such development on the County’s transportation network. Any such arrangement(s), with just the City of Lincoln or with additional agencies, shall account for existing inter-agency fee programs in order to avoid requiring redundant mitigation or fee payments exceeding fair share mitigation levels.</p> <p>The County intends that its arrangement(s) with the City of Lincoln and any other agencies shall permit the participating agencies’ flexibility in providing cross-jurisdictional credits and reimbursements consistent with the general “fair share” mitigation standard, and require an updated model run incorporating the best available information in order to obtain the most accurate, up-to-date impact assessment feasible and to generate the most accurate, up-to-date estimates of regional fair share contributions. These arrangements, moreover, should also include provisions that allow for periodic updates to the traffic modeling on which fair share payment calculations depend in order to account for (i) newly approved projects cumulatively contributing to transportation-related impacts and that therefore should contribute to the funding of necessary improvements, (ii) additional physical improvements necessitated in whole or in part by newly approved projects, (iii) changing cost calculations for the construction of</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>needed improvements based on changes in the costs of materials, labor, and other inputs. The County will monitor traffic volumes and coordinate with the City of Lincoln regarding traffic mitigation fees to fund regional improvements.</p> <p>Installation of a traffic signal at this intersection would improve operations to LOS B during the a.m. peak hour and LOS C during the p.m. peak hour. However, it is not included in any known fee program. This intersection is currently located within the unincorporated Placer County within the City of Lincoln sphere of influence. If this impact is triggered before annexation into the City of Lincoln, the County shall require the traffic signal to be installed prior to the issuance of building permits for further development that may further degrade operations at this intersection. If annexed into the City of Lincoln, this mitigation measure would require Placer County, on behalf of the project proponent, to negotiate in good faith with the City of Lincoln to identify the fair share funding contribution.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-9: Pay impact fees to Placer County toward construction of improvements at highway ramp terminal intersections (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of individual development projects within the SAP area, shall pay impact fees in effect to Placer County in amounts that constitute the SAP area's fair share contribution to the construction of improvements at the federal or state highway ramp terminal intersections identified below, which are needed in part because of the SAP. Placer County shall coordinate with their regional partners to modify an existing or adopt a new regional fee program to include the improvements identified that constitute the region's fair share toward the identified improvements.</p> <p>The necessary capacity enhancements to mitigate the increased delay caused by buildout of the SAP at the impacted intersections listed above would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / SR 65 Southbound Ramps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▸ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane. ▸ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a right-turn lane. ▲ I-80 Westbound Off-Ramp / Riverside Avenue: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▸ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third through lane. ▸ Widen the westbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane. ▲ SR 65 Northbound Ramps / Stanford Ranch Road: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▸ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third through lane and two left-turn lanes. ▸ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a right-turn lane. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Eureka Road / Taylor Road/I-80 Eastbound Off-Ramp: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the eastbound approach to accommodate a third through lane. ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane. ▲ I-80 Eastbound Off-Ramp/Orlando Avenue / Riverside Avenue: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a third through lane. ▲ Sunset Boulevard / SR 65 Southbound Ramps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Modify the eastbound approach to accommodate a second eastbound right-turn pocket. ▲ Modify the southbound approach to accommodate a second left-turn pocket. 				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-10: Contribute fair share of feasible physical improvements to freeway operations (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of individual development projects within the SAP area shall be responsible for the project’s fair share of all feasible physical improvements necessary and available to reduce the severity of the project’s significant traffic impacts to freeway operations as identified in this traffic analysis consistent with the policies and exceptions set forth in the Transportation and Circulation Element of the Placer County General Plan. This may include any, or some combination of, the following forms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Payment of impact fees to the South Placer Regional Transportation Authority (SPRTA) in amounts that constitute the SAP area’s fair share contribution to the construction of transportation facilities funded through fees collected by the SPRTA for Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 projects. This includes the following transportation projects that would directly improve operations on SR 65 and I-80: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ SR 65 Widening, including auxiliary lanes and a mainline mixed-flow or HOV travel lane ➤ I-80/SR 65 Interchange, and ➤ I-80/Rocklin Road Interchange ▲ Payment of other adopted and applicable regional impact fees that would provide improvements to freeway facilities that are affected by multiple jurisdictions, such as the Highway 65 JPA Fee, which provides funding for interchange improvements along SR 65. ▲ Placer County shall coordinate with their regional partners to modify an existing or adopt a new regional fee program to include the improvements identified that will constitutes the regions fair share toward the identified improvements. These improvements may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Add ramp metering to high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lane entrance ramps on SR-65 ➤ Add auxiliary lanes to SR 65 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to issuance of building permits</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-12a: Demonstrate compliance with Placer County’s Trip Reduction Program (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to building permit issuance, a Transportation Demand Management Plan (TDMP) shall be submitted for DPWF review and must be approved by DPWF prior to Improvement Plan approval. Any non-residential development that is subject to the County Trip Reduction Ordinance (Chapter 10, Article 10.20, and Placer County Code) must prepare a TDMP. The number of employees at the site shall be determined by an employee-per-square-foot formula provided by DPWF in consultation with the project proponent.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works and Planning Services Division</p>	<p>With submittal of design review application and/or Improvement Plan submittal</p>	<p>Satisfied with building permit issuance and Improvement Plan approval</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-12b: Identify feasible steps to ensure that proposed development will comply with Placer County travel demand management policies, objectives, and performance requirements (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to building permit issuance, the County shall require project proponents of future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, to identify feasible steps to ensure that the proposed development will comply with Placer County travel demand management (TDM) policies, objectives, and performance requirements. This may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ In conjunction with tentative subdivision approval, recorded codes, covenants and restrictions (CC&Rs) shall include provisions to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Guarantee adherence to Placer County travel demand management (TDM) policies and objectives. ➤ The perpetual implementation of TCMs regardless of property ownership. ➤ Inform all subsequent property owners of the requirements imposed herein. ➤ Identify potential consequences of nonperformance. ➤ Require that space use agreements (i.e., lease documents) shall also include provisions for the site as a means to inform and commit tenants to, and participate in, helping specific applicable developments meet Placer County TDM performance requirements. <p>The TCMs identified as part of Mitigation Measures 4.14-12a and 4.14-12b would reduce VMT, as stated in the purpose of the Trip Reduction Ordinance (Article 10.20.020 of the Placer County Code) and supported by data compiled in the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) <i>Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures</i> document. Per Article 10.20.070 of the Placer County Code, the required TCMs may include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Designation of an employee transportation coordinator (ETC). ▲ Posting of ridesharing information, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Posters or flyers encouraging the use of ridesharing and referrals to sources of information concerning ridesharing. 	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>With submittal of design review application and/or Improvement Plan submittal</p>	<p>Satisfied with building permit issuance</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The names and phone numbers of the ETC, transportation management association, and the County TCM coordinator. ▲ Posting (by employers) or providing to employers (by project controllers) of alternative transportation mode information, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Current schedules, rates (including procedures for obtaining transit passes), and routes of mass transit service to the common work location or employment site. ➤ The location of all bicycle routes within at least a five-mile radius of the facility. ▲ Distribution of commuter matching service applications to employees (by employers) or to employers (by project controllers). The South Placer TMA and Caltrans Sacramento Rideshare each maintain regional computer databases to match commuters with common cross streets. Each provides rideshare applications to employers for distribution and then directly mails the match lists to the employees. The South Placer TMA provides rideshare matchlisting for destinations within Placer County, while Caltrans Sacramento Rideshare provides matchlisting for out-of-county destinations. Credit will be given if the ETC distributes the applications annually to all employees or employers, as applicable, and upon hiring to all new employees. ▲ Bicycle Parking Facilities. Unless there are overriding considerations specific to the employment site, sufficient bicycle parking must be supplied for employees. To receive credit, the employer must provide bicycle parking for all bicycle commuters, as determined by survey of employees, or two percent of employment, whichever is less. The bicycle parking facilities shall be, at minimum, Class II stationary bike racks. ▲ Preferential Carpool/Vanpool Parking. Unless there are overriding considerations specific to the employment site, parking spaces for four percent of employees must be painted "Carpool Parking" or "Vanpool Parking" and must be, with the exception of handicapped and customer parking, the spaces with most convenient access to the employee entrances. The ETC shall be responsible for monitoring the spaces. ▲ In addition to the required TCMs identified above, Article 10.20.070 of the Placer County Code identifies 18 optional TCMs and strongly encourages the application of other trip reduction measures that are not explicitly identified in the code. 				