Several maps brought forward, including **four recommendations** by Advisory Redistricting Commission

- Tentative approval of **Alternative A** based on consideration for State and Federal criteria (in priority rank) and findings identified in Resolution (Attachment C):
  1. 1.70% deviation
  2. Maintains geographic integrity of communities of interest, including rural communities, agricultural areas, and newer development in City of Roseville
  3. Maintains 86% of City of Rocklin in District 3 and keeps City of Roseville represented in three districts
Alt. Z

Deviation – 4.55%

Proosed District Boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Area of Boundary Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District 1</td>
<td>Current District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 2</td>
<td>Boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 3</td>
<td>CityLimit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Action Requested

1. Adopt a resolution approving Alternative A as the final supervisory district map based on the findings contained within the resolution.
2. Adopt an ordinance, introduced on November 30, 2021, amending Placer County Code Chapter 1, Article 1.08 to adopt final County supervisory district boundaries.
3. In the alternative, instead of action items Nos. 2 and 3, take the following actions:
   a. Rescind the Board’s prior action from November 30, 2021 to tentatively approve Alternative A.
   b. Tentatively approve a different map alternative, with direction to staff to provide findings supportive of the selected alternative at the December 14, 2021 hearing.
   c. Introduce and waive oral reading of an ordinance, with insertion of the tentatively approved map as Figure 1.08 in the ordinance.
Reconsideration of Previous Action

- Chairman received request from colleague after Nov. 30 meeting.
- Request was to rescind Nov. 30 action and reconsider an alternative map.
- Map Alternative Z was posted to the county website on Friday, December 3, 2021.
- If an alternative map is supported, action must be taken to introduce and waive oral reading of an ordinance incorporating a new tentatively approved map today.
- Findings would be brought back at Dec. 14, 2021 meeting along with request to adopt ordinance.
Alt. B2-P1C Hybrid 2.0
Option 1

Deviation – 4.52%

Proposed District Boundaries
- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5

Area of Boundary Change
- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- Current District Boundary
- CityLimit

Map Hybrid 2.0 Option 1

*NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Alt. Z (compared to current)
Alt. Z
(compared Alt. A)

Deviation – 4.55%
Additional Slides
Under the current timeline, Placer had approx. 6 weeks from October 14th to adopt maps and present them for BOS approval.
Legal Criteria

- CA Elections Code provides basic criteria and process
- Aligns with Voting Rights Act
- Must consider in this priority order:
  1. contiguity
  2. integrity of neighborhoods and communities of interest
  3. integrity of cities and census designated places
  4. natural and artificial barriers, including streets
  5. geographic compactness
Additional Factors

- Utilize existing supervisorial districts as basis (i.e., minimize drastic impacts to existing boundaries)
- Consider community plan boundaries
- Consider entitled Specific Plan areas
- Minimize segmentation of incorporated cities
- Each district represents a balance of rural and urban constituents
- Follow existing voting precinct boundaries where possible
# Map Alternative Progression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>October 14</th>
<th>November 4</th>
<th>November 10</th>
<th>November 30</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deana</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1C</td>
<td>B2-P1C Hybrid</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **A**: Staff Generated Map Alternatives
- **B**: Commission Directed Map Alternatives
- **C**: Public Generated Map Alternatives
- **D**: Advisory Redistricting Commission Recommendations
- **E**: Alternative Map Recommendations
Alt. B

Draft Map Alternative B

Deviation – 1.70%

Proposed District Boundaries
- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5

Area of Boundary Change
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- Current District Boundary
- City Limit

NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Alt. C2

Draft Map Alternative C2

Deviation – 3.60%

Proposed District Boundaries

Area of Boundary Change

- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5

Current District Boundary
CityLimit

NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
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Alt. B2-P1C Hybrid

Deviation – 4.61%

Proposed District Boundaries
- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5

Area of Boundary Change
- District 1
- District 2
- District 3
- District 4
- District 5
- Current District Boundary
- City Limit

B2/P1C Hybrid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Total Population</th>
<th>Total Voting Age</th>
<th>Total 25+ High School</th>
<th>Total 25+ with Bachelor Degree</th>
<th>Total 25+ Black or African American</th>
<th>Total 25+ White</th>
<th>Total 25+ Native American</th>
<th>Total 25+ Asian</th>
<th>Total 25+ Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander</th>
<th>Total 25+ Other Race</th>
<th>Total 25+ Two or More Races</th>
<th>Total 25+ Male</th>
<th>Total 25+ Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>408,368</td>
<td>380,391</td>
<td>291,140</td>
<td>84,780</td>
<td>22,185</td>
<td>25,265</td>
<td>14,399</td>
<td>15,764</td>
<td>15,764</td>
<td>15,764</td>
<td>15,764</td>
<td>15,764</td>
<td>15,764</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Alt. E

Deviation – 20.43%

Proposed District Boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District 1</th>
<th>District 2</th>
<th>District 3</th>
<th>District 4</th>
<th>District 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area of Boundary Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District 1</th>
<th>District 2</th>
<th>District 3</th>
<th>District 4</th>
<th>District 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current District Boundary

CityLimit

Note: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Alt. B2-P1C Hybrid 2.0 Option 2

Proposed District Boundaries

District 1  District 2  District 3  District 4  District 5

Area of Boundary Change

District 1  District 2  District 3  District 4  District 5

Current District Boundary

City Limit

Map Hybrid 2.0 Option 2

Draft Map Hybrid 2.0 Option 2

NOTE: These are draft maps and are subject to minor changes prior to final map adoption.
Alt. A with Hybrid 2.0 Option 1 Overlay