

Before the Board of Supervisors County of Placer, State of California

In the matter of: a Resolution modifying the Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Fee Program to adjust for changes in construction costs Chapter 15, Subchapter 28, Placer County Road Network for FY 2022/23.

Resolution No.: 2022-066

The following Resolution was duly passed by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Placer at a regular meeting held on April 19, 2022, by the following vote:

Ayes: GORE, WEYGANDT, HOLMES, JONES, GUSTAFSON

Noes: NONE

Absent: NONE

Signed and approved by me after its passage.



Chair, Board of Supervisors

Attest:



Clerk of said Board

WHEREAS, periodic adjustments are applied to the Countywide Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and Traffic Fee Program to account for inflation and adjustment in costs in order to ensure sufficient funding of the CIP projects; and

WHEREAS, Placer County Code, Chapter 15, Article, 15.28, Section 15.28.030(F) provides a mechanism to adjust annually the cost estimates within the CIP and associated fee schedule used to collect fees through the Traffic Fee Program; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds the term administration as used in section 15.28.030(D) includes costs necessary to administer the fee program; and

WHEREAS, the industry standard used to estimate changes in construction costs is reported in the publication, Engineering News Record (ENR), which is circulated nationwide to the engineering profession and regularly reports indices for changes in construction costs; and

WHEREAS, on January 14, 2020, the Board of Supervisors adopted an ordinance amending Placer County Code Chapter 15, Article 15.28, Section 15.28.030(F) to align multiple impact fees on the same schedule consolidating the annual adjustment to take effect July 1 of each year; and

WHEREAS, Placer County Code Section 15.28.030(F) now provides: "The director shall determine a proposed annual adjustment using the Construction Cost Index published in the Engineering News Record as the basis of the director's determination. The adjustment in each fee will be calculated based on the difference between the most currently available index and the index amount for the same month of the previous year...the director shall notify the board at a public meeting of the proposed fee adjustment;" and

WHEREAS, the ENR publication reports a 8.87 percent increase in the 20-City Average CCI as reported in ENR between March 2021 and March 2022; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the fee adjustment shall be to continue appropriate funding for transportation projects identified in the CIP by keeping pace with increased costs for construction. All collected fees will continue to be used as set forth in the Traffic Fee Program; and

WHEREAS, there still exists a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed; and

WHEREAS, there still exists a reasonable relationship between the need for the CIP and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed; and

WHEREAS, there still exists a reasonable relationship between the unexpended funds in the current fee programs and the improvements for which they were collected; and

WHEREAS, funds collected and held for five years have been reviewed and are still needed for the purpose that they were collected; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PLACER that the FY 2022-23 Countywide Traffic Fees are as set forth in Exhibit 1.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution shall be effective on July 1, 2022.

Exhibit 1 - FY 2022-23 Countywide Traffic Fees

ATTACHMENT A

Exhibit 1

FY 2022-23 Countywide Traffic Fees
Fee Adjustment Comparison by Countywide Benefit District

Benefit District	Fees Per Dwelling Unit Equivalent (DUE)				
	Countywide	Hwy. 65 JPA	SPRTA Regional	County/ Roseville	Total
Auburn/Bowman	\$5,821 \$6,339	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,339
Dry Creek	\$3,668 \$3,994	\$0	\$691	\$864	\$5,549
Foresthill (Residential)	\$5,393 \$5,873	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,873
Foresthill (Non-Residential)	\$2,805 \$3,055	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,055
Granite Bay	\$7,306 \$7,956	\$0	\$689	\$0	\$8,645
Meadow Vista	\$5,927 \$6,455	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,455
Newcastle/Horseshoe Bar/Penryn	\$5,648 \$6,151	\$0	\$1,690	\$0	\$7,841
Placer Central	\$2,431 \$2,647	\$0	\$2,130	\$0	\$4,777
Placer East	\$3,933 \$4,283	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,283
Placer West	\$3,011 \$3,279	\$0	\$1,628	\$188	\$5,095
Sunset	\$3,454 \$3,761	\$1608	\$1,420	\$281	\$7,069

Note:

1. This change reflects an increase of 8.87 percent for the period from March 2021 to March 2022 for the Countywide Fees in all districts except Tahoe. The rate is based on the Construction Cost Index as published by the Engineering News Record publication. Further adjustments may be made for the regional fee programs.
2. The change becomes effective July 1, 2022.
3. The Tahoe Basin is included in the Tahoe Transportation Fee District. However, this area is subject to a fee adjustment to avoid overlap with the Tahoe Regional Planning Area's Mobility Mitigation Fee.