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-13a: Prepare a transit master plan for SAP area (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) The County shall prepare a transit master plan for the SAP area, including the PRSP area. The transit master plan will be a County-led effort but may also be done in collaboration with PCTPA when PCTPA updates its Long-Range Transit Master Plan. Roseville Transit will also be consulted. The transit master plan shall identify how transit service will be delivered to the SAP and ensure that the service adequately serves transit demand in the SAP. Transit service could include but would not be limited to car-sharing programs, neighborhood electric vehicle systems, and free or low-cost monthly transit passes.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works and, if applicable, in coordination Placer County Transportation Planning Agency and/or Roseville Transit</p>	<p>Prior to or during approval of small lot tentative map for development within the PRSP and prior to approval of any master plan or development agreement within the net SAP area</p>	<p>Satisfied with finalized transit master plan</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-13b: Establish a Community Service Area (CSA) Zone of Benefit (ZOB) or annex into an existing CSA ZOB to fund transit services for the PRSP area (PRSP Area) Prior to Improvement Plan approval or Final Map recordation for subdivision projects, a Community Service Area (CSA) Zone of Benefit (ZOB) shall be established by the project proponent, or the project proponent shall annex into an existing CSA ZOB to fund the cost of transit services proposed by the Transit Master Plan. This will include any related capital costs for buses, passenger amenities, and facilities. The Transit Master Plan shall identify how transit service will be delivered to the PRSP area and will be prepared in collaboration with Placer County Transit and Placer County staff and submitted to the County for approval. The County shall review the Transit Master Plan and ensure that the proposed service and facilities adequately serves transit demand in the PRSP area. The County shall also require project proponents to either form a CSA ZOB or annex into an existing CSA ZOB to fund the cost of transit services that are proposed by the Transit Master Plan.</p>	<p>PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to Improvement Plan approval or Final Map recordation for subdivision projects</p>	<p>Satisfied with Improvement Plan approval or Final Map recordation for subdivision projects</p>
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) and 4.14-2c (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area).</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a and 4.14-2c, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a and 4.14-2c, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a and 4.14-2c, above</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-15a: Pay impact fees to Placer County toward widening Sunset Boulevard to six lanes from PRSP area to SR 65 (PRSP Area) Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of individual development projects within the PRSP area shall pay impact fees to Placer County, as determined by DPWF, in amounts that constitute the PRSP's fair share towards widening Sunset Boulevard to 6 lanes from the PRSP area to SR 65.</p>	<p>PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to building permit issuance</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a and 4.14-2c (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area).</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a and 4.14-2c, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a and 4.14-2c, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-2a and 4.14-2c, above
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-16: Adopt update to Placer County Countywide CIP and countywide traffic impact fee to include installing traffic signals and capacity-enhancing improvements currently not included in known fee program (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Consistent with Mitigation Measure 4.14-2a, the County shall adopt an update to the Placer County Countywide CIP and countywide traffic impact fee concurrently with the SAP and PRSP to include installing traffic signals and capacity-enhancing improvements currently not included in any known fee program at the following intersections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Sunset Boulevard / South Loop Road/Placer Corporate Drive (net SAP and PRSP areas), ▲ Athens Avenue / Fiddymont Road (net SAP area), ▲ Athens Avenue / Industrial Avenue (net SAP area), ▲ Athens Avenue / Foothills Boulevard North (net SAP area), and ▲ Sunset Boulevard / Cincinnati Avenue (net SAP area). <p>Prior to the issuance of building permits, project proponents of future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, shall pay the applicable countywide traffic impact fees, as determined by DPWF, which will provide funding for improvements at the above intersections.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Department of Public Works	With submittal of design review application and/or Improvement Plan submittal	Satisfied with building permit issuance and Improvement Plan approval
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measure 4.14-3 (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area).</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-3, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-3, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-3, above
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-17: Pay impact fees to Placer County toward construction of transportation facilities and/or improvements at intersections in City of Roseville (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to building permit issuance, project proponents of future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area, shall pay impact fees to Placer County, as determined by DPWF, in amounts that constitute the SAP area’s fair share contribution to the construction of transportation facilities and/or improvements at the following intersections within the City of Roseville.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard, ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard, 	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Department of Public Works	Prior to building permit issuance for future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area	Satisfied with building permit issuance for future development projects within the SAP area, including the PRSP area

