

# ATTACHMENT 3

**Before the Board of Supervisors  
County of Placer, State of California**

**In the matter of: A RESOLUTION AMENDING  
THE DRY CREEK/WEST PLACER COMMUNITY PLAN**

**Resolution No. 2008-\_\_\_\_\_**

**The following resolution was duly passed by the Board of Supervisors  
of the County of Placer at a regular meeting held November 4, 2008,  
by the following vote:**

**Ayes:**

**Noes:**

**Absent:**

**Signed by me after its passage.**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Jim Holmes, Chairman**

**Attest:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Ann Holman  
Clerk of said Board**

**WHEREAS**, on September 25, 2008, the Placer County Planning Commission ("Planning Commission") held a public hearing to consider the Regional University Specific Plan ("Specific Plan"), including certain proposed amendments to the Placer County General Plan ("General Plan") and the Dry Creek/West Placer Community Plan (the "Community Plan"), and the Planning Commission has made recommendations to the Board of Supervisors ("Board") related thereto, and

**WHEREAS**, on November 4, 2008, the Board held a public hearing to consider the recommendations of the Planning Commission and to receive public input regarding the proposed amendments to the Community Plan, and

**WHEREAS**, the Board has reviewed the proposed amendments to the Community Plan, considered the recommendations of the Planning Commission, received and considered the written and oral comments submitted by the public thereon, and has adopted Resolution No. 2008-\_\_\_\_\_ certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report for the Regional University Specific Plan, and

**WHEREAS**, the Board finds the proposed amendments will serve to protect and enhance the health, safety and general welfare of the residents of the Community Plan area and the County as a whole, and

**WHEREAS,** the Board further finds the proposed amendments are consistent with the provisions of the General Plan and other provisions of the Community Plan and are in compliance with applicable requirements of State law, and

**WHEREAS,** notice of all hearings required has been given and all hearings have been held as required by County ordinance and State law, and

**WHEREAS,** the Board finds that the foregoing recitals setting forth the actions of the County are true and correct,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PLACER** that Goals 6 and 9 of Section IV of the Dry Creek/West Placer Community Plan are hereby amended to read as shown and described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that this Resolution shall take force and become effective only in the event that Resolution 2007-231 adopted by the Board on July 16, 2007, is for any reason determined to be invalid by a final order issued in the case Sutter County v. Placer County et al., Sacramento County Superior Court Case No. 2007-00883516 or in any related matter.

## EXHIBIT A

### Amendments to the Dry Creek West Placer Community Plan

| Community Plan Page Number                     | Goal/Policy | Community Plan language proposed to be revised. (Additional text is shown as <u>underlined</u> , deleted text is shown as <del>strikeout</del> )  |
|--|-------------|---|
| <b>Section IV – Transportation/Circulation</b> |             |   |
| 122  | 6           | <p>The Capital Improvement Program (CIP) shall <u>strive to maintain</u> <del>be sufficient to ensure a minimum</del> level of service (LOS) “C” on the Community Plan area’s road network – given the projected build-out of the Community Plan area and implementation of the CIP.</p>  |
| 124  | 9           | <p>The level of service (LOS) on roadways and intersections identified on the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) shall be a Level C or better. The first priority for available funding shall be the correction of potential hazards. <del>Land development projects shall be approved only if LOS C can be sustained on the CIP roads and intersection after:</del></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"> <del>a. Traffic from approved projects has been added to the system.</del><br/> <del>b. Improvements funded by this program have been constructed.</del> </p> <p><u>The County may allow exceptions to this level of service standard where it finds that the improvements or other measures required to achieve the LOS standard are unacceptable based on established criteria. In allowing any exception to the standard, the County shall consider the following factors:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>The number of hours per day that the intersection or roadway segment would operate at conditions worse than the standard.</u></li> <li>• <u>The ability of the required improvement to significantly reduce peak hour delay and improve traffic operations.</u></li> <li>• <u>The right-of-way needs and the physical impacts on surrounding properties.</u></li> <li>• <u>The visual aesthetics of the required improvement and its impact on community identity and character.</u></li> <li>• <u>Environmental impacts including air quality and noise impacts.</u></li> <li>• <u>Construction and right-of-way acquisition costs.</u></li> <li>• <u>The impacts on general safety.</u></li> <li>• <u>The impacts of the required construction phasing and traffic maintenance.</u></li> <li>• <u>The impacts on quality of life as perceived by residents.</u></li> <li>• <u>Consideration of other environmental, social, or economic factors on which the County may base findings to allow an exceedance of the standards.</u></li> </ul> <p><u>Exceptions to the standard will only be allowed after all feasible measures and options are explored, including alternative forms of transportation.</u></p> |