Compressed map review due to data delays
Legal Criteria

- CA Elections Code provides basic criteria and process
- Aligns with Voting Rights Act
- Must consider in this priority order:
  1. contiguity
  2. integrity of neighborhoods and communities of interest
  3. integrity of cities and census designated places
  4. natural and artificial barriers, including streets
  5. geographic compactness
Additional Factors

- Utilize existing supervisorial districts as basis (i.e., minimize drastic impacts to existing boundaries)
- Consider community plan boundaries
- Consider entitled Specific Plan areas
- Minimize segmentation of incorporated cities
- Each district represents a balance of rural and urban constituents
- Follow existing voting precinct boundaries where possible
Emphasis on Communities of Interest in priority rank

Rural communities of interest in lower foothill area was common theme (e.g., Loomis, Penryn, Newcastle, Granite Bay)

City of Rocklin constituents desire to keep the city within a district

Elimination of northwestern portion of D4 along Hwy 65

COIs in Roseville, logical division at Fiddyment Road

Concern over residences of candidates/incumbents for Alt C/C2

Support for public generated maps (i.e., D, B.2, P1-C)
Commissioner Comments

- Interest in opinions of missing commissioners
- More configurations for Roseville needed (i.e., Roseville COIs), remain in only 3 districts
- Districts should address the issues of unincorporated areas
- Keep COIs within Loomis, Penryn, Newcastle, and Granite Bay together
- Rocklin stand on its own
- Logical to use State Route 193 as western boundary of D5
- Continue discussion of Alternatives A, B, B.2, C2, P1-C
# 2021 Alternatives Assessment

## General

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
<td><strong>Noted Decision</strong>&lt;br&gt;Decisions to be made for the upcoming election</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vote Decision&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Between&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Largest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; and&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Smallest&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; Districts&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All cities within single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td>Number of&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; within&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; DISTRICT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td>All Multi-&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; in single district except Redding (CC) and Redding (CD)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td>Same as C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>Complete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Alternative D-5

- **Redistricting Plan**: A detailed map showing the proposed districts.
- **Regularization Checks**: Ensuring compliance with legal standards and guidelines.
- **Public Comment Period**: An opportunity for public feedback on the proposed changes.

---

*Public generated map alternative*
Alt. A

Deviation – 1.70%

Proposed District Boundaries

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5

Area of Boundary Change

- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- Current District Boundary
- CityLimit

Draft Map Alternative A

*NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Alt. B

Draft Map Alternative B

Deviation – 1.70%

Proposed District Boundaries

Area of Boundary Change

Current District Boundary

City Limit

NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.

Data: 1/20/2022

NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.

Data: 1/20/2022
Alternative B.2

- Developed to recognize integrity of cities and balance communities of interest.
- Keeps Rocklin in one district.
- Eliminates D4 area along Hwy 65.

Deviation – 5.0%
Alt. C2

Draft Map Alternative C2

Advisory Redistricting Commission Changes to Map C

Proposed District Boundaries

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3

Approx. 75 People
430.8 Acres

Approx. 862 People
2,494.46 Acres

Deviation – 3.60%

Proposed District Area of Boundary Change

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5

- Current District Boundary
- CityLimit

Data: 1/20/2021

These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Alternative P1-C

- COIs in Roseville divided by Fiddyment Rd. and Vernon/Atlantic St.
- Roseville in 3 districts
- Rocklin and Loomis within D3
- Loomis divided from Penryn, Newcastle and Granite Bay.
- Sheridan CDP divided by D2 and D5.
- Deviation – 1.18%
Modified B.2-P1C Hybrid
• COIs in Roseville divided by Fiddyment Rd.
• Rocklin in D3.
• Lincoln in D2 and D3
• Granite Bay, Loomis, Penryn, Newcastle together.
• D5 comes across Hwy 49 to Hwy 193 and includes HFRP.
• Deviation – 4.61%*
Recommendation

Staff recommends the Advisory Redistricting Commission:

1. Consider the Alternatives Assessment (Attachment A) and evaluate the merits of each map alternative.

2. Eliminate alternatives that are inconsistent with state/federal legal criteria, focusing on alternatives that optimize compliance with redistricting requirements.

3. Direct staff to forward the Advisory Redistricting Commission’s recommendation to the Board of Supervisors for its review in accordance with state and federal law and consistent with any additional direction provided.
1. Which alternatives do not meet the federal and state criteria?

2. Which alternative best represent communities of interest, neighborhoods, census designated places, city integrity, and compactness?

3. What are the notable features that drive your recommended alternative (e.g., community of interest, limits division of incorporated city, specific issue raised in public comment, etc.)?

4. What are the reasons/findings that support your recommendation?

5. What other factors would you like the Board of Supervisors to consider?