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Roseville Parkway, ▲ Fiddymment Road / Parkland Way/Angus Drive, ▲ Roseville Parkway / Washington Boulevard, ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Fidelity Way, and ▲ Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard / Hop Scotch Way. 				
<p>Placer County, in working with the City of Roseville to provide funding for improvements not already subject to an existing interagency fee program, shall negotiate in good faith with the City of Roseville to enter into additional fair and reasonable arrangements with the intention of achieving, within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP, commitment for the provision of adequate fair share mitigation from the SAP/PRSP for significant impacts on City of Roseville transportation facilities and improvements at intersections. In reaching an accommodation with the City of Roseville, the County and City, in order to better ensure an effective sub-regional approach to mitigating transportation-related impacts, may choose to include within the same agreements or JPA (if a JPA is formed) additional public agencies with whom it must work to mitigate transportation-related impacts, such as Sacramento County, Sutter County, and Caltrans. As the County strives to achieve agreement(s) with one or more of these other agencies, the County shall insist that “fair share” fee obligations be reciprocal, in the sense that the other local agencies, in accepting fair share contributions from the SAP/PRSP developers, must agree to require new development occurring in their own jurisdictions to make fair share contributions towards mitigating the significant effects of such development on the County’s transportation network. Any such arrangement(s), with just the City of Roseville or with additional agencies, shall account for existing inter-agency fee programs in order to avoid requiring redundant mitigation or fee payments exceeding fair share mitigation levels.</p> <p>The County intends that its arrangement(s) with the City of Roseville and any other agencies shall permit the participating agencies’ flexibility in providing cross-jurisdictional credits and reimbursements consistent with the general “fair share” mitigation standard, and require an updated model run incorporating the best available information in order to obtain the most accurate, up-to-date impact assessment feasible and to generate the most accurate, up-to-date estimates of regional fair share contributions. These arrangements, moreover, should also include provisions that allow for periodic updates to the traffic modeling on which fair share payment calculations depend in order to account for (i) newly approved projects cumulatively contributing to transportation-related impacts and that therefore should contribute to the funding of necessary improvements, (ii) additional physical improvements necessitated in whole</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works and City of Roseville</p>	<p>County to enter into an agreement with City of Roseville within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fair-share mitigation fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>or in part by newly approved projects, (iii) changing cost calculations for the construction of needed improvements based on changes in the costs of materials, labor, and other inputs. The County will monitor traffic volumes and coordinate with the City of Roseville regarding traffic mitigation fees to fund regional improvements.</p> <p>The necessary capacity enhancements to mitigate the project’s cumulatively considerable effects at the impacted intersections listed above would include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Foothills Boulevard: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the southbound approach to accommodate a third southbound left-turn lane. ➤ Modify signal to provide right-turn overlap phase for westbound and eastbound right-turn movements, and prohibit conflicting U-turn movements. ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Increase the storage for the westbound left-turn lanes to a minimum of 500 feet. ▲ Pleasant Grove Boulevard / Roseville Parkway: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Modify the signal operations from the existing split phasing on Roseville Parkway to protected left-turn phasing. ▲ Fiddymont Road / Parkland Way/Angus Drive: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Modify the eastbound and westbound left-turn phasing from protected phasing to permitted phasing. ▲ Roseville Parkway / Washington Boulevard: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the westbound and eastbound approaches to accommodate a third through lane. ▲ Blue Oaks Boulevard / Fidelity Way: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Widen the northbound approach to accommodate a second right-turn lane. ▲ Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard / Hop Scotch Way: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Install a traffic signal. <p>There are no feasible mitigations available for the remaining impacted intersection of Baseline Road / Woodcreek Oaks Boulevard. The intersection would be built to its ultimate configuration with four lanes on Baseline Road and westbound and eastbound left-turn and right-turn pockets under cumulative conditions. The south leg of the intersection is constrained by existing development, making it infeasible to widen the northbound or southbound approaches to accommodate additional through lanes or turn pockets. Signal timing adjustments would not reduce delay sufficiently to restore operations to a better LOS F.</p>				

