MEMORANDUM

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
County of Placer

TO: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DATE: July 8, 2014

FROM: KEN GREHM / STEPHANIE HOLLOWAY

SUBJECT: **PLACER COUNTY – CITY OF ROSEVILLE JOINT TRAFFIC FEE PROGRAM ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT**

ACTION REQUESTED / RECOMMENDATION

1. Conduct a Public Hearing and Adopt a Resolution to increase the Capital Improvement Costs and corresponding Fee Schedule for the Placer County – City of Roseville Joint Traffic Fee Program to reflect an increase of 3.98 percent in construction costs based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index. There is no net County cost.

BACKGROUND / SUMMARY

In 2004, Placer County and the City of Roseville established a joint traffic mitigation fee program to fund improvements to Baseline Road, Walerga Road Bridge and Fiddyment Road necessitated by development in the City and County. An update to this program was approved by the Board in June of 2013; included were refined scopes of work, adjustments to construction costs and inclusion of approved Specific Plan areas.

The Construction Cost Index (CCI) is the appropriate index to use for adjustments to the Capital Improvement Program and corresponding Fee Schedule as it is the accepted industry standard for changes in highway construction costs and accounts for a number of factors that affect the price of construction, including labor and materials.

The Placer County – City of Roseville Joint Fee program, as well as the Highway 65 JPA and SPRTA programs, applies the average of the 20-cities average CCI and the San Francisco CCI; the proposed increase reflects the averaged change in construction labor and materials cost for the periods of May 2012/2013 and May 2013/2014.

ENVIRONMENTAL

This action is categorically exempt from CEQA as it relates to obtaining funds for capital projects necessary to maintain service within existing service areas (Section 21080(b)(8)).

FISCAL IMPACT

Adjusting the fees to current conditions will allow revenues to keep pace with the cost to construct the improvements. If approved, the new fees will become effective on September 8, 2014.

Attachments: Resolution
Attachment 1

T:\DPW\Transportation\transprt\2014 BOS Memos\City County Adjustment\2014 C-C Annual Adjustment.docx

Before the Board of Supervisors
County of Placer, State of California

In the matter of: A RESOLUTION MODIFYING THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND FEE SCHEDULE TO ADJUST FOR CHANGES IN CONSTRUCTION COSTS FOR THE PLACER COUNTY – CITY OF ROSEVILLE JOINT FEE PROGRAM

Resol. No:.....

The following RESOLUTION was duly passed by the Board of Supervisors

of the County of Placer at a regular meeting held _____,

by the following vote on roll call:

Ayes:

Noes:

Absent:

Signed and approved by me after its passage.

Attest:
Clerk of said Board

_____

Chair, Board of Supervisors

_____

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF PLACER, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS:

1) **The Placer County Board of Supervisors continues to support a joint jurisdictional approach to transportation improvements and financing.**

2) **Periodic adjustments should be applied to the Placer County – City of Roseville Joint Fee Program and associated Capital Improvement Program to ensure sufficient funding of the CIP projects.**

3) **The current agreement between Placer County and the City of Roseville provides a mechanism to adjust the cost estimates within the Capital Improvement Program and associated fee schedule used to collect fees through the Joint Fee program.**

3) The average of the 20-Cities and San Francisco Construction Cost Indexes (CCIs), as published in the Engineering News Record publication, is a 3.98 percent increase for the averaged periods of May 2012/2013 and May 2013/2014.

4) The industry standard used to estimate changes in construction costs is reported in the publication, Engineering News Record. This publication is circulated nationwide to the engineering profession and regularly reports indices for changes in construction costs.

5) The purpose of the fee adjustment shall be to continue appropriate funding for transportation projects identified in the Capital Improvement Program by keeping pace with increased costs of construction. All collected fees will continue to be used to finance the public facilities identified in the fee program

6) There still exists a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

7) There still exists a reasonable relationship between the need for the Capital Improvement Program and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed.

8) There still exists a reasonable relationship between the unexpended funds in the current fee programs and the improvements for which they were collected.

9) Funds collected and held for 5 years have been reviewed. These funds are still needed for the purpose that they were collected.

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Placer, State of California, that this Board adopt the Placer County – City of Roseville Joint Traffic Fees as shown in Attachment 1.

TRAFFIC MITIGATION FEES
Adjustment Comparison
By Countywide Benefit District

Benefit District	Placer County / City of Roseville (Existing) Fee Per DUE	Placer County / City of Roseville (Proposed) Fee Per DUE
Auburn/Bowman	~~$0~~	$0
Dry Creek	~~$727~~	$756
Foresthill (Residential)	~~$0~~	$0
Foresthill (Non-Residential)	~~$0~~	$0
Granite Bay	~~$0~~	$0
Meadow Vista	~~$0~~	$0
Newcastle/Horseshoe Bar	~~$0~~	$0
Placer Central Fee	~~$0~~	$0
Placer East	~~$0~~	$0
Placer West	~~$159~~	$165
Sunset	~~$237~~	$246
Tahoe Region	~~$0~~	$0

Note: 1) This change reflects an increase of 3.98 percent for the average of the periods of May 2012/2013 and May 2013/2014 for the Placer County – City of Roseville Fee. The rate is based on the average of the 20-Cities and San Francisco Construction Cost Indexes (CCIs) as published by the Engineering News Record publication.
2) The change becomes effective September 8, 2014.