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>the County strives to achieve agreement(s) with one or more of these other agencies, the County shall insist that “fair share” fee obligations be reciprocal, in the sense that the other local agencies, in accepting fair share contributions from the SAP/PRSP developers, must agree to require new development occurring in their own jurisdictions to make fair share contributions towards mitigating the significant effects of such development on the County’s transportation network. Any such arrangement(s), with just the City of Rocklin or with additional agencies, shall account for existing inter-agency fee programs in order to avoid requiring redundant mitigation or fee payments exceeding fair share mitigation levels.</p> <p>The County intends that its arrangement(s) with the City of Rocklin and any other agencies shall permit the participating agencies’ flexibility in providing cross-jurisdictional credits and reimbursements consistent with the general “fair share” mitigation standard, and require an updated model run incorporating the best available information in order to obtain the most accurate, up-to-date impact assessment feasible and to generate the most accurate, up-to-date estimates of regional fair share contributions. These arrangements, moreover, should also include provisions that allow for periodic updates to the traffic modeling on which fair share payment calculations depend in order to account for (i) newly approved projects cumulatively contributing to transportation-related impacts and that therefore should contribute to the funding of necessary improvements, (ii) additional physical improvements necessitated in whole or in part by newly approved projects, (iii) changing cost calculations for the construction of needed improvements based on changes in the costs of materials, labor, and other inputs. The County will monitor traffic volumes and coordinate with the City of Rocklin regarding traffic mitigation fees to fund regional improvements.</p>				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-19: Pay fair share cost toward striping second eastbound left-turn lane at Joiner Parkway/Twelve Bridges Drive intersection (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area)</p> <p>Prior to building permit issuance, the project proponent shall pay their fair share cost towards striping a second eastbound left-turn lane at the Joiner Parkway / Twelve Bridges Drive intersection.</p> <p>This improvement would restore operations to LOS D during the p.m. peak hour. The eastbound approach currently has a turn pocket wide enough to support dual eastbound left-turn lanes along with loop detectors to support this improvement. In addition, Joiner Parkway is planned to be widened to a four-lane roadway north of Twelve Bridges Drive, as identified in the Tier 1 SACOG MTP/SCS project list, which will provide adequate receiving lanes.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works</p>	<p>Prior to building permit issuance</p>	<p>With building permit issuance</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Placer County, in working with the City of Lincoln to provide funding for improvements not already subject to an existing interagency fee program, shall negotiate in good faith with the City of Lincoln to enter into additional fair and reasonable arrangements with the intention of achieving, within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP, commitment for the provision of adequate fair share mitigation from the SAP/PRSP for significant impacts on City of Lincoln intersections. In reaching an accommodation with the City of Lincoln, the County and City, in order to better ensure an effective sub-regional approach to mitigating transportation-related impacts, may choose to include within the same agreements or JPA (if a JPA is formed) additional public agencies with whom it must work to mitigate transportation-related impacts, such as Sacramento County, Sutter County, and Caltrans. As the County strives to achieve agreement(s) with one or more of these other agencies, the County shall insist that “fair share” fee obligations be reciprocal, in the sense that the other local agencies, in accepting fair share contributions from the SAP/PRSP developers, must agree to require new development occurring in their own jurisdictions to make fair share contributions towards mitigating the significant effects of such development on the County’s transportation network. Any such arrangement(s), with just the City of Lincoln or with additional agencies, shall account for existing inter-agency fee programs in order to avoid requiring redundant mitigation or fee payments exceeding fair share mitigation levels.</p> <p>The County intends that its arrangement(s) with the City of Lincoln and any other agencies shall permit the participating agencies’ flexibility in providing cross-jurisdictional credits and reimbursements consistent with the general “fair share” mitigation standard, and require an updated model run incorporating the best available information in order to obtain the most accurate, up-to-date impact assessment feasible and to generate the most accurate, up-to-date estimates of regional fair share contributions. These arrangements, moreover, should also include provisions that allow for periodic updates to the traffic modeling on which fair share payment calculations depend in order to account for (i) newly approved projects cumulatively contributing to transportation-related impacts and that therefore should contribute to the funding of necessary improvements, (ii) additional physical improvements necessitated in whole or in part by newly approved projects, (iii) changing cost calculations for the construction of needed improvements based on changes in the costs of materials, labor, and other inputs. The County will monitor traffic volumes and coordinate with the City of Lincoln regarding traffic mitigation fees to fund regional improvements.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Department of Public Works and City of Lincoln</p>	<p>County to enter into an agreement with City of Lincoln within a reasonable time period after approval of the SAP, including the PRSP</p>	<p>Satisfied with payment of fair-share mitigation fees</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.14-22: Pay fair share cost toward signal modification at Placer Parkway/SR 65 southbound ramps intersection (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to building permit issuance, the project proponent shall pay their fair share cost towards the following signal modification at the Placer Parkway / SR 65 Southbound Ramps intersection: ▲ Restripe the southbound off-ramp approach to feature the following lane configuration: one left-turn lane, one shared through-right turn lane, and one right-turn lane. This modification would improve operations to LOS B during the p.m. peak hour and maintain LOS B operations during the a.m. peak hour. This mitigation requires Placer County, on behalf of the project proponent, to negotiate in good faith with Caltrans to identify the fair share funding contribution and establish a means to provide this funding to Caltrans.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Department of Public Works and Caltrans	Prior to building permit issuance	With building permit issuance
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measure 4.14-10 (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area).</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-10, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-10, above	See Mitigation Measure 4.14-10, above
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measures 4.14-12a and 4.14-12b (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area).</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-12a and 4.14-12b, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-12a and 4.14-12b, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.14-12a and 4.14-12b, above
4.15 Utilities				
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.15-2: Ensure adequate water treatment capacity (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to approval of each small lot tentative map within the net SAP or PRSP areas, water demand shall be identified and water treatment capacity necessary to serve the proposed development in the small lot tentative map area shall be identified. No small lot tentative map(s) shall be approved within the net SAP or PRSP unless and until adequate water treatment capacity is identified.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County CDRA and Environmental Engineering Division in coordination with PCWA	Prior to approval of each small lot tentative map within the net SAP or PRSP areas or design review, as applicable	Satisfied with approval of each small lot tentative map within the net SAP or PRSP areas or design review, as applicable
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.15-4a: Annex to SPWA's regional service area and PGWWTP's service area (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to improvement plan approval for development in the net SAP and PRSP areas that are outside the service boundaries of SPWA and PGWWTP, project proponents shall demonstrate to Placer County that the SPWA has approved expansion of the SPWA 2005 Regional Service Area Boundary to include the affected areas. Also, the project proponents shall demonstrate that SPWA has approved expansions of the PGWWTP service boundary to include the affected areas.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	Placer County Environmental Engineering Division	Prior to improvement plan approval for development in the net SAP and PRSP areas that are outside the service boundaries of SPWA and PGWWTP	Satisfied with improvement plan approval for development in the net SAP and PRSP areas that are outside the service boundaries of SPWA and PGWWTP

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.15-4b: Confirm infrastructure capacity (Net SAP Area and PRSP Area) Prior to improvement plan approval for development in the net SAP or PRSP areas, project proponents shall provide confirmation from SPWA and the City of Roseville that there is sufficient infrastructure and treatment capacity to serve the final design plan flows for the proposed development.</p> <p>Future projects within the net SAP and PRSP areas shall participate financially in the construction of additional wastewater treatment capacity sufficient to accommodate projected flows through payment of connection fees facilitated through annexation into CSA 28, Zone 2A3. Project proponents shall also participate on a fair share basis in other financing mechanisms for any additional environmental review required to secure approvals necessary to increase wastewater discharges from the plant, including approval by the SPWA for expansion of the service area boundary. It is recognized that the project proponents shall rely on the City of Roseville (on behalf of the SPWA partners) to construct the wastewater treatment expansion needed to treat and discharge wastewater produced within the PGWWTP service area boundary, including buildout of the net SAP and PRSP areas.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Environmental Engineering Division</p>	<p>Prior to improvement plan approval for development in the net SAP or PRSP areas</p>	<p>Satisfied with improvement plan approval for development in the net SAP or PRSP areas</p>
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measures 4.6-1a and 4.6-1b, as well as 4.6-1c, identified in Section 4.6, "Geology and Soils," and Mitigation Measures 4.9-1a and 4.9-1b, identified in Section 4.9, "Hydrology and Water Quality."</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.6-1a, 4.6-1b, 4.6-1c, 4.9-1a, and 4.9-1b, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.6-1a, 4.6-1b, 4.6-1c, 4.9-1a, and 4.9-1b, above</p>	<p>See Mitigation Measures 4.6-1a, 4.6-1b, 4.6-1c, 4.9-1a, and 4.9-1b, above</p>
<p>Mitigation Measure 4.15-14: Ensure sufficient capacity in City of Roseville wastewater conveyance lines Prior to development project improvement plans approval for the first development phase of the net SAP area and the first development phase of the PRSP area, the project proponents for future development within these plan areas shall update the net SAP area and PRSP area buildout peak wastewater flows based on each project's final design. If the project proponents find that the project-generated peak wastewater flows exceed the capacity of the Pleasant Grove Creek crossing sewer line and/or the Pleasant Grove sewer trunk line downstream of the net SAP area and PRSP area points of connection, the project proponents shall develop plans for and construct improvements that would allow for conveyance of each project's buildout wastewater flows. Development within the net SAP and PRSP areas shall pay its fair share toward the development, construction, and operation of any upsizing of these existing facilities or additional wastewater conveyance lines if existing facilities are not upsized. There is an existing reimbursement agreement for additional capacity in the Pleasant Grove Creek crossing between Placer County and West Roseville Development Company, Inc., dated May 3, 2008.</p>	<p>Net SAP Area and PRSP Area</p>	<p>Placer County Environmental Engineering Division in coordination with City of Roseville/SPWA</p>	<p>Prior to development project improvement plans approval for the first development phase of the net SAP area and the first development phase of the PRSP area</p>	<p>Satisfied with approval of development project improvement plans for the first development phase of the net SAP area and the first development phase of the PRSP area</p>

Table 4-1 Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Mitigation Measures	Applicable Project Area	Agency Responsible for Monitoring and Verifying Compliance	Timing of Initial Action	Frequency and Duration of Monitoring
<p>Any negotiation of fair share fees associated with the crossing shall be in compliance with this agreement. The improvements shall be constructed to meet peak wet weather flows determined by final design plans, in the sewer lines downstream of the net SAP area and PRSP area points of connection with the Pleasant Grove Creek crossing sewer line and/or the Pleasant Grove sewer trunk line. The plans shall identify the timing of the improvements and confirm that the capacity of the lines would be available when needed by development within the net SAP and PRSP areas. Improvements shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ replacing the existing City of Roseville wastewater conveyance lines with larger sewer lines that would increase capacity to serve future demand for wastewater conveyance or ▲ installing an additional wastewater conveyance line parallel to the existing City of Roseville lines that increases capacity to serve future demand for wastewater conveyance. 				
<p>Mitigation Measures Implement Mitigation Measure 4.15-4a: Annex to SPWA's regional service area and PGWWTP's service area, and Mitigation Measure 4.15-4b: Confirm infrastructure capacity.</p>	Net SAP Area and PRSP Area	See Mitigation Measures 4.15-4a and 4.15-4b, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.15-4a and 4.15-4b, above	See Mitigation Measures 4.15-4a and 4.15-4b, above

4.5 REFERENCES

Air Quality

Baral, Robin R. Churchwell White, LLP, Sacramento, CA. August 22, 2019—memorandum to Clayton Cook of the Office of Placer County Counsel regarding Odor Mitigation for the Sunset Area Plan/Placer Ranch Specific Plan EIR (State Clearinghouse No. 2016112012). Sent on behalf of the Western Placer Waste Management Authority.

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association. 2010 (August). *Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures*. Available: <http://www.capcoa.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/11/CAPCOA-Quantification-Report-9-14-Final.pdf>. Accessed November 17, 2017.

Placer County Air Pollution Control District. 2017a (June). *Draft 2017 Update CEQA Air Quality Handbook*. Available: <http://www.placerair.org/landuseandceqa/ceqaairqualityhandbook>. Accessed June 30, 2017.

Schmidt, CE and TR Card. 2019 (August 2). Technical Report #2: Odor Mitigation Measures Related to Proposed Development within the WRSL Buffer Zone. Letter memorandum to Robin Baral of Churchwell White, LLP. Red Bluff, CA.

Biological Resources

California Department of Fish and Game. 2012 (March 7). *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation*. Sacramento, CA.

Environmental Laboratory. 1987 (January). *Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual*. Wetlands Research Program Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

———. 2008 (September). *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Arid West Region*. Version 2.0. Technical Report ERDC/EL TR-08-28. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetlands Regulatory Assistance Program, Vicksburg, MS.

Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee. 2000 (May 31). *Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley*.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2017c (May). *Framework for Assessing Impacts to the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle* (*Desmocerus californicus dimorphus*). Sacramento, CA.

Geology and Soils

Wallace-Kuhl & Associates. 2004 (August 31). *Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report: Placer Ranch*. Sacramento, CA.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions

California Air Resources Board. 2017 (November). *California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan*. Available: https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf. Accessed November 21, 2017.

Placer County Air Pollution Control District. 2017. (November 21). *CEQA Air Quality Handbook*. Available: <http://www.placerair.org/landuseandceqa/ceqaairqualityhandbook>. Accessed May 22, 2018.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2018. Energy Star Certified Products. Available: <https://www.energystar.gov/products?s=mega>. Accessed November 28, 2018.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials

American Petroleum Institute. 2011. *Guidelines for Property Development*. Washington, DC.

Transportation and Circulation

Placer County. 2018c (July 23). *Countywide Capital Improvement Programs*. Department of Public Works and Facilities, Transportation